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## Contributions

- A minimal language that captures the essence of causal computation.
- Two additional laws that lead to normal forms.
- Substantial performance gain via optimization by normalization.


## Exponential Example

A math definition of the exponential function:

$$
e(t)=1+\int_{0}^{t} e(t) \cdot d t
$$

Yampa program using the Arrow Syntax:

```
exp = proc () }->\mathrm{ do
    rec let e = 1 + i
        i}\leftarrow\mathrm{ integral }\prec
    returnA \prece
```


## Functional Reactive Programming

Computations about time-varying quantities.

$$
\text { Signal } \alpha \approx \text { Time } \rightarrow \alpha
$$

Yampa (Hudak, et. al. 2002) is a version of FRP using the Arrow framework (Hughes, 2000). Arrows provide:

- Abstract computation over signals.

$$
\text { SF } \alpha \beta \approx \text { Signal } \alpha \rightarrow \text { Signal } \beta
$$

- A minimum set of wiring combinators.
- Mathematics root in category theory.


## What is Arrow

A generalization of Monads. In Haskell:

```
class Arrow a where
    arr :: (b }->\mathrm{ c) }->\textrm{a b c
    (<>>) :: a b c }->\mathrm{ a c d }->\mathrm{ a b d
    first :: a b c -> a (b,d) (c,d)
```

Support both sequential and parallel composition:

```
second :: (Arrow a) = a b c }->\textrm{a}(\textrm{d},\textrm{b})(\textrm{d},\textrm{c}
second f = arr swap >>> first f >>> arr swap
    where swap (a, b) = (b, a)
```



```
f***g = first f >>> second g
```


## Arrows in Picture



To model recursion, Paterson (2001) introduced ArrowLoop:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { class Arrow } \mathrm{a} \Rightarrow \text { ArrowLoop a where } \\
\text { loop }:: \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d})(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}) \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{c}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Arrows and FRP

Why do we need Arrows?

- Modular, both input and output are explicit.
- Eliminates a form of time and space leak (Liu and Hudak, 2007).
- Abstract, with properties enforced by arrow laws.

Why do we need abstraction?

- Think at the high level. Focus on the essence.
- Disciplines bring interesting properties and useful results.


## Arrow Laws

```
left identity
right identity
associativity
composition
extension
functor
exchange
unit
    arr id >>f = f
    f>> arr id = f
(f>>g)>>h=f>>(g>>h)
    arr (g.f)}=\operatorname{arr}f>>>\operatorname{arr}
    first (arr f) = arr (f\timesid)
first (f>>g)= first f> first g
    first f>> arr (id }\timesg)=\operatorname{arr}(id\timesg)>>>first 
    first f > arr fst = arr fst >>f
association first (firstf) >> arr assoc = arr assoc > firstf
    where assoc ((a,b),c)=(a,(b,c))
```


## Arrow Loop Laws

| left tightening | loop $($ first $h \gg f)$ | $=h \ggg$ loop $f$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| right tightening | loop $(f \ggg$ first $h)$ | $=$ loop $f \ggg h$ |
| sliding | loop $(f>$ arr $($ id $\times k))$ | $=$ loop $($ arr $($ id $\times k) \ggg f)$ |
| vanishing | loop $($ loop $f)$ | $=$ loop $($ arr assoc $-1 \gg f$ arr assoc $)$ |
| superposing | second $($ loop $f)$ | $=$ loop (arr assoc $\ggg$ second $f>$ arr assoc $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ |
| extension | loop $($ arr $f)$ | $=\operatorname{arr}($ trace $f)$ |
|  | where trace $f b$ | $=\operatorname{let}(c, d)=f(b, d)$ in $c$ |

## Question

Are the arrow laws enough to capture the essence of FRP? Or more specifically, the notion of causal computation as in dataflow programming and stream processing?
(Causal: current output only depends on current and previous inputs.)

## Question

Are the arrow laws enough to capture the essence of FRP? Or more specifically, the notion of causal computation as in dataflow programming and stream processing?
(Causal: current output only depends on current and previous inputs.)
No. They are too general, and we need a domain specific solution.

## Causal Commutative Arrows

Introduce one new operator init (a.k.a. delay):

```
class ArrowLoop a }=>\mathrm{ ArrowInit a where
    init :: b }->\mathrm{ a b b
```

two additional laws:

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\text { commutativity } & \text { first } f \ggg \text { second } g & =\text { second } g \ggg \text { first } f \\
\text { product } & \text { init } i \star \star \star \text { init } j & =\text { init }(i, j)
\end{array}
$$

and still remain abstract!

## Exponential Example, Revisit



```
exp = fixA (integral >>> arr (+1))
fixA :: ArrowLoop a }=>\textrm{a b b }->\textrm{a () b
fixA f = loop (second f >>> arr ( }\lambda((),y)->(y,y))
integral :: ArrowInit a }=>\mathrm{ a Double Double
integral = loop (arr ( }\lambda(\textrm{v},\textrm{i})->\textrm{i}+\textrm{dt * v})>>
    init 0>>> arr ( }\mp@subsup{\lambda}{i}{}->(i, i))
```


## CCA, a Domain Specific Language

- Extend simply typed $\lambda$-calculus with tuples and arrows.
- Instead of type classes, use $\rightsquigarrow$ to represent the arrow type.

| Type | ::= | $\mathbb{R}\|\alpha\| t_{1} \times t_{2}\left\|t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2}\right\| t_{1} \rightsquigarrow t_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp | : | $\perp\|n\| x\left\|\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right\|$ fst $e \mid$ snd $e \mid \lambda x$.e $\left\|e_{1} e_{2}\right\|$ |
|  |  | arr $\|\gg\|$ first \|loop | init |
| Env | $\Gamma \quad::=$ | $x_{1}: \alpha_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}: \alpha_{n}$ |

## CCA Types

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lll}
(x: \alpha) \in \Gamma \\
\Gamma \vdash x: \alpha
\end{array} \frac{\Gamma, x: \alpha \vdash e: \beta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x . e: \alpha \rightarrow \beta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_{1}: \alpha \rightarrow \beta}{\Gamma \vdash e_{2}: \alpha} \begin{array}{l}
\Gamma \vdash e_{1} e_{2}: \beta
\end{array} \\
& \Gamma \vdash e_{1}: \alpha \\
& \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_{2}: \beta}{\Gamma \vdash\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right): \alpha \times \beta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \alpha \times \beta}{\Gamma \vdash f \text { st } e: \alpha} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \alpha \times \beta}{\Gamma \vdash \text { snd } e: \beta} \\
& \begin{array}{rllll}
\text { arr } & : & (\alpha \longrightarrow \beta) \rightarrow(\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta) & \text { loop } & : \quad(\alpha \times \theta \rightsquigarrow \beta \times \theta) \rightarrow(\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta) \\
(\ggg) & : & (\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta) \rightarrow(\beta \rightsquigarrow \theta) \rightarrow(\alpha \rightsquigarrow \theta) & \text { init } & : \alpha \rightarrow(\alpha \rightsquigarrow \alpha) \\
\text { first } & : & (\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta) \rightarrow(\alpha \times \theta \rightsquigarrow \beta \times \theta) & \perp & : \\
& & & &
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CCA Utility Functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { id : } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \\
& \text { id }=\lambda x . x \\
& \text { assoc : }(\alpha \times \beta) \times \theta \rightarrow \alpha \times(\beta \times \theta) \\
& \text { assoc }=\lambda z .(f s t(f s t z),(\text { snd }(f s t z), \text { snd } z)) \\
& \text { assoc }^{-1}: \quad \alpha \times(\beta \times \theta) \rightarrow(\alpha \times \beta) \times \theta \\
& \text { assoc } \left.^{-1}=\lambda z .\left(\left(f_{s t} z, f_{s t}(\text { snd } z)\right) \text {, snd (snd } z\right)\right) \\
& \text { juggle : }(\alpha \times \beta) \times \theta \rightarrow(\alpha \times \theta) \times \beta \\
& \text { juggle }=\text { assoc }^{-1} \cdot(\text { id } \times \text { swap }) \cdot a s s o c \\
& \text { transpose : }(\alpha \times \beta) \times(\theta \times \eta) \rightarrow(\alpha \times \theta) \times(\beta \times \eta) \\
& \text { transpose }=\text { assoc } \cdot(\text { juggle } \times i d) \cdot a s s o c=1 \\
& \text { shuffle : } \quad \alpha \times((\beta \times \delta) \times(\theta \times \eta)) \rightarrow(\alpha \times(\beta \times \theta)) \times(\delta \times \eta) \\
& \text { shuffle }=\text { assoc }^{-1} \cdot(i d \times \text { transpose }) \\
& \text { shuffle }{ }^{-1} \quad: \quad(\alpha \times(\beta \times \theta)) \times(\delta \times \eta) \rightarrow \alpha \times((\beta \times \delta) \times(\theta \times \eta)) \\
& \text { shuffle }{ }^{-1}=(\text { id } \times \text { transpose }) \cdot a s s o c
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (.) : } \quad(\beta \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow(\alpha \rightarrow \theta) \\
& \text { (.) }=\lambda f \cdot \lambda g . \lambda x \cdot f(g x) \\
& (\times) \quad: \quad(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow(\theta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow(\alpha \times \theta \rightarrow \beta \times \gamma) \\
& (\times): \quad \lambda f . \lambda g . \lambda z .(f(f \text { st } z), g(\text { snd } z)) \\
& \text { dup : } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \times \alpha \\
& d u p=\lambda x .(x, x) \\
& \text { swap : } \quad \alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \beta \times \alpha \\
& \text { swap }=\lambda z .(\text { snd } z, f s t z) \\
& \text { second : }(\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta) \rightarrow(\theta \times \alpha \rightsquigarrow \theta \times \beta) \\
& \text { second }=\lambda \text { f.arr swap } \ggg \text { first } f \ggg \text { arr swap } \\
& (\star \nless) \quad: \quad(\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta) \rightarrow(\theta \rightsquigarrow \gamma) \rightarrow(\alpha \times \theta \rightsquigarrow \beta \times \gamma) \\
& \text { (夫丈大) }=\lambda f . \lambda g \text { first } f \ggg \text { second } g
\end{aligned}
$$

## CCA Semantics

Interpretation of the arrow type:

$$
\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta \stackrel{\phi}{\psi} \alpha \rightarrow(\beta \times(\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta))
$$

Denotational Semantics

$$
\llbracket-\rrbracket: \operatorname{Exp} \rightarrow \alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text { arr } f \rrbracket & =\psi(h \llbracket f \rrbracket) & h f x & =\operatorname{let} y=f x \operatorname{in}(y, \psi(h f)) \\
\llbracket \text { first } f \rrbracket & =\psi(h \llbracket f \rrbracket) & h f(x, z) & =\operatorname{let}\left(y, f^{\prime}\right)=\phi(f) x \operatorname{in}\left((y, z), \psi\left(h f^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
\llbracket f \ggg g \rrbracket & =\psi(h \llbracket f \rrbracket \llbracket g \rrbracket) & h f g x & =\operatorname{let}\left\{\left(y, f^{\prime}\right)=\phi(f) x ;\left(z, g^{\prime}\right)=\phi(g) y\right\} \text { in }\left(z, \psi\left(h f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
\llbracket \text { loop } f \rrbracket & =\psi(h \llbracket f \rrbracket) & h f x & =\operatorname{let}\left((y, z), f^{\prime}\right)=\phi(f)(x, z) \operatorname{in}\left(y, \psi\left(h f^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
\llbracket \text { init } i \rrbracket & =\psi(h \llbracket i \rrbracket) & h i x & =(i, \psi(h x))
\end{aligned}
$$

( $\llbracket-\rrbracket$ for $\lambda$ expressions is omitted)

## CCA and Mealy Machines

Mealy Machine (Mealy, 1955): $\left(A, B, S, \phi, s_{0}\right)$
Inputs $A$, Outputs $B$, States $S$, and $\phi: S \rightarrow(B \times S)^{A}$
A CCA term $s_{0}: \alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta$ is a Mealy machine that maps input stream $<a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}, \cdots>$ to output stream $\left\langle b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}, \cdots>\right.$

$$
s_{0} \xrightarrow{a_{0} \mid b_{0}} s_{1} \xrightarrow{a_{1} \mid b_{1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{a_{k} \mid b_{k}} s_{k} \xrightarrow{a_{k+1} \mid b_{k+1}} \ldots
$$

single-step transition:

$$
s_{i} \stackrel{a_{i} \mid b_{i}, s_{i+1}}{=}\left(b_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)=\phi\left(s_{i}\right) a_{i}
$$

## CCA and Mealy Machines

Functions as Mealy machine states:

$$
\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta \frac{中}{\psi} \alpha \rightarrow(\beta \times(\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta))
$$

In Haskell, we borrow list type to represent streams:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{run}::(\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta) \rightarrow[\alpha] \rightarrow[\beta] \\
& \operatorname{run} f(x: x s)=\operatorname{let}\left(y, f^{\prime}\right)=\phi(f) x \text { in } y: \operatorname{run} f^{\prime} x s
\end{aligned}
$$

## Causal Commutative Normal Form (CCNF)

For all $\vdash e: \alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta$, there exists a normal form $e_{n o r m}$, which is either a pure arrow arr $f$, or loopB $i($ arr $g)$, such that $\vdash e_{\text {norm }}: \alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta$ and $\llbracket e \rrbracket=\llbracket e_{\text {norm }} \rrbracket$.

$$
\text { loopB i } f=\operatorname{loop}(f \ggg \text { second }(\text { second }(\text { init } i)))
$$



## Exponential Example Normalized


(f) Original

(g) Normalized

CCNF is a single loop containing one pure arrow and one initiated state.

## Benchmarks (Speed Ratio, Greater is Better)

|  | 1. GHC | 2. arrowp | 3. CCNF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\exp$ | 1.0 | 2.2 | 10.1 |
| sine | 1.0 | 2.9 | 12.6 |
| oscSine | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| 50's sci-fi | 1.0 | 1.12 | 5.1 |
| robotSim | 1.0 | 1.4 | 3.8 |

- Same CCA source programs written in Arrow syntax.
- Same Haskell implementation of the CCA semantics.
- Only difference:

1. Translated to arrow combinators by GHC's built-in arrow compiler.
2. Translated to arrow combinators by Paterson's arrowp preprocessor.
3. normalized combinator program.

## One-step Reduction $\mapsto$

Intuition: extend Arrow Loop laws to loopB.

```
loop
init
    loop f \mapsto loopB \perp( (arr assoc}\mp@subsup{}{}{-1}>>>\mathrm{ first f > arr assoc)
    init i \mapsto loopBi(arr (swap}\cdotjuggle.swap))
composition
    arr f>>arr g \mapsto arr (g.f)
extension
left tightening
    first (arr f) \mapsto arr (f\timesid)
lentintening h>loopB if \mapsto loopB i (firsth > f)
right tightening loopB if > arr g \mapsto loopBi(f>> first (arr g))
vanishing loopBi(loopBjf) \mapsto loopB (i,j)(arr shuffle > f > arr shuffle }\mp@subsup{}{}{-1}\mathrm{ )
superposing first(loopB if) \mapsto loopB i(arr juggle > first f > arr juggle)
```


## Normalization Procedure $\mapsto_{n}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{e \mapsto_{n} e}{} \quad \exists i, f \text { s.t. } e=\operatorname{arr} f \text { or } e=\operatorname{loopB} i(\operatorname{arr} f) \\
\frac{e_{1} \mapsto_{n} e_{1}^{\prime} \quad e_{2} \mapsto_{n} e_{2}^{\prime} \quad e_{1}^{\prime} \ggg e_{2}^{\prime} \mapsto e \quad e \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}}{e_{1} \ggg e_{2} \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}} \\
\frac{f \mapsto_{n} f^{\prime} \quad \text { first } f^{\prime} \mapsto e \quad e \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}}{\text { first } f \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}} \quad \frac{f \mapsto_{n} f^{\prime} \quad \operatorname{loopB} i f^{\prime} \mapsto e \quad e \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}}{\operatorname{loopB} i f \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}} \\
\frac{\text { init } i \mapsto e \quad e \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}}{\text { init } i \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}} \\
\frac{\operatorname{loop} f \mapsto e \quad e \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}}{\operatorname{loop} f \mapsto_{n} e^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Always terminating.
- Preserving type and semantics due to arrow laws.
- Determinstic.


## Normalization Explained

- Based on arrow laws, but directed.
- The two new laws, commutativity and product, are essential.
- Best illustrated by pictures...


## Re-order Parallel pure and stateful arrows



Related law: exchange (a special case for commutativity).

## Re-order sequential pure and stateful arrows



Related laws: tightening, sliding, and definition of second.

## Change sequential to parallel



Related laws: product, tightening, sliding, and definition of second.

## Move sequential into loop



Related law: tightening.

## Move parallel into loop



Related laws: superposing, and definition of second.

## Fuse nested loops



Related laws: commutativity, product, tightening, and vanishing.

## Conclusion

- CCA is a minimal language for FRP and dataflow languages.
- Arrow laws for CCA lead to the discovery of a normal form.
- CCNF is an effective optimization for CCA programs.
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Abstraction, Absraction, and Abstraction!

Thank You!

