

- Today:
1. Spectral Theorem as Optimization.
  2. The Laplacian
  3. Spectral graph drawing
  4. The proof
- 

Thm 1 Let  $M$  be a symmetric matrix and let  $x$  maximize  $\frac{x^T M x}{x^T x}$ . Then  $x$  is an eigenvector of  $M$  of eigenvalue  $\mu = \frac{x^T M x}{x^T x}$ .

proof There is a max, and it is achieved. Suffices to consider  $\|x\|=1$ , and the set of unit vectors is closed and compact.

At the max, gradient is 0.

$$\nabla x^T x = 2x \quad \nabla x^T M x = 2Mx$$

$$\nabla \frac{x^T M x}{x^T x} = \frac{(x^T x)(2Mx) - (x^T M x)(2x)}{(x^T x)^2} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x^T x)(Mx) = (x^T M x)x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Mx = \frac{x^T M x}{x^T x} x$$

Thm 2 Let  $M$  be a symmetric matrix. There exist

$\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  and orthonormal vectors  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  s.t.  $M\psi_i = \mu_i\psi_i$ .

Moreover,  $\psi_i = \arg \max_{\|x\|=1} \frac{x^T M x}{x^T x}$   
 $x^T \psi_j = 0, j < i$

Proof Get  $\mu_1, \psi_1$  from Thm 1.

If consider  $M + bI$ , has same eigenvs as  $M$ .

For big  $b$  is positive definite -  $x^T(M+bI)x > 0, \forall x$ .

So, suffices to consider positive definite case.

Assume have  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ . Now construct  $M_k$ .

$$M_k = M - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \psi_i \psi_i^T$$

Will apply Thm 1 to  $M_k$ .

For  $x \perp \psi_1, \dots, \psi_k$ ,  $M_k x = Mx$

So

$$\arg \max_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \perp \psi_1, \dots, \psi_k}} \frac{x^T M x}{x^T x} = \arg \max_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \perp \psi_1, \dots, \psi_k}} \frac{x^T M_k x}{x^T x} \stackrel{!}{=} \arg \max_{\|x\|=1} \frac{x^T M_k x}{x^T x}$$

$$\text{let } x \in \arg \max_{x^T x} \frac{x^T M_k x}{x^T x}$$

Will show  $y \perp \psi_1 \dots \psi_k \Rightarrow M_k y = \mu y$  by Thm 1

$$\text{and } M y = M_k y = \mu y$$

$$\text{so, set } \psi_{k+1} = y, \quad M_{k+1} = M$$

$$(\star) \Rightarrow y \in \arg \max_{x \perp \psi_1 \dots \psi_k} \frac{x^T M x}{x^T x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } j \leq k, \quad M_k \psi_j &= M \psi_j - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \psi_i \underbrace{\psi_i^T \psi_j}_{\delta_{ij}} \\ &= \mu_j \psi_j - \mu_j \psi_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{let } \tilde{y} = y - \sum_{i=1}^k \psi_i (\psi_i^T y) = \text{proj orth to } \psi_1 \dots \psi_k$$

$$\text{if } \tilde{y} \neq y \text{ then } \|\tilde{y}\| < \|y\| = 1 \quad \text{But } \tilde{y}^T M_k \tilde{y} = y^T M_k y$$

$$\text{Now, set } \hat{y} = \frac{\tilde{y}}{\|\tilde{y}\|}. \text{ set } \hat{y}^T M_k \hat{y} > y^T M_k y,$$

a contradiction.

Laplacians Want symmetric matrix  $L$  s.t.

$$x^T L x = \sum_{(a,b) \in E} w_{a,b} (x(a) - x(b))^2$$

Consider  $G_{a,b}$  - graph with edge  $(a,b)$

$$\begin{aligned} x^T L_{G_{a,b}} x &= (x(a) - x(b))^2 = \left( (d_a - d_b)^T x \right)^2 \\ &= x^T (d_a - d_b) (d_a - d_b)^T x \end{aligned}$$

$$(d_1 - d_2)(d_1 - d_2)^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Is how we set  $L = \sum_{(a,b) \in E} w_{a,b} (d_a - d_b)(d_a - d_b)^T$

$= D - M$  where  $D$  diagonal

$$D(a,a) = \sum_{b: (a,b) \in E} w_{a,b}$$

$$M(a,b) = \begin{cases} w_{a,b} & (a,b) \in E \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

$$(Lx)(a) = d(a)x(a) - \sum_b w_{a,b} x(b) = \sum_{b: (a,b) \in E} w_{a,b} (x(a) - x(b))$$

So,  $L\mathbb{1} = 0$ . Can see by  $M\mathbb{1} = d$  and  $D\mathbb{1} = d$ .

As  $x^T Lx \geq 0, \forall x$ ,  $\lambda_1 = 0$  is smallest eigenval.

Note: order Laplacian eigenvals  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$

Thm  $\lambda_2 = 0$  iff  $G$  is disconnected.

If  $G$  is disconnected, can create two orthogonal vectors with eigenvalue zero.

Let  $S \subseteq V$  and  $T \subseteq V$  be disjoint components.

$$\mathbb{1}_S(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in S \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

$L\mathbb{1}_S = 0$ , as all nbrs of vertices in  $S$  are in  $S$ .

$$L\mathbb{1}_T = 0. \quad \mathbb{1}_S^T \mathbb{1}_T = 0$$

If  $G$  is connected,  $\lambda_2 > 0$ . Let  $x$  be any

non-constant vector. (Claim is  $(a,b) \in E$  st.

$x(a) \neq x(b)$ . Because  $\exists a,b$  st.  $x(a) \neq x(b)$ ,

and they are joined by a path.

$$\Rightarrow (x(a) - x(b))^2 > 0 \Rightarrow x^T Lx > 0.$$

## Spectral Graph Drawing

In line. Want to choose  $x(a) \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  
neighbors are close.

Consider  $\min x^T L x$ .

Solution could be zero.

So require  $\|x\| = 1$

Solution could be  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{1}$ . So require  $\sum_a x(a) = 0 = \mathbf{1}^T x$ .

Now  $\psi_2 \in \arg \min_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x^T \mathbf{1} = 0}} x^T L x$

In 2D, map  $a$  to  $(x(a), y(a))$

And,  $\min \sum_{(a,b) \in E} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x(a) \\ x(b) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} y(a) \\ y(b) \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = x^T L x + y^T L y$

s.t.  $\|x\| = 1$

$\|y\| = 1$

$x^T \mathbf{1} = 0$

$y^T \mathbf{1} = 0$

$x^T y = 0$

Would guess  $x = \psi_2$   $y = \psi_3$ , or any rotation of these

Needs a little work, but is right answer.

In  $k$  dimensions, want  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  orthonormal set.

$$x_i^T \mathbf{1} = 0, \quad \forall i$$

$$\text{min obj val is } \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^T L x_i = \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \lambda_i$$

Then if  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are orthonormal and orthogonal to  $\mathbf{1}$ ,

$$\text{then } \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^T L x_i \geq \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \lambda_i$$

proof

Choose  $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$  to be an orthonormal basis of space orth to  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ , so  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is an orthonormal basis.

$$\text{So, for all } j \quad \sum_i (x_i^T \psi_j)^2 = 1 \quad \text{and } \forall i \quad \sum_j (\psi_j^T x_i)^2 = 1$$

$$x_i^T L x_i = \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j (\psi_j^T x_i)^2$$

$$= \lambda_{k+1} + \sum_{j=2}^n (\lambda_j - \lambda_{k+1}) (\psi_j^T x_i)^2 \quad \text{as } = 1$$

$$\geq \lambda_{k+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} (\lambda_j - \lambda_{k+1}) (\psi_j^T x_i)^2$$

pos for  $j > k+1$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^T L x_i \geq k \lambda_{k+1} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^{k+1} (\lambda_j - \lambda_{k+1})}_{\text{non-pos}} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k (\psi_j^T x_i)^2}_{\leq 1}$$

$$\geq k \lambda_{k+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} (\lambda_j - \lambda_{k+1})$$

$$= \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} \lambda_j.$$