

## 10/19: Random Graphs, II

Monday, October 23, 2006  
9:18 AM

In the last lecture, we proved that certain structures were unlikely to appear in graphs by defining a random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  that are each one if a certain structure appears, and zero otherwise. We then set  $X$  to be the sum of the  $X_i$ s, and proved that the expectation of  $X$  was small. We observed by Markov's inequality that

$$\Pr[X \geq 1] \leq E[X]$$

But, when we want to show that  $X$  is unlikely to be zero, this technique does not suffice. Even if we show that  $E[X]$  is big, we have not established that  $X$  is unlikely to be zero. In this lecture, we show how to prove such results.

In class, I made a mistake in the presentation of this lecture. I've fixed the mistake in these notes.

Recall the variance of a random variable:

$$\text{Var}[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2]$$

Using linearity of expectation, we can expand this as

$$= E[X^2] - 2E[XE[X]] + E[X]^2$$

$$= E[X^2] - 2E[X]^2 + E[X]^2$$

$$= E[X^2] - E[X]^2$$

We will use Chebychev's inequality:

Thus

$$\Pr[|X - E[X]| > \lambda] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\lambda^2}$$

Proof

$$\text{Var}[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2] \geq \lambda^2 \cdot \Pr[|X - E[X]| \geq \lambda]$$

Now, divide both sides by  $\lambda^2$ .

We will use this with  $\lambda = E[X]$ , which gives

$$\Pr[X \leq 0] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{E[X]^2} \quad (\star)$$


---

Now let's examine the probability that

a graph chosen from  $G(n, p)$  has an  
isolated vertex.  $\leftarrow$  (having no edges)  
We will show that for

$p = \frac{(1-\varepsilon) \ln n}{n}$  it is unlikely there is an  
isolated vertex, and for  $p = \frac{(1-\varepsilon) \ln n}{n}$

there probably is an isolated vertex.

Let  $A_i$  be the event that vertex  $i$  is isolated,

$$A = \bigcup_i A_i$$

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A_i \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$\text{Then, } \Pr[A_i] = (1-p)^{n-1}$$

As we will frequently encounter expressions of

this form, let me recall that

$$(\text{--} p)^p e^{-p} \leq (\text{--} p) \leq e^{-p}$$

$$\text{So, } E[X_i] \leq e^{-p(n-1)}, \text{ and } E[X] \leq n e^{-p(n-1)}$$

If we substitute  $p = \frac{(1+\varepsilon) \ln n}{n-1}$ , this gives

$$E[X] \leq n e^{-p(n-1)} = n e^{-(1+\varepsilon) \ln n} = n \cdot n^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{\ln n}} = n^{-\varepsilon}$$

$$\text{So, } \mathbb{P}[X=1] \leq n^{-\varepsilon}$$


---

On the other hand, if  $p = \frac{(1-\varepsilon) \ln n}{n-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_i] &= (\text{--} p)^{n-1} \geq (\text{--} p)^{p(n-1)} e^{-p(n-1)} \\ &= (\text{--} p)^{(1-\varepsilon) \ln n} e^{-(1-\varepsilon) \ln n} \geq (\text{--} p)^{\ln n} n^{-(1-\varepsilon)} \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{(1-\varepsilon) \ln^2 n}{n-1}\right) \frac{1}{n^{1-\varepsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

(by the inequality  $(1-\alpha)^k \geq 1 - k\alpha$ )

Assuming  $\frac{\ln^2 n}{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we get (happens if  $n$  sufficiently large)

$$\geq \frac{1}{2n^{1-\varepsilon}}$$

$$\text{So, } E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] = n \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{n}{2}.$$

But, this is not enough to conclude  $P\{\bar{X} > 0\}$   
is non-negligible!

So, let's compute  $\text{Var}(X)$ .

---

First, let's do a general calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} E[X^2] &= E\left[\left(\sum_i X_i\right)^2\right] = E\left[\sum_{i,j} X_i X_j\right] \\ &= \sum_{i,j} E[X_i X_j] = \sum_i E[X_i^2] + \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E[X]^2 = \sum_i E[X_i]^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i] E[X_j]$$

$$\text{So, } E[X^2] - E[X]^2$$

$$= \sum_i (E[X_i^2] - E[X_i]^2) + \sum_{i \neq j} (E[X_i X_j] - E[X_i] E[X_j])$$

$$= \sum_i \text{Var}[X_i] + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j),$$

$$\text{where } \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E[X_i X_j] - E[X_i] E[X_j]$$

Note that if  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are independent,  
then  $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0$

---

Now, in our case,  $X_i$  only takes the values 0 or 1, so  $X_i = X_i^2$ , and

$$E[X_i^2] = E[X_i] = (1-p)^{(n-1)}$$

$$\text{So, } \text{Var}(X_i) = E[X_i^2] - E[X_i]^2 \leq E[X_i^2]$$

$$= E[X_i] = (1-p)^{(n-1)} \leq e^{-p(n-1)} = \frac{1}{n+e}$$

On the other hand,

$$\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = E[X_i X_j] - E[X_i] E[X_j]$$

now,  $E[X_i X_j] = \Pr[A_i \cap A_j]$ , none of  
and  $A_i \cap A_j$  happens only if  $\leftarrow$  the  $2(n-2)+1 = 2n-3$

possible edges attached to i and j appear,

$$\text{So } E[X_i X_j] = (1-p)^{2n-3}$$

$$\text{As } E[X_i] = (1-p)^{n-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_i X_j) &= (1-p)^{2n-3} - (1-p)^{2(n-1)} \\ &= (1-p)^{2n-3} (1 - (1-p)) = p (1-p)^{2n-3} \end{aligned}$$

$$= p(1-p) \left( (1-p)^{n-1} \right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq p(1-p) \left( \frac{1}{n^{1-\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\ln n}{n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\ln n}{n-1} \right) \frac{1}{n^{2-2\varepsilon}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\ln(n)}{n-1} \frac{1}{n^{2-2\varepsilon}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \text{Var}[X] \leq \sum_i \text{Var}[X_i] + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq n \frac{1}{n^{1-\varepsilon}} + n(n-1) \frac{\ln(n)}{n-1} \frac{1}{n^{2-2\varepsilon}} \\
 &= n^\varepsilon + \frac{\ln(n) \cdot n^{2\varepsilon}}{n} \leq 2n^\varepsilon \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently}
 \end{aligned}$$

large.

$$\text{So, } \Pr[X=0] = \Pr[X \leq 0] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{\text{E}[X]^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{2n^\varepsilon}{\left(\frac{n^\varepsilon}{2}\right)^2} = \frac{8}{n^\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large.

Now, let's do a more interesting example.

We will show that the property of containing

a 4-clique has a threshold at  $n^{-2/3}$ .

First, for each set  $|S|=4$ , let  $A_S$  denote the event that the graph contains a clique on

the vertices in  $S$ .

$$\text{let } X_S = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A_S \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad X = \sum_{|S|=4} X_S$$

$$\text{we first compute } E[X] = \sum_{|S|=4} E[X_S]$$

$$= \sum_{|S|=4} \Pr[A_S] = \binom{n}{4} p^6$$

$$\text{So, if } p = cn^{-2/3}, \quad E[X] \leq \frac{n^4 c^4}{24} \left(n^{-2/3}\right)^6 \\ = \frac{c^6}{24} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } c \rightarrow 0$$

For example, if  $c = \frac{1}{\ln(n)}$  this goes to zero.

Now, let's consider the case in which  $c \rightarrow \infty$ .

For this case, we need to compute  $\text{Var}[X]$ .

We upper bound  $\text{Var}[X]$  by

$$\text{Var}[X] \leq E[X]^2 + \sum_{S \neq T} \text{Cov}(X_S, X_T)$$

To bound  $\text{Cov}(X_S, X_T)$ , we recall that

$\text{Cov}(X_S, X_T) = 0$  if  $X_S$  and  $X_T$  are

independent, which happens if  $|S \cap T| = 0$   
or  $|S \cap T| = 1$

In the other cases, we apply  $\text{Cor}(X_S, X_T) \leq \Pr[A_S \cap A_T]$

So, for  $|S \cap T|=2$ ,  $\text{Cor}(X_S, X_T) \leq p^6$ ,

as 11 edges have to appear for both  $S$  and  $T$  to be cliques.

For  $|S \cap T|=3$ ,  $\text{Cor}(X_S, X_T) \leq p^9$ .

The number of pairs  $S, T$  for which  $|S \cap T|=2$

$$\leq \binom{n}{2} \binom{n-2}{2} \binom{n-4}{2} \leq n^6$$

and for  $|S \cap T|=3$

$$\leq \binom{n}{3} \binom{n-3}{1} \binom{n-4}{1} \leq n^5$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \sum_{S \neq T} \text{Cor}(X_S, X_T) &\leq n^6 p^6 + n^5 p^9 \\ &= C'' n^{(6 - \frac{2 \cdot 11}{3})} + C' n^{(5 - \frac{9 \cdot 2}{3})} \\ &= C'' n^{-4/3} + C' n^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\text{Var}[X] \leq \frac{C^6}{24} + C'' n^{-4/3} + C' n^{-1} \leq \frac{C^6}{12},$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large.

We also need to know

$$E[X] = \binom{n}{4} p^6 \geq \frac{n^4}{25} p^6 \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large}$$

$$= \frac{C^6}{25}$$

So, for  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$\Pr[\omega(G) < 4] = \Pr[X=0] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{\mathbb{E}[X]^2} \leq \frac{C^6/12}{(C^6/25)^2}$$

$$= \frac{25^2}{12 \cdot C^6} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } C \rightarrow \infty,$$

For example, if  $C = \ln(n)$