### **Fast Random Projections**

Edo Liberty





Technion Israel Institute of Technology Joint work with Nir Ailon.



# Dimensionality reduction



 $(1-\varepsilon)\|x_i-x_j\|_2 \le \|\Psi(x_i)-\Psi(x_j)\|_2 \le (1+\varepsilon)\|x_i-x_j\|_2$ 

(<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub>) distances are ε preserved
 Target dimension k smaller than original dimension d



**Simple task:** search through your library of 10,000 images for near duplicates (on your PC).

**Problem:** your images are 5 Mega-pixels each. Your library occupies 22 Gigabytes of disk space and does not fit in memory.

**Possible solution:** Embed each image in a lower dimension (say 500). Then, search for close neighbors in the embedded points.

This can be done in memory on a moderately strong computer.



### Random projections



A distribution  $\mathbb{D}$  over  $k \times d$  matrices  $\Psi$  s.t.

$$\forall_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \Pr_{\Psi \sim \mathbb{D}} \left[ |||\Psi x||_2 - 1| > \varepsilon \right] \le 1/n^2$$

All  $\binom{n}{2}$  pairwise distances are preserved w.p. at least 1/2.



Lemma (Johnson Lindenstrauss 84)

 $\Psi = uniformly \ chosen \ k \ dimensional \ subspace \ (projection)$ 

$$\Pr\left[\left|\left|\left|\Psi x\right|\right|_2 - 1\right| > \varepsilon\right] \le c_1 e^{-c_2 \varepsilon^2 k}$$

$$k = \Theta(\log(n)/\varepsilon^2) \quad \rightarrow \quad \Pr \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$$

#### Definition

Such distributions are said to exhibit the JL property.



# What is this good for?

We get:

- Target dimension k independent of d
- Target dimension k logarithmic in n
- Ψ chosen independently of input points

These make random projection extremely useful in:

- Linear Embedding / Dimensionality reduction
- Approximate-nearest-neighbor algorithms
- Rank k approximation
- $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  regression
- Compressed sensing
- Learning



The distribution over the choice of  $\Psi$  is rotation invariant, thus:

$$\Pr[|||\Psi x||_2 - 1| > \varepsilon] = \Pr_{x \sim U(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}[|||I_k x||_2 - 1| > \varepsilon]$$

Informally: projecting a **fixed vector** on a **random subspace** is equivalent to projecting a **random vector** on a **fixed subspace**.

From an isoperimetric inequality on the sphere,

the norm of the first k coordinates of a random unit vector is strongly concentrated around its mean.

### Lemma (Frankl Meahara 87)

$$\Psi(i,j) \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}) \quad \rightarrow \quad JL \text{ property.}$$

#### Proof.

Due to the rotational invariance of the Gaussian distribution:

$$\|\Psi x\|_2 \sim \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}\chi_k^2} \approx \mathcal{N}(1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}})$$

Which gives the JL property



### Lemma (Achlioptas 03, Matousek 06)

 $\Psi(i,j) \in \{+1,-1\}$  uniformly  $\rightarrow$  JL property.  $\Psi(i,j) \sim$  any subgaussian distribution  $\rightarrow$  JL property.

### Proof.

$$\|\Psi x\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle \Psi_{(i)}, x \rangle^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}^{2}$$

The random variables  $y_i$  are i.i.d. and sub-Gaussian (Due to Hoeffding).

The proof above is due to Matousek.

All of the above distributions are such that:

- $\Psi$  requires O(kd) space to store.
- Mapping  $x \mapsto \Psi x$  requires O(kd) operations.

Example: projecting a 5 Megapixel image to dimension 500:

- Ψ takes up roughly 10 Gigabytes of memory.
- It takes roughly 5 hours to compute  $x \mapsto \Psi x$ . (very optimistic estimate for a 2Ghz CPU)



# Sparse i.i.d. distributions

Can the projecting matrix be made sparser?

- Dasgupta, Kumar, Sarlos 09
- Kane, Nelson 10
- Braverman, Ostrovsky, Rabani 10

### Lemma (Kane, Nelson 10)

Number of non zeros in  $\Psi$  can be  $O(d \log(n)/\varepsilon)$ , factor  $\varepsilon$  better than naive.

### Lemma (Dasgupta, Kumar, Sarlos 09)

This cannot be improved much.

Proof: Consider input vectors like  $[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, ..., 0, 1, 0]^T$ Can the projection be sparser if the input vectors are not sparse?



If the vectors are dense, the projection can be sparse!

Lemma (Ailon Chazelle 06, Matousek 06)

For some  $q \in O(\eta^2 k) \le 1$ :

$$\Psi(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{q} & w.p. \quad q/2 \\ -1/\sqrt{q} & w.p. \quad q/2 \\ 0 & w.p. \quad 1-q. \end{cases} \rightarrow JL \text{ property}$$

for x such that  $||x||_{\infty}/||x||_{2} \leq \eta$  (i.e. not sparse).



# FJLT: random-sign Fourier + sparse projection





# FJLT: random-sign Fourier + sparse projection



Preprocess: Random-sign Fourier Requires  $O(d \log (d))$  operations Project: Sparse projection matrix contains  $O(k^3)$  non zeros in expectation

#### Lemma (Ailon, Chazelle 06)

After the rotation, an expected number of  $O(k^3)$  nonzeros in S is sufficient for the JL property to hold.



# FJLT: random-sign Fourier + sparse projection



Preprocess: Random-sign Fourier Requires  $O(d \log (d))$  operations Project: Sparse projection matrix contains  $O(k^3)$  non zeros in expectation

#### Lemma (Ailon, Chazelle 06)

 $S\Phi$  exhibits the JL property Computing  $x \mapsto S\Phi x$  requires  $O(d \log(d) + k^3)$  operations

This is  $O(d \log(d))$  if  $k \leq d^{1/3}$ The belief is that  $O(d \log(d))$  time is possible for JL property for all k.



### Can we remove this constraint by derandomizing the projection matrix?

Consider the distribution  $\Psi = AD$ :

- A is a *fixed*  $k \times d$  matrix.
- **D** is a diagonal matrix, D(i, i) = s(i) (Rademacher).

We have that:

$$\|ADx\|_{2} = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{d} A^{(i)}D(i,i)x(i)\right\|_{2} = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{d} A^{(i)}x(i)s(i)\right\|_{2} = \|Ms\|_{2}$$

where  $M^{(i)} = A^{(i)}x(i)$ .



Lemma ((L, Ailon, Singer 09) derived from Ledoux, Talagrand 91) For any matrix M:

$$\mathsf{Pr}\left[ \left| \left\| \textit{\textit{Ms}} \right\|_2 - \left\| \textit{\textit{M}} \right\|_{\textit{Fro}} 
ight| \geq arepsilon 
ight] \leq 16 e^{-arepsilon^2/32 \left\| \textit{\textit{M}} 
ight\|_2^2}$$

Since 
$$Ms = ADx$$
  
if  $||M||_{Fro} = 1$  (true if A is column normalized).  
and  $||M||_2 = O(k^{-1/2})$ .  
 $Pr[|||ADx||_2 - 1| \ge \varepsilon] \le c_1 e^{-c_2\varepsilon^2 k}$ 

We get the JL property



# FJLT using dual BCH codes

### Holder's inequality

$$\|\boldsymbol{M}\|_{2\to 2} \in O\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{A}^{T}\right\|_{2\to 4} \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{4}\right)$$

#### Lemma

A - four-wise independent code matrix (concatenated code matrices)

$$||A^{\mathsf{T}}||_{2\to 4} \in O(d^{1/4}k^{-1/2}).$$

• Computing  $z \mapsto Az$  requires  $O(d \log(k))$  operations.

#### Lemma

 $\Phi \leftarrow \textit{concatenated random-sign Fourier transforms}$ 

$$||\Phi x||_4 = O(d^{-1/4}) w.h.p.$$

• Computing  $z \mapsto \Phi z$  requires  $O(d \log(d))$  operations.



# FJLT using dual BCH codes



#### Lemma (Ailon, Liberty 08)

Exhibits JL property and applicable in time  $O(d \log d)$ Construction exists for  $k \leq d^{1/2}$ .

The constraint on k is weaker but still there...



### Motivation from compressed sensing...

We want to get rid of the constraint on k altogether.

On the one hand:

Preprocessing becomes a bottleneck for  $k \in \Omega(\sqrt{d})$ . We need to avoid it.

On the other hand:

Sparse vectors seem to require it.

There is hope: Sparse Reconstruction (Compressed Sensing) constructions naturally deal with reconstructing sparse signals...



### Definition (Restricted Isometry Property (RIP))

for all *r*-sparse vectors *x*:

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x\|_2 \le \|\Psi x\|_2 \le (1 + \varepsilon) \|x\|_2$$

Lemma (Rudelson, Vershynin 08, Candes, Romberg, Tau 06)

 $\Psi \leftarrow \frac{r \log^4(d)}{\varepsilon^2}$  random rows (frequencies) from Hadamard matrix, then w.p.  $\Psi$  is RIP.

- The same approximate isometric condition as random projections
- Deals with sparse vectors without preprocessing
- No constraint (e.g.  $\sqrt{d}$  upper bound) on r
- Very simple construction



#### Lemma

For any set *X* of cardinality *n*, with constant probability:

$$\forall x \in X \quad (1-\varepsilon) \|x\|_2^2 \leq \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \Phi Dx\|_2^2 \leq (1+\varepsilon) \|x\|_2^2.$$

### Fast for all k.

■ Very simple construction (application time is *O*(*d* log(*d*)))





We break x to two vectors.

- $\mathbf{x} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \check{\mathbf{x}}$
- $\hat{x}$  is the *r*-sparse vector containing the *r* largest entries in *x*.
- $\check{x}$  contains the rest.  $\|\check{x}\|_{\infty} \leq 1/\sqrt{r}$ .



Lemma (Rudelson, Vershynin 08)

*w.p.* 
$$\forall x \in X$$
  $\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\Phi D\hat{x}\right\|^2 = \|\hat{x}\|^2 + O(\varepsilon)$ 

Using the RIP property as black box.





#### Lemma

w.p. 
$$\forall x \in X \quad \frac{2}{k} (\Phi D \hat{x})^T \Phi D \check{x} = O(\varepsilon)$$

Not hard to show using Hoeffding's inequality. (Note that this function is linear in random bits supporting  $\check{x}$ )



Main technical lemma:

Lemma (Extension of Rudelson and Vershynin, and Talagrand.) *w.p.*  $\forall x \in X$   $\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\Phi D\check{x}\right\|^2 = \|\check{x}\|^2 + O(\varepsilon)$ 



• From Talagrand: 
$$\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\Phi D\check{x}\right\| = \|\check{x}\| + O(\varepsilon)$$
 if:  
 $\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\Phi D_{\check{x}}\right\|_{2}^{2} \in O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{\log(n)}\right)$ 

where *D<sub>x̃</sub>* is diagonal matrix with *x̃* on its diagonal.
■ By triangle inequality:

$$\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \Phi D_{\check{x}}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\frac{1}{k} D_{\check{x}} \Phi^{t} \Phi D_{\check{x}}\|_{2} \le \|\frac{1}{k} D_{\check{x}} \Phi^{t} \Phi D_{\check{x}} - D_{\check{x}}^{2}\|_{2} + \|D_{\check{x}}^{2}\|_{2}$$

By the choice of  $\check{x}$ :  $\|D_{\check{x}}^2\|_2 = \|\check{x}\|_{\infty}^2 \le 1/r = \varepsilon^2/\log(n)$ 

To conclude the proof we need a similar bound for

$$\|\frac{1}{k}D_{\check{x}}\Phi^t\Phi D_{\check{x}}-D_{\check{x}}^2\|_2.$$



Lemma (Rudelson, Vershynin + careful modifications)

$$E_{\Phi}\left[\sup_{\|z\|_{2}\leq 1, \|z\|_{\infty}\leq \alpha}\left\|D_{z}^{2}-\frac{1}{k}D_{z}\Phi^{t}\Phi D_{z}\right\|\right]\in O\left(\frac{\alpha\log^{2}(d)}{\sqrt{k}}\right).$$

Substituting our choice of  $\alpha^2 = 1/r = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\log(n)}$  and

$$k \in \Omega\left(\frac{\log(n)\log^4(d)}{\varepsilon^4}\right)$$

Satisfies the required bound and concludes the proof.



- This approach seems to actually give dependence  $\varepsilon^{-3}$  instead of  $\varepsilon^{-4}$  as presented.
- Krahmer and Ward 10 show that any RIP construction becomes a JL construction if you add a random sign matrix. This fixes the dependence on ε to the correct ε<sup>-2</sup>. It also uses RIP constructions as a black box.

Future work:

- Eliminating the *polylog(d)* factor for JL with no restriction on k. This will also give an improved RIP construction.
- Improving our understanding of random projections for sparse input vectors, e.g. bag of words models of text documents.



# Fin

