

## Lecture 2

*Lecturer: Daniel A. Spielman***2.1 The Laplacian, again**

We'll begin by redefining the Laplacian. First, let  $G_{1,2}$  be the graph on two vertices with one edge. We define

$$L_{G_{1,2}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that

$$x^T L_{G_{1,2}} x = (x_1 - x_2)^2. \quad (2.1)$$

In general, for the graph with  $n$  vertices and just one edge between vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , we can define the Laplacian similarly. For concreteness, I'll call the graph  $G_{u,v}$  and define it by

$$L_{G_{u,v}}(i, j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } i \in u, v \\ -1 & \text{if } i = u \text{ and } j = v, \text{ or } \textit{vice versa}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a graph  $G$  with edge set  $E$ , we now define

$$L(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{(u,v) \in E} L(G_{u,v}).$$

Many elementary properties of the Laplacian follow from this definition. In particular, we see that  $L_{G_{1,2}}$  has eigenvalues 0 and 2, and so is positive semidefinite, where we recall that a symmetric matrix  $M$  is positive semidefinite if all of its eigenvalues are non-negative. Also recall that this is equivalent to

$$x^T M x \geq 0, \text{ for all } x \in R^n.$$

It follows immediately that the Laplacian of every graph is positive semidefinite. One way to see this is to sum equation (2.1) to get

$$x^T L_G x = \sum_{(u,v) \in E} (x_u - x_v)^2.$$

**Remark** Since the vertex set really doesn't matter, I actually prefer the notation  $L(E)$  where  $E$  is a set of edges. Had I used this notation above, it would have eliminated some subscripts. For example, I could have written  $L_{\{u,v\}}$  instead of  $L_{G_{u,v}}$ .

We now sketch the proof of one of the most fundamental facts about Laplacians.

**Lemma 2.1.1.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected graph, and let  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of its Laplacian. Then,  $\lambda_2 > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be an eigenvector  $L_G$  of eigenvalue 0. Then, we have

$$L_G x = \mathbf{0},$$

and so

$$x^T L_G x = \sum_{(u,v) \in E} (x_u - x_v)^2 = 0.$$

Thus, for each pair of vertices  $(u, v)$  connected by an edge, we have  $x_u = x_v$ . As the graph is connected, we have  $x_u = x_v$  for all pairs of vertices  $u, v$ , which implies that  $x$  is some constant times the all 1s vector. Thus, the eigenspace of 0 has dimension 1.  $\square$

This lemma led Fiedler to consider the magnitude of  $\lambda_2$  as a measure of how well-connected the graph is. In later lectures, we will see just how good a measure it is. Accordingly, we often call  $\lambda_2$  the *Fiedler value* of a graph, and  $v_2$  its *Fiedler vector*.

In general, it is pointless to consider unconnected graphs, because their spectra are just the union of the spectra of their components. For example, we have:

**Corollary 2.1.2.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph, and let  $L$  be its Laplacian. Then, the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of  $L$  equals the number of connected components of  $G$ .*

## 2.2 Some Fundamental Graphs

We now examine the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Laplacians of some fundamental graphs. In particular, we will examine

- The complete graph on  $n$  vertices,  $K_n$ , which has edge set  $\{(u, v) : u \neq v\}$ .
- The path graph on  $n$  vertices,  $P_n$ , which has edge set  $\{(u, u + 1) : 1 \leq u < n\}$ .
- The ring graph on  $n$  vertices,  $R_n$ , which has all the edges of the path graph, plus the edge  $(1, n)$ .
- The grid graph on  $n^2$  vertices. To define this graph, we will identify vertices with pairs  $(u_1, u_2)$  where  $1 \leq u_1 \leq n$  and  $1 \leq u_2 \leq n$ . Each node  $(u_1, u_2)$  has edges to each node that differs by one in just one coordinate.

**Lemma 2.2.1.** *The Laplacian of  $K_n$  has eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity 1 and  $n$  with multiplicity  $n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be the Laplacian of  $K_n$ . Let  $x$  be any vector orthogonal to the all 1s vector. Consider the first coordinate of  $Lx$ . It will be  $n - 1$  times  $x_1$ , minus

$$\sum_{u>1} x_u = -x_1,$$

as  $x$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{1}$ . Thus,  $x$  is an eigenvector of eigenvalue  $n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.2.** *The Laplacian of  $R_n$  has eigenvectors*

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(u) &= \sin(2\pi ku/n), \text{ and} \\ y_k(u) &= \cos(2\pi ku/n), \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \leq n/2$ . Both of these have eigenvalue  $2 - 2\cos(2\pi k/n)$ . Note  $x_0$  should be ignored, and  $y_0$  is the all 1s vector. If  $n$  is even, then  $x_{n/2}$  should also be ignored.

*Proof.* The best way to see that  $x_k$  and  $y_k$  are eigenvectors is to plot the graph on the circle using these vectors as coordinates. That they are eigenvectors is geometrically obvious. To compute the eigenvalue, just consider vertex  $u = n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.3.** *The Laplacian of  $P_n$  has the same eigenvalues as  $R_{2n}$ , and eigenvectors*

$$x_k(u) = \sin(\pi ku/n + \pi/2n).$$

for  $0 \leq k < n$

*Proof.* This is our first interesting example. We derive the eigenvectors and eigenvalues by treating  $P_n$  as a quotient of  $R_{2n}$ : we will identify vertex  $u$  of  $P_n$  with both vertices  $u$  and  $2n - u$  of  $R_{2n}$ . Let  $z$  be an eigenvector of  $R_{2n}$  in which  $z(u) = z(2n - u)$  for all  $u$ . I then claim that the first  $n$  components of  $z$  give an eigenvector of  $P_n$ . To see why this is true, note that an eigenvector  $z$  of  $P_n$  must satisfy for some  $\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} 2z(u) - z(u-1) - z(u+1) &= \lambda z(u), \text{ for } 1 < u < n, \text{ and} \\ z(1) - z(2) &= \lambda z(2) \\ z(n) - z(n-1) &= \lambda z(n). \end{aligned}$$

One can now immediately verify that the first  $n$  components of such a  $z$  satisfy these conditions. The only tricky parts are at the endpoints.

Now, to obtain such a vector  $z$ , we take

$$z_k(u) = \sin(2\pi ku/(2n) + \pi/(2n)),$$

which is in the span of  $x_k$  and  $y_k$ .  $\square$

The quotient construction used in this proof is an example of a generally applicable technique.

### 2.2.1 Products of Graphs

We will now determine the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of grid graphs. We will go through a more general theory in which we relate the spectra of products graphs to the spectra of the graphs of which they are products.

**Definition 2.2.4.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  and  $H = (W, F)$  be graphs. Then  $G \times H$  is the graph with vertex set  $V \times W$  and edge set

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (v_1, w), (v_2, w) \right) \text{ where } (v_1, v_2) \in E \text{ and} \\ & \left( (v, w_1), (v, w_2) \right) \text{ where } (w_1, w_2) \in F. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2.5.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  and  $H = (W, F)$  be graphs with Laplacian eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$ , and eigenvectors  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ , respectively. Then, for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $G \times H$  has an eigenvector  $z$  of eigenvalue  $\lambda_i + \mu_j$  such that

$$z(v, w) = x_i(v)y_j(w).$$

*Proof.* To see that this eigenvector has the proper eigenvalue, let  $L$  denote the Laplacian of  $G \times H$ ,  $d_v$  the degree of node  $v$  in  $G$ , and  $e_w$  the degree of node  $w$  in  $H$ . We can then verify that

$$\begin{aligned} (Lz)(v, w) &= (d_v + e_w)(x_i(v)y_j(w)) - \sum_{(v, v_2) \in E} (x_i(v_2)y_j(w)) - \sum_{(w, w_2) \in F} (x_i(v)y_j(w_2)) \\ &= (d_v)(x_i(v)y_j(w)) - \sum_{(v, v_2) \in E} (x_i(v_2)y_j(w)) + (e_w)(x_i(v)y_j(w)) - \sum_{(w, w_2) \in F} (x_i(v)y_j(w_2)) \\ &= y_j(w) \left( d_v x_i(v) - \sum_{(v, v_2) \in E} (x_i(v_2)) \right) + x_i(v) \left( e_w y_j(w) - \sum_{(w, w_2) \in F} (x_i(v)y_j(w_2)) \right) \\ &= y_j(w) \lambda_i x_i(v) + x_i(v) \mu_j y_j(w) \\ &= (\lambda_i + \mu_j)(x_i(v)y_j(w)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the grid graph are completely determined by the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the path graph. This completely explains the nice spectral embedding of the  $n$ -by- $n$  grid graph: its smallest non-zero eigenvalue has multiplicity two and its eigenspace is spanned by the vectors  $\mathbf{1} \times v_2$  and  $v_2 \times \mathbf{1}$ .

### 2.3 Bounding Eigenvalues

It is rare to be able to precisely determine the eigenvalues of a graph by purely combinatorial arguments. Usually, such arguments only provide bounds on the eigenvalues. The most commonly used tool for bounding eigenvalues is the Courant-Fischer characterization of eigenvalues:

**Theorem 2.3.1 (Courant-Fischer).** Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -by- $n$  symmetric matrix and let  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Let  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Then,

$$\lambda_k = \min_{S \text{ of dim } k} \max_{x \in S} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\lambda_k = \max_{S \text{ of dim } n-k-1} \min_{x \in S} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}, \quad (2.3)$$

For example, this allows us to obtain an easy upper bound on  $\lambda_2(P_n)$ . Setting  $S = \{x : x \perp \mathbf{1}\}$ , and applying (2.3), we obtain

$$\lambda_2(L) \leq \min_{x \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}.$$

This allows us to obtain an easy upper bound on  $\lambda_2(P_n)$ . Consider the vector  $x$  such that  $x(u) = (n+1) - 2u$ . This vector satisfies  $x \perp \mathbf{1}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(P_n) &\leq \frac{\sum_{1 \leq u < n} (x(u) - x(u+1))^2}{\sum_u x(u)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{1 \leq u < n} 2^2}{\sum_i (n+1-2u)^2} \\ &\sim \frac{4n}{n(n^2/3)} \\ &= \frac{12}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we can easily obtain an upper bound on  $\lambda(P_n)$  that is of the right order of magnitude.

It is more difficult to obtain lower bounds. In the next lecture, we will introduce a technique for proving lower bounds.

We will apply the technique to the example of the complete binary tree. The complete binary tree on  $n = 2^d - 1$  nodes,  $B_n$ , is the graph with edges of the form  $(u, 2u)$  and  $(u, 2u+1)$  for  $u < n/2$ . To upper bound  $\lambda_2(B_n)$ , we construct a vector  $x$  as follows. We first set  $x(1) = 0$ ,  $x(2) = 1$ , and  $x(3) = -1$ . Then, for every vertex  $u$  that we can reach from node 2 without going through node 1, we set  $x(u) = 1$ . For all the other nodes, we set  $x(u) = -1$ . We then have

$$\frac{\sum_{(u,v) \in B_n} (x_u - x_v)^2}{\sum_u x_u^2} = \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2}{n} = 2/n.$$

For now, we leave with some lower bounds on  $\lambda_n$ .

**Lemma 2.3.2.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph and let vertex  $w \in V$  have degree  $d$ . Then,

$$\lambda_{\max}(G) \geq d.$$

*Proof.* By (2.2),

$$\lambda_{\max}(G) \geq \max_x \frac{x^T L(G)x}{x^T x}.$$

Let  $x$  be the vector given by

$$x(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & u = w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{(u,v) \in E} (x_u - x_v)^2}{\sum x_u^2} = \frac{d}{1} = d.$$

□

We can improve this lemma slightly.

**Lemma 2.3.3.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph and let vertex  $w \in V$  have degree  $d$ . Then,*

$$\lambda_{\max}(G) \geq d + 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be the vector given by

$$x(u) = \begin{cases} d & u = w \\ -1 & \text{if } (u, w) \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{(u,v) \in E} (x_u - x_v)^2}{\sum x_u^2} = \frac{d(d+1)^2}{d^2 + d} = d + 1.$$

□

Can we prove a larger lower bound? To find out, let's consider the star graph with  $n$  vertices,  $S_n$ . It has edges of the form  $(1, i)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . To determine its eigenvalues, we apply the following lemma

**Lemma 2.3.4.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph, and let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be vertices of degree one that are both connected to another vertex  $w$ . Then, the vector  $x$  given by*

$$x(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & u = v_1 \\ -1 & u = v_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

*is an eigenvector of the Laplacian of  $G$  of eigenvalue 1.*

*Proof.* One can immediately verify that  $Lx = x$ .

□

Thus, we see that  $S_n$  has eigenvectors of eigenvalue 1 pairing vertices 2 and 3, 3 and 4, up to 2 and  $n$ . The space spanned by these vectors has dimension  $n - 2$ . As the vector  $\mathbf{1}$  is also an eigenvector, only one vector may remain. We don't even have to compute it to determine its eigenvalue: the sum of the eigenvalues is the trace of the matrix, which is  $2(n - 1)$ . The  $n - 1$  eigenvectors we have found so far account for  $n - 2$  of this sum, so the last eigenvector must have eigenvalue  $n$ . This establishes that Lemma 2.3.4 is tight. In fact, this last eigenvector turns out to be the vector constructed in the proof of that lemma.