

Iterative Linear Equation Solvers

Elimination: even if $\# \text{nz} \in \mathcal{O}(n)$,
can require space $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ and time $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

Iterative: only store matrix and a few vectors.
Approach solution rather than compute it exactly.

Want to solve $Ax=b$, A pos def

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Observe } Ax=b &\Leftrightarrow \alpha Ax = \alpha b \\ &\Rightarrow x + (\alpha A - I)x = \alpha b \\ &\Rightarrow x = (I - \alpha A)^{-1} x + \alpha b\end{aligned}$$

Iterate on this

$$\begin{aligned}x_0 &= 0 \\ x_t &= (I - \alpha A)x_{t-1} + \alpha b\end{aligned}$$

Is called Richardson Iteration

Converges if $\|I - \alpha A\| < 1$

$$A \text{ sym} \Rightarrow \|I - \alpha A\| = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_i|$$

where $0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$

$$\text{Set } \alpha = \frac{2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n} \text{ gives } \lambda_1, \lambda_n = \pm \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_1}{\lambda_n + \lambda_1}$$

$$\text{and } \|I - \alpha A\| = \left| -\frac{2\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n} \right|$$

$$\text{If } \alpha < \frac{2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n}, \quad \|(I - \alpha A)\| \leq 1 - \lambda_1 \alpha$$

Convergence: consider $x - x_t$.

$$\begin{aligned} x - x_t &= ((I - \alpha A)x + \alpha b) - ((I - \alpha A)x_{t-1} + \alpha b) \\ &= (I - \alpha A)(x - x_{t-1}) \\ &= (I - \alpha A)^t (x - x_0) \\ &= (I - \alpha A)^t x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|x - x_t\| &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|(I - \alpha A)\|^t \\ &= \|x\| \left(1 - \frac{2\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n}\right)^t \\ &\leq \|x\| e^{-\frac{2\lambda_1 t}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{To get } \frac{\|x - x_t\|}{\|x\|} \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{suffices to have } t &= \ln(1/\varepsilon) \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n}{2\lambda_1} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{2\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln(1/\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

key term is $\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1} \triangleq \kappa(A)$,

the condition number.

$$\# \text{ iters} \approx \frac{1}{2} \kappa(A) \cdot \ln(1/\varepsilon)$$

For Laplacian of ε -expander, orth to $\mathbb{1}$,
 $\kappa(L) \approx (1+2\varepsilon)$ so very fast convergence

Issue: might not know λ_1, λ_n , so only guess α .

Can not tell if have answer.

Can measure $b - Ax_t = Ax_0 - Ax_t = A(x_0 - x_t)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= A(I - \alpha A)^t x_0 = (I - \alpha A)^t A x_0 \\ &= (I - \alpha A)^t b \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \|b - Ax_t\| = \|(I - \alpha A)^t\| \|b\|,$$

which could be more useful.

But, $\|b - Ax_t\| = \varepsilon \|b\|$ does not imply $x \approx x_t$

Only implies $\|x - x_t\| = \varepsilon \|(\cdot) \kappa(A)\|$

A view through polynomials.

Can write x_t as $P_t(A)b$, for some polynomial P_t

Check: $x_0 = 0$

$$x_1 = \alpha b$$

$$x_2 = (I - \alpha A)\alpha b + \alpha b$$

$$x_3 = (I - \alpha A)^2 \alpha b + (I - \alpha A)\alpha b + \alpha b$$

$$x_t = \sum_{i=0}^t (I - \alpha A)^i \alpha b$$

Will see that $P_t(A) \approx A^{-1}$

First, take the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} P_t(A) &\rightarrow \alpha \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\mathbb{I} - \alpha A)^i = \alpha (\mathbb{I} - (\mathbb{I} - \alpha A))^{-1} \\ &= \alpha (\alpha A)^{-1} \\ &= A^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

In general, a poly p gives an ε -accurate solution if

$$\|p(A) \cdot b - x\| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \|p(A)Ax - x\| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \|p(A)A - \mathbb{I}\| \leq \varepsilon$$

Now, we can search for better polynomials.

We need that for λ_i eigenvalues of A ,

$$|p(\lambda_i)\lambda_i - 1| \leq \varepsilon$$

Def $q(x) = p(x)x - 1$. We need $q(0) = 1$,
 $|q(\lambda_i)| \leq \varepsilon$

Thm¹ For every $t \geq 1$ and $0 < \lambda_{\min} \leq \lambda_{\max}$
 \exists deg $t-1$ poly $q_t(x)$ s.t.

1. $|q_t(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ for $\lambda_{\min} \leq x \leq \lambda_{\max}$

2. $q_t(0) = 1$

for $\varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{K}}\right)^t} \leq 2e^{-2t/\sqrt{K}}$

$$K = \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$$

A quadratic improvement.

Before proving, consider with Laplacians.

As work orthogonal to $\mathbb{1}$, $\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_2$.

A degree t polynomial only moves data t steps through a graph. So, should need $t \geq \text{diameter}$.

For path of length n , $K = \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \approx n^2$,

so $\sqrt{K} \approx n$ iterations makes sense.

For expander, $K \approx \text{constant}$

hypercube, $K \approx \log n$

these can be solved quickly.

Prove that using Chebyshev polynomials.

$$\text{Def } T_t(x) = \begin{cases} \cos(t \cdot \arccos(x)) & |x| \leq 1 \\ \cosh(t \cdot \operatorname{arccosh}(x)) & |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

To see it's a polynomial,

$$\text{def } T_0(x) = 1 \quad T_1(x) = x$$

$$T_t(x) = 2xT_{t-1}(x) - T_{t-2}(x)$$

To verify trig identities,

$$\text{recall } \cos(\theta) = \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2} \quad \cosh(\theta) = \frac{e^\theta + e^{-\theta}}{2}$$

$$\text{if } \theta = \operatorname{arccosh}(x) \Leftrightarrow \cosh(x) = \theta,$$

$$\text{and } 2xT_{t-1}(x) - T_{t-2}(x)$$

$$= 2 \left(\frac{e^\theta + e^{-\theta}}{2} \right) \left(\frac{e^{(t-1)\theta} + e^{-(t-1)\theta}}{2} \right) - \frac{e^{(t-2)\theta} + e^{-(t-2)\theta}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{t\theta} + e^{-t\theta} + e^{-(t-2)\theta} + e^{(t-2)\theta} - e^{(t-2)\theta} - e^{-(t-2)\theta} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{t\theta} + e^{-t\theta} \right] = \cosh(t\theta)$$

For $|x| \leq 1$, $|T_t(x)| \leq 1$,

because $\exists \theta$ s.t. $\cos \theta = x$, and $|\cos(t\theta)| \leq 1$

Claim: For $x > 0$, $T_t(1+x) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{2x})^t$

using $\operatorname{arccosh}(x) = \ln(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ for $x \geq 1$

Proof of Thm 1

know $T_t(x)$ has degree t

$T_t(x) \in [-1, 1]$ for $x \in [-1, 1]$

$T_t(x)$ is monotonically increasing for $x > 1$

$T_t(1+x) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{2x})^t$

Write $q_t(x) = T_t(l(x)) / T_t(l(0))$

where $l(x) = \frac{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min} - 2x}{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}$

$l(\lambda_{\max}) = -1$

$l(\lambda_{\min}) = 1$

$l(0) = 1 + \frac{2\lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \geq 1 + \frac{2}{K}$

By def, $q_t(0) = 1$

for $x \in \lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}$, $l(x) \in [-1, 1]$ so

$|T_t(l(x))| \leq 1$, and $|q_t(x)| \leq \frac{1}{T_t(l(0))} \rightarrow$

$\rightarrow \leq \frac{2}{(1 + 2/\sqrt{K})^t} \leq 2e^{-2t/\sqrt{K}}$