Processes, Coroutines, and Concurrency Chapter 19

When most people speak of multitasking, they usually mean the ability to run several different application programs concurrently on one machine. Given the structure of the original 80x86 chips and MS-DOS' software design, this is very difficult to achieve when running DOS. Look at how long it's taken Microsoft to get Windows to multitask as well as it does.

Given the problems large companies like Microsoft have had trying to get multitasking to work, you might thing that it is a very difficult thing to manage. However, this isn't true. Microsoft has problems trying to make different applications *that are unaware of one another* work harmoniously together. Quite frankly, they have not succeeded in getting existing DOS applications to multitask well. Instead, they've been working on developers to write new programs that work well under Windows.

Multitasking is not trivial, but it is not that difficult when you write an application with multitasking specifically in mind. You can even write programs that multitask under DOS if you only take a few precautions. In this chapter, we will discuss the concept of a DOS *process*, a *coroutine*, and a general *process*.

19.1 DOS Processes

Although MS-DOS is a single tasking operating system, this does not mean there can only be one program at a time in memory. Indeed, the whole purpose of the previous chapter was to describe how to get two or more programs operating in memory at one time. However, even if we ignore TSRs for the time being, you can still load several programs into memory at one time under DOS. The only catch is, DOS only provides the ability for them to run one at a time in a very specific fashion. Unless the processes are *cooperating*, their execution profile follows a very strict pattern.

19.1.1 Child Processes in DOS

When a DOS application is running, it can load and executing some other program using the DOS EXEC function (see "MS-DOS, PC-BIOS, and File I/O" on page 699). Under normal circumstances, when an application (the parent) runs a second program (the child), the child process executes to completion and then returns to the parent. This is very much like a procedure call, except it is a little more difficult to pass parameters between the two.

MS-DOS provides several functions you can use to load and execute program code, terminate processes, and obtain the exit status for a process. The following table lists many of these operations.

Function #	Input	Output	Description
(AH)	Parameters	Parameters	
4Bh	al-0	ax- error code if	Load and execute program
	ds:dx- pointer to program name.	carry set.	
	es:bx-pointer to LOADEXEC structure.		
4Bh	al-1	ax- error code if	Load program
	ds:dx- pointer to program name.	carry set.	
	es:bx- pointer to LOAD structure.		
4Bh	al-3	ax- error code if	Load overlay
	ds:dx- pointer to program name. es:bx- pointer to OVERLAY structure.	carry set.	

Table 67: DOS Character Oriented Functions

Function #	Input	Output	Description
(AH)	Parameters	Parameters	
4Ch	al- process return code		Terminate execution
4Dh		al- return value ah- termination method.	Get child process return value

Table 67: DOS Character Oriented Functions

19.1.1.1 Load and Execute

The "load and execute" call requires two parameters. The first, in ds:dx, is a pointer to a zero terminated string containing the pathname of the program to execute. This must be a ".COM" or ".EXE" file and the string must contain the program name's extension. The second parameter, in es:bx, is a pointer to a LOADEXEC data structure. This data structure takes the following form:

LOADEXEC	struct		
EnvPtr	word	?	;Pointer to environment area
CmdLinePtr	dword	?	;Pointer to command line
FCB1	dword	?	;Pointer to default FCB1
FCB2	dword	?	;Pointer to default FCB2
LOADEXEC	ends		

Envptr is the segment address of the DOS *environment* block created for the new application. If this field contains a zero, DOS creates a copy of the current process' environment block for the child process. If the program you are running does not access the environment block, you can save several hundred bytes to a few kilobytes by pointing the environment pointer field to a string of four zeros.

The CmdLinePtr field contains the address of the command line to supply to the program. DOS will copy this command line to offset 80h in the new PSP it creates for the child process. A valid command line consists of a byte containing a character count, a least one space, any character belonging to the command line, and a terminating carriage return character (0Dh). The first byte should contain the length of the ASCII characters in the command line, not including the carriage return. If this byte contains zero, then the second byte of the command line should be the carriage return, not a space. Example:

MyCmdLine byte 12, "file1 file2",cr

The FCB1 and FCB2 fields need to point at the two default *file control blocks* for this program. FCBs became obsolete with DOS 2.0, but Microsoft has kept FCBs around for compatibility anyway. For most programs you can point both of these fields at the following string of bytes:

DfltFCB byte 3," ",0,0,0,0,0

The load and execute call will fail if there is insufficient memory to load the child process. When you create an ".EXE" file using MASM, it creates an executable file that grabs all available memory, by default. Therefore, there will be *no* memory available for the child process and DOS will always return an error. Therefore, you must readjust the memory allocation for the parent process before attempting to run the child process. The section "Semiresident Programs" on page 1055 describes how to do this.

There are other possible errors as well. For example, DOS might not be able to locate the program name you specify with the zero terminated string. Or, perhaps, there are too many open files and DOS doesn't have a free buffer available for the file I/O. If an error occurs, DOS returns with the carry flag set and an appropriate error code in the ax register. The following example program executes the "COMMAND.COM" program, allowing a user to execute DOS commands from inside your application. When the user types "exit" at the DOS command line, DOS returns control to your program.

; RUNDOS.ASM - Demonstrates how to invoke a copy of the COMMAND.COM ; DOS command line interpreter from your programs.

include stdlib.a

includelib stdlib.lib para public 'data' dseq segment ; MS-DOS EXEC structure. 0 ;Use parent's Environment blk. ExecStruct word dword CmdLine ;For the cmd ln parms. dword DfltFCB dword DfltFCB Dfl+FCB byte 3," ",0,0,0,0,0 CmdLine byte 0, 0dh ;Cmd line for program. PqmName dword filename ; Points at pqm name. filename byte "c:\command.com",0 dseq ends para public 'code' csea seament assume cs:cseq, ds:dseq Main proc ax, dseg mov ;Get ptr to vars segment mov ds, ax MemInit ;Start the memory mgr. ; Okay, we've built the MS-DOS execute structure and the necessary ; command line, now let's see about running the program. ; The first step is to free up all the memory that this program ; isn't using. That would be everything from zzzzzseg on. ; Note: unlike some previous examples in other chapters, it is okay ; to call Standard Library routines in this program after freeing ; up memory. The difference here is that the Standard Library ; routines are loaded early in memory and we haven't free up the ; storage they are sitting in. mov ah, 62h ;Get our PSP value int 21h es, bx mov mov ax, zzzzzseg ;Compute size of ax, bx ; resident run code. sub bx, ax mov ah, 4ah mov ;Release unused memory. int 21h ; Tell the user what is going on: print byte cr.lf byte "RUNDOS- Executing a copy of command.com",cr,lf "Type 'EXIT' to return control to RUN.ASM", cr, lf byte byte Ω ; Warning! No Standard Library calls after this point. We've just ; released the memory that they're sitting in. So the program load ; we're about to do will wipe out the Standard Library code. mov bx, seg ExecStruct mov es, bx bx, offset ExecStruct ;Ptr to program record. mov lds dx, PgmName ax, 4b00h mov ;Exec pgm 21h int ; In MS-DOS 6.0 the following code isn't required. But in various older ; versions of MS-DOS, the stack is messed up at this point. Just to be

; safe, let's reset the stack pointer to a decent place in memory.

;

; Note that this code preserves the carry flag and the value in the ; AX register so we can test for a DOS error condition when we are done

; fixing the stack.

```
bx, sseq
              mov
              mov
                         ss, ax
              mov
                         sp, offset EndStk
              mov
                         bx, seq dseq
                         ds, bx
              mov
; Test for a DOS error:
                         GoodCommand
              inc
              print
              byte
                         "DOS error #",0
              puti
              print.
                         " while attempting to run COMMAND.COM", cr, lf
              bvte
                         0
              byte
                         Ouit
              jmp
; Print a welcome back message.
GoodCommand:
             print
              byte
                         "Welcome back to RUNDOS. Hope you had fun.", cr, lf
                         "Now returning to MS-DOS' version of COMMAND.COM."
              byte
                         cr,lf,lf,0
              bvte
; Return control to MS-DOS
Ouit:
              ExitPam
Main
              endp
cseq
              ends
sseq
              segment
                       para stack `stack'
                        128 dup (0)
              dw
sseq
              ends
zzzzzseg
              segment
                         para public 'zzzzzseg'
              db
                         200h dup (?)
Heap
zzzzzseg
              ends
              end
                         Main
```

19.1.1.2 Load Program

The load and execute function gives the parent process very little control over the child process. Unless the child communicates with the parent process via a trap or interrupt, DOS suspends the parent process until the child terminates. In many cases the parent program may want to load the application code and then execute some additional operations before the child process takes over. Semiresident programs, appearing in the previous chapter, provide a good example. The DOS "load program" function provides this capability; it will load a program from the disk and return control back to the parent process. The parent process can do whatever it feels is appropriate before passing control to the child process.

The load program call requires parameters that are very similar to the load and execute call. Indeed, the only difference is the use of the LOAD structure rather than the LOADEXEC structure, and even these structures are very similar to one another. The LOAD data structure includes two extra fields not present in the LOADEXEC structure:

LOAD	struct		
EnvPtr	word	?	;Pointer to environment area.
CmdLinePtr	dword	?	;Pointer to command line.
FCB1	dword	?	;Pointer to default FCB1.
FCB2	dword	?	;Pointer to default FCB2.
SSSP	dword	?	;SS:SP value for child process.
CSIP	dword	?	;Initial program starting point
LOAD	ends		

The LOAD command is useful for many purposes. Of course, this function provides the primary vehicle for creating semiresident programs; however, it is also quite useful for providing extra error recovery, redirecting application I/O, and loading several executable processes into memory for concurrent execution.

After you load a program using the DOS load command, you can obtain the PSP address for that program by issuing the DOS get PSP address call (see "MS-DOS, PC-BIOS, and File I/O" on page 699). This would allow the parent process to modify any values appearing in the child process' PSP prior to its execution. DOS stores the termination address for a procedure in the PSP. This termination address normally appears in the double word at offset 10h in the PSP. *If you do not change this location, the program will return to the first instruction beyond the int 21h instruction for the load function.* Therefore, before actually transferring control to the user application, you should change this termination address.

19.1.1.3 Loading Overlays

Many programs contain blocks of code that are independent of one other; that is, while routines in one block of code execute, the program will not call routines in the other independent blocks of code. For example, a modern game may contain some initialization code, a "staging area" where the user chooses certain options, an "action area" where the user plays the game, and a "debriefing area" that goes over the player's actions. When running in a 640K MS-DOS machine, all this code may not fit into available memory at the same time. To overcome this memory limitation, most large programs use *overlays*. An overlay is a portion of the program code that shares memory for its code with other code modules. The DOS load overlay function provides support for large programs that need to use overlays.

Like the load and load/execute functions, the load overlay expects a pointer to the code file's pathname in the ds:dx register pair and the address of a data structure in the es:bx register pair. This overlay data structure has the following format:

overlay struct StartSeg word ? RelocFactor word 0 overlay ends

The StartSeg field contains the segment address where you want DOS to load the program. The RelocFactor field contains a relocation factor. This value should be zero unless you want the starting offset of the segment to be something other than zero.

19.1.1.4 Terminating a Process

The process termination function is nothing new to you by now, you've used this function over and over again already if you written any assembly language programs and run them under DOS (the Standard Library ExitPgm macro executes this command). In this section we'll look at exactly what the terminate process function call does.

First of all, the terminate process function gives you the ability to pass a single byte *termination code* back to the parent process. Whatever value you pass in al to the terminate call becomes the return, or termination code. The parent process can test this value using the Get Child Process Return Value call (see the next section). You can also test this return value in a DOS batch file using the "if errorlevel" statement.

The terminate process command does the following:

- Flushes file buffers and closes files.
- Restores the termination address (int 22h) from offset 0Ah in the PSP (this is the return address of the process).
- Restores the address of the Break handler (int 23h) from offset 0Eh in the PSP (see "Exception Handling in DOS: The Break Handler" on page 1070)
- Restores the address of the critical error handler (int 24h) from offset 12h in the PSP (see "Exception Handling in DOS: The Critical Error Handler" on page 1071).

• Deallocates any memory held by the process.

Unless you *really* know what you're doing, you should not change the values at offsets 0Ah, 0Eh, or 12h in the PSP. By doing so you could produce an inconsistent system when your program terminates.

19.1.1.5 Obtaining the Child Process Return Code

A parent process can obtain the return code from a child process by making the DOS Get Child Process Return Code function call. This call returns the value in the a1 register at the point of termination plus information that tells you how the child process terminated.

This call (ah=4Dh) returns the termination code in the al register. It also returns the cause of termination in the ah register. The ah register will contain one of the following values:

Value in AH	Reason for Termination
0	Normal termination (int 21h, ah=4Ch)
1	Terminated by ctrl-C
2	Terminated by critical error
3	TSR termination (int 21h, ah=31h)

Table 68: Termination Cause

The termination code appearing in a1 is valid only for normal and TSR terminations.

Note that you can only call this routine *once* after a child process terminates. MS-DOS returns meaningless values in AX after the first such call. Likewise, if you use this function without running a child process, the results you obtain will be meaningless. DOS does not return if you do this.

19.1.2 Exception Handling in DOS: The Break Handler

Whenever the users presses a ctrl-C or ctrl-Break key MS-DOS may trap such a key sequence and execute an int 23h instruction¹. MS-DOS provides a default break handler routine that terminates the program. However, a well-written program generally replaces the default break handler with one of its own so it can capture ctrl-C or ctrl-break key sequences and shut the program down in an orderly fashion.

When DOS terminates a program due to a break interrupt, it flushes file buffers, closes all open files, releases memory belonging to the application, all the normal stuff it does on program termination. However, it does *not* restore any interrupt vectors (other than interrupt 23h and interrupt 24h). If your code has replaced any interrupt vectors, especially hardware interrupt vectors, then those vectors will still be pointing at your program's interrupt service routines after DOS terminates your program. This will probably crash the system when DOS loads a new program over the top of your code. Therefore, you should write a break handler so your application can shut itself down in an orderly fashion if the user presses ctrl-C or ctrl-break.

The easiest, and perhaps most universal, break handler consists of a single instruction – iret. If you point the interrupt 23h vector at an iret instruction, MS-DOS will simply ignore any ctrl-C or ctrl-break keys you press. This is very useful for turning off the break handling during critical sections of code that you do not want the user to interrupt.

^{1.} MS-DOS always executes an int 23h instruction if it is processing a function code in the range 1-0Ch. For other DOS functions, MS-DOS only executes int 23h if the Break flag is set

On the other hand, simply turning off ctrl-C and ctrl-break handling throughout your entire program is not satisfactory either. If for some reason the user wants to abort your program, pressing ctrl-break or ctrl-C is what they will probably try to do this. If your program disallows this, the user may resort to something more drastic like ctrl-alt-delete to reset the machine. This will certainly mess up any open files and may cause other problems as well (of course, you don't have to worry about restoring any interrupt vectors!).

To patch in your own break handler is easy – just store the address of your break handler routine into the interrupt vector 23h. You don't even have to save the old value, DOS does this for you automatically (it stores the original vector at offset 0Eh in the PSP). Then, when the users presses a ctrl-C or ctrl-break key, MS-DOS transfers control to your break handler.

Perhaps the best response for a break handler is to set some flag to tell the application and break occurred, and then leave it up to the application to test this flag a reasonable points to determine if it should shut down. Of course, this does require that you test this flag at various points throughout your application, increasing the complexity of your code. Another alternative is to save the original int 23h vector and transfer control to DOS' break handler after you handle important operations yourself. You can also write a specialized break handler to return a DOS termination code that the parent process can read.

Of course, there is no reason you cannot change the interrupt 23h vector at various points throughout your program to handle changing requirements. At various points you can disable the break interrupt entirely, restore interrupt vectors at others, or prompt the user at still other points.

19.1.3 Exception Handling in DOS: The Critical Error Handler

DOS invokes the critical error handler by executing an int 24h instruction whenever some sort of I/O error occurs. The default handler prints the familiar message:

```
I/O Device Specific Error Message
Abort, Retry, Ignore, Fail?
```

If the user presses an "A", this code immediately returns to DOS' COMMAND.COM program; *it doesn't even close any open files.* If the user presses an "R" to retry, MS-DOS will retry the I/O operation, though this usually results in another call to the critical error handler. The "I" option tells MS-DOS to ignore the error and return to the calling program as though nothing had happened. An "F" response instructs MS-DOS to return an error code to the calling program and let it handle the problem.

Of the above options, having the user press "A" is the most dangerous. This causes an immediate return to DOS and your code does not get the chance to clean up anything. For example, if you've patched some interrupt vectors, your program will not get the opportunity to restore them if the user selects the abort option. This may crash the system when MS-DOS loads the next program over the top of your interrupt service routine(s) in memory.

To intercept DOS critical errors, you will need to patch the interrupt 24h vector to point at your own interrupt service routine. Upon entry into your interrupt 24h service routine, the stack will contain the following data:

Flags	
CS	Original INT 24h return address
IP	
ES	1
DS	
BP	
DI	De statue DOC such a fanciar DIT 94h han ilan
SI	Registers DOS pushes for your INT 24n nandier
DX	
CX	
BX	
AX	
Flags	
CS	INT 24h return address (back to DOS) for your handler
IP	

Stack Contents Upon Entry to a Critical Error Handler

MS-DOS passes important information in several of the registers to your critical error handler. By inspecting these values you can determine the cause of the critical error and the device on which it occurred. The high order bit of the ah register determines if the error occurred on a block structured device (typically a disk or tape) or a character device. The other bits in ah have the following meaning:

Bit(s)	Description
0	0=Read operation.
	1=Write operation.
1-2	Indicates affected disk area.
	00- MS-DOS area.
	01- File allocation table (FAT).
	10- Root directory.
	11- Files area.
3	0- Fail response not allowed.
	1- Fail response is okay.
4	0- Retry response not allowed.
	1- Retry response is okay.
5	0- Ignore response is not allowed.
	1- Ignore response is okay.
6	Undefined
7	0- Character device error.
	1- Block structured device error.

Table 69: Device Error Bits in AH

In addition to the bits in ah, for block structured devices the a1 register contains the drive number where the error occurred (0=A, 1=B, 2=C, etc.). The value in the a1 register is undefined for character devices.

The lower half of the di register contains additional information about the block device error (the upper byte of di is undefined, you will need to mask out those bits before attempting to test this data).

Error Code	Description
0	Write protection error.
1	Unknown drive.
2	Drive not ready.
3	Invalid command.
4	Data error (CRC error).
5	Length of request structure is incorrect.
6	Seek error on device.
7	Disk is not formatted for MS-DOS.
8	Sector not found.
9	Printer out of paper.
0Ah	Write error.
0Bh	Read error.
0Ch	General failure.
0Fh	Disk was changed at inappropriate time.

Table 70: Block Structured Device Error Codes (in L.O. byte of DI)

Upon entry to your critical error handler, interrupts are turned off. Because this error occurs as a result of some MS-DOS call, MS-DOS is already entered and you will not be able to make any calls other than functions 1-0Ch and 59h (get extended error information).

Your critical error handler must preserve all registers except a1. The handler must return to DOS with an iret instruction and a1 must contain one of the following codes:

Code	Meaning
0	Ignore device error.
1	Retry I/O operation again.
2	Terminate process (abort).
3	Fail current system call.

 Table 71: Critical Error Handler Return Codes

The following code provides a trivial example of a critical error handler. The main program attempts to send a character to the printer. If you do not connect a printer, or turn off the printer before running this program, it will generate the critical error.

; Sample INT 24h critical error handler.

;

; This code demonstrates a sample critical error handler.

; It patches into INT 24h and displays an appropriate error

; message and asks the user if they want to retry, abort, ignore,

; or fail (just like DOS).

.xlist include stdlib.a includelib stdlib.lib .list para public 'data' dseg segment 0 Value word ErrCode word 0 dseg ends segment para public `code' cseg assume cs:cseg, ds:dseg

; A replacement critical error handler. Note that this routine ; is even worse than DOS', but it demonstrates how to write ; such a routine. Note that we cannot call any Standard Library ; I/O routines in the critical error handler because they do not ; use DOS calls 1-0Ch, which are the only allowable DOS calls at ; this point.

CritErrMsg	byte byte byte	cr,lf "DOS Critical Error!",cr,lf "A)bort, R)etry, I)gnore, F)ail? \$"		
MyInt24	proc push push push	far dx ds ax		
Int24Lp:	push pop lea mov int	cs ds dx, CritErrMsg ah, 9 21h	;DOS print string call.	
	mov int	ah, 1 21h	;DOS read character call.	
	and	al, 5Fh	;Convert l.c> u.c.	
	cmp jne pop mov jmp	al, 'I' NotIgnore ax al, 0 Quit24	;Ignore?	
NotIgnore:	cmp jne pop mov jmp	al, `r' NotRetry ax al, 1 Quit24	;Retry?	
NotRetry:	cmp jne pop mov jmp	al, `A' NotAbort ax al, 2 Quit24	;Abort?	
NotAbort:	cmp jne pop	al, `F' BadChar ax		
Quit24:	pop pop iret	ds dx		
BadChar:	mov mov jmp	ah, 2 dl, 7 Int24Lp	;Bell character	
MyInt24	endp			

```
Main
              proc
              mov
                         ax, dseg
                         ds, ax
              mov
                         es. ax
              mov
              meminit
                         ax, 0
              mov
                         es, ax
              mov
                         word ptr es:[24h*4], offset MvInt24
              mov
                         es:[24h*4 + 2], cs
              mov
              mov
                         ah, 5
                         dl. `a'
              mov
              int
                         21h
                         Value, 1
              rcl
                         Value, 1
              and
                         ErrCode, ax
              mov
              printf
              byte
                         cr,lf,lf
              byte
                         "Print char returned with error status %d and "
                         "error code %d\n",0
              byte
                         Value, ErrCode
              dword
Quit:
              ExitPqm
                                            ;DOS macro to guit program.
Main
              endp
              ends
cseq
; Allocate a reasonable amount of space for the stack (8k).
; Note: if you use the pattern matching package you should set up a
        somewhat larger stack.
;
              segment.
                         para stack 'stack'
ssea
stk
              dh
                         1024 dup ("stack ")
              ends
sseq
; zzzzzseg must be the last segment that gets loaded into memory!
; This is where the heap begins.
              segment
                         para public 'zzzzz'
zzzzzseg
LastBytes
              db
                         16 dup (?)
zzzzzseg
              ends
              end
                         Main
```

19.1.4 Exception Handling in DOS: Traps

In addition to the break and critical error exceptions, there are the 80x86 exceptions that can happen during the execution of your programs. Examples include the divide error exception, bounds exception, and illegal opcode exception. A well-written application will always handle all possible exceptions.

DOS does not provide direct support for these exceptions, other than a possible default handler. In particular, DOS does not restore such vectors when the program terminates; this is something the application, break handler, and critical error handler must take care of. For more information on these exceptions, see "Exceptions" on page 1000.

19.1.5 Redirection of I/O for Child Processes

When a child process begins execution, it inherits all open files from the parent process (with the exception of certain files opened with networking file functions). In particular, this includes the default

files opened for the DOS standard input, standard output, standard error, auxiliary, and printer devices. DOS assigns the file handle values zero through four, respectively, to these devices. If a parent process closes one of these file handles and then reassigns the handle with a Force Duplicate File Handle call.

Note that the DOS EXEC call does not process the I/O redirection operators ("<", and ">", and "|"). If you want to redirect the standard I/O of a child process, you must do this before loading and executing the child process. To redirect one of the five standard I/O devices, you should do the following steps:

- 1) Duplicate the file handle you want to redirect (e.g., to redirect the standard output, duplicate file handle one).
- 2) Close the affected file (e.g., file handle one for standard output).
- 3) Open a file using the standard DOS Create or CreateNew calls.
- 4) Use the Force Duplicate File Handle call to copy the new file handle to file handle one.
- 5) Run the child process.
- 6) On return from the child, close the file.
- 7) Copy the file handle you duplicated in step one back to the standard output file handle using the Force Duplicate Handle function.

This technique looks like it would be perfect for redirecting printer or serial port I/O. Unfortunately, many programs bypass DOS when sending data to the printer and use the BIOS call or, worse yet, go directly to the hardware. Almost no software bothers with DOS' serial port support – it truly is that bad. However, most programs do call DOS to input or output characters on the standard input, output, and error devices. The following code demonstrates how to redirect the output of a child process to a file.

; REDIRECT.ASM -Demonstrates how to redirect I/O for a child process.

include stdlib.a includelib stdlib.lib para public 'data' seament ? OrigOutHandle word ;Holds copy of STDOUT handle. FileHandle 2 ;File I/O handle. word "dirctry.txt",0 ;Filename for output data. byte

; MS-DOS EXEC structure.

dseq

FileName

ExecStruct	word dword dword dword	0 CmdLine DfltFCB DfltFCB	;Use parent's Environment blk. ;For the cmd ln parms.
DfltFCB CmdLine PgmName PgmNameStr dseg	byte byte dword byte ends	3," ",0,0,0,0,0 7, " /c DIR", 0dh PgmNameStr "c:\command.com",0	;Do a directory command. ;Points at pgm name.)
cseg	segment assume	para public `code' cs:cseg, ds:dseg	,
Main	proc mov mov MemInit	ax, dseg ds, ax	;Get ptr to vars segment ;Start the memory mgr.
; Free up some	e memory for	COMMAND.COM:	
	mov int	ah, 62h 21h	;Get our PSP value

[;] This particular program invokes COMMAND.COM to execute

[;] a DIR command, when is sent to the specified output file.

	mov mov sub	es, ax, ax,	bx zzzzzzseg bx	;Compute size of ; resident run code.
	mov	bx,	ax	
	mov	ah,	4ah	Release unused memory.
	int	21h		
; Save origina	al output f:	ile 1	nandle.	
	mov	bx,	1	;Std out is file handle 1.
	mov	ah,	45h	;Duplicate the file handle.
	int	21h		
	mov OrigOu	tHan	dle, ax;Save du	uplicate handle.
; Open the out	put file:			
	mov	ah,	3ch	;Create file.
	mov	cx,	0	;Normal attributes.
	lea	dx,	FileName	
	int	21h		
	mov	File	eHandle, ax	;Save opened file handle.
; Force the st ; Do this by f	andard outp forcing the	put t file	to send its out e's handle onto	cput to this file. o file handle #1 (stdout).
	mov	ah,	46h	;Force dup file handle
	mov	cx,	1	;Existing handle to change.
	mov	bx,	FileHandle	;New file handle to use.
	int	21h		
; Print the fi	rst line to	o the	e file:	
	print			
	byte	"Red	directed direct	cory listing:",cr,lf,0
; Okay, execute the DOS DIR command (that is, execute COMMAND.COM with ; the command line parameter "/c DIR").				
		1		_
	mov	DX,	seg Execstruct	
	mov	es, hv	DX offeet EvecStr	ruat : Ptr to program record
	lds	dx,	PomName	
	mov	ax,	4b00h	;Exec pam
	int	21h		F.3
	mov	bx,	sseg	;Reset the stack on return.
	mov	ss,	ax	
	mov	sp,	offset EndStk	
	mov	bx,	seg dseg	
	mov	ds,	bx	
; Okay, close ; console.	the output	file	e and switch st	andard output back to the
	mov	ah	3eh	Close output file
	mov	bx.	FileHandle	verose output rire.
	int	21h	rifenancie	
	mov	ah,	46h	;Force duplicate handle
	mov	cx,	1	;StdOut
	mov	bx,	OrigOutHandle	Restore previous handle.
	int	21h		
; Return contr	rol to MS-DO	OS		
Ouit:	ExitPam			
Main	endp			
cseg	ends			
sseg	segment	para	a stack `stack'	,
	dw	128	dup (0)	
endstk	dw	?		

ends

sseg

zzzzzseg	segment	para	public 'zzzzzseg'
Heap	db	200h	dup (?)
zzzzzseg	ends		
	end	Main	

19.2 Shared Memory

The only problem with running different DOS programs as part of a single application is *interprocess communication*. That is, how do all these programs talk to one other? When a typical DOS application runs, DOS loads in all code and data segments; there is no provision, other than reading data from a file or the process termination code, for one process to pass information to another. Although file I/O will work, it is cumbersome and slow. The ideal solution would be for one process to leave a copy of various variables that other processes can share. Your programs can easily do this using *shared memory*.

Most modern multitasking operating systems provide for shared memory – memory that appears in the address space of two or more processes. Furthermore, such shared memory is often *persistent*, meaning it continues to hold values after its creator process terminates. This allows other processes to start later and use the values left behind by the shared variables' creator.

Unfortunately, MS-DOS is not a modern multitasking operating system and it does not support shared memory. However, we can easily write a resident program that provides this capability missing from DOS. The following sections describe how to create two types of shared memory regions – static and dynamic.

19.2.1 Static Shared Memory

A TSR to implement *static shared memory* is trivial. It is a passive TSR that provides three functions – verify presence, remove, and return segment pointer. The transient portion simply allocates a 64K data segment and then terminates. Other processes can obtain the address of the 64K shared memory block by making the "return segment pointer" call. These processes can place all their shared data into the segment belonging to the TSR. When one process quits, the shared segment remains in memory as part of the TSR. When a second process runs and links with the shared segment, the variables from the shared segment are still intact, so the new process can access those values. When all processes are done sharing data, the user can remove the shared memory TSR with the remove function.

As mentioned above, there is almost nothing to the shared memory TSR. The following code implements it:

```
SHARDMEM.ASM
;
 This TSR sets aside a 64K shared memory region for other processes to use.
;
;
 Usage:
        SHARDMEM -
                            Loads resident portion and activates
;
                            shared memory capabilities.
        SHARDMEM REMOVE -
                          Removes shared memory TSR from memory.
 This TSR checks to make sure there isn't a copy already active in
:
 memory. When removing itself from memory, it makes sure there are
 no other interrupts chained into INT 2Fh before doing the remove.
 The following segments must appear in this order and before the
 Standard Library includes.
;
ResidentSeg
              segment
                        para public 'Resident'
ResidentSeq ends
                        para public 'Shared'
SharedMemory segment
```

SharedMemory	ends		
EndResident EndResident	segment ends	para public 🕚	EndRes'
	.xlist .286 include includelik .list	stdlib.a stdlib.lib	
; Resident seg	gment that	holds the TSR (code:
ResidentSeg	segment assume	para public `` cs:ResidentSe	Resident' g, ds:nothing
; Int 2Fh ID 1	number for	this TSR:	
MyTSRID	byte byte	0 0	;Padding so we can print it.
; PSP is the p	psp address	for this prog	ram.
PSP	word	0	
OldInt2F	dword	?	
; MyInt2F- ;	Provides i TSR. The m subfunctio	nt 2Fh (multip multiplex inter ons (passed in	olex interrupt) support for this rupt recognizes the following AL):
;;;;;;	00h- Verif	y presence.	Returns OFFh in AL and a pointer to an ID string in es:di if the TSR ID (in AH) matches this particular TSR.
;;;;	01h- Remov	e.	Removes the TSR from memory. Returns 0 in AL if successful, 1 in AL if failure.
; ; ;	10h- Retur	m Seg Adrs.	Returns the segment address of the shared segment in ES.
MyInt2F	proc assume	far ds:nothing	
	cmp je jmp	ah, MyTSRID YepItsOurs OldInt2F	;Match our TSR identifier?
; Okay, we kno ; return segme	ow this is ent call.	our ID, now ch	eck for a verify, remove, or
YepItsOurs:	cmp	al, 0	;Verify Call
	jne mov	TryRmv al, Offh	;Return success.
	lesi iret	IDString	;Return back to caller.
IDString	byte	"Static Share	d Memory TSR",0
TryRmv:	cmp jne	al, 1 TryRetSeg	;Remove call.
; See if we ca	an remove t	his TSR:	
	push	es	
		ax, U es. ax	
	Cmp	word ptr es:	2Fh*4], offset MyInt2F
	jne	TRDone	· -
	cmp	word ptr es:[2Fh*4 + 2], seg MyInt2F

	je	Canl	Remove;Branch	if we can.
TRDone:	mov	ax,	1	Return failure for now:
	pop	es		
	iret			
: Okay they w	ant to rem		+hig aux *and*	we can remove it from memory
; Take care of	all that h	lere	units guy and	we can remove it from memory.
	assume	ds:	ResidentSeg	
CanRemove:	push	ds		
	pusha			
	CII	27	0 . 11	in oil the interrupts while
	mov	es.	ax i	vectors.
	mov	ax,	CS	
	mov	ds,	ax	
	mov	ax,	word ptr OldI	nt2F
	mov	es:	[2Fh*4], ax	
	mov	dX, eg:	VOIG PUR OIGI[2Fh*4 + 2] a	NUZF+Z
	110 V	CD.	[2111 1 - 2], a	Α
; Okay, one la	ast thing be	efore	e we quit- Let	's give the memory allocated
; to this TSR	back to DOS	5.		
		-		
	mov	ds,	PSP day[ogh]	Den to modern the set
	mov	es, sh	ds · [2CII] 49h	:DOS release memory call
	int	21h	4911	TOOS TETEASE MEMOLY CALL.
	1110	2111		
	mov	ax,	ds	;Release program code space.
	mov	es,	ax	
	mov	ah,	49h	
	int	ZIN		
	рора			
	qoq	ds		
	pop	es		
	mov	ax,	0	Return Success.
	iret			
· Coo if there	want ug to	roti	in the second	t address of our shared soment
; See II they ; here	want us to	recu	urn the segmen	t address of our shared segment
, пете.				
TryRetSeg:	cmp	al,	10h	;Return Segment Opcode
	jne Illega	lOp		
	mov	ax,	SharedMemory	
	mov	es,	ax	
	mov	ax,	0	Return success
	iret			
	1100			
; They called	us with an	ille	egal subfuncti	on value. Try to do as little
; damage as po	ssible.			
Illegal0p:	mov	ax,	0	;Who knows what they were thinking?
MyTnt 2F	andn			
My IIIC21	assume	ds:	nothing	
ResidentSeq	ends	0.0 - 1	lioening	
5				
; Here's the s	segment that	t wi	ll actually ho	ld the shared data.
SharedMomorry	secment	nar	a public Schar	ed '
STIAT COMEMOLY	db	0FFI	FFh dup (?)	cu .
SharedMemory	ends			
1				
cseg	segment	para	a public `code	
	assume	cs:	cseg, ds:Resid	entseg

CanRemove; Branch if we can.

; SeeIfPresen ; ;	t-	Checks to see if Sets the zero fla it is not.	our TSR is already present in memory. g if it is, clears the zero flag if
SeeIfPresent	proc push push push mov mov	near es ds di cx, Offh ah, cl	;Start with ID OFFh.
-	push mov int	cx al, 0 2Fh	;Verify presence call.
	pop cmp je strcmpl	cx al, 0 TryNext	;Present in memory?
	byte je	"Static Shared Me Success	mory TSR",0
TryNext:	dec js	cl IDLoop	;Test USER IDs of 80hFFh
Success:	cmp pop pop pop ret	cx, 0 di ds es	;Clear zero flag.
SeeIfPresent	endp		
; FindID- ; ; ; ;	Determines in the mul the CL reg Returns th Returns th	s the first (well, tiplex interrupt c gister. he zero flag set if he zero flag clear	last actually) TSR ID available whain. Returns this value in t it locates an empty slot. if failure.
FindID	proc push push push	near es ds di	
IDLoop:	mov mov	cx, Offh ah, cl	;Start with ID OFFh.
	push mov int	cx al, 0 2Fh	;Verify presence call.
	cmp ie	al, 0 Success	;Present in memory?
	dec js	cl IDLoop	;Test USER IDs of 80hFFh
Success:	xor cmp pop pop	cx, cx cx, 1 di ds es	;Clear zero flag
FindID	endp		
Main	proc meminit		
	mov mov	ax, ResidentSeg ds, ax	
	mov int mov	ah, 62h 21h PSP, bx	;Get this program's PSP ; value.

; Before we do anything else, we need to check the command line

; parameters. If there is one, and it is the word "REMOVE", then remove ; the resident copy from memory using the multiplex (2Fh) interrupt. argc cmp cx, 1 ;Must have 0 or 1 parms. ib TstPresent. ie DoRemove Usage: print byte "Usage:",cr,lf byte " shardmem", cr, lf "or shardmem REMOVE", cr, lf, 0 byte ExitPgm ; Check for the REMOVE command. DoRemove: mov ax, 1 argv stricmpl byte "REMOVE",0 jne Usage call SeeIfPresent RemoveTt je print byte "TSR is not present in memory, cannot remove" byte cr,lf,0 ExitPqm RemoveIt: MyTSRID, cl mov printf bvte "Removing TSR (ID #%d) from memory...",0 dword MvTSRID ah, cl al, 1 mov ;Remove cmd, ah contains ID mov 2Fh int. cmp al, 1 ;Succeed? RmvFailure je print byte "removed.", cr, lf, 0 ExitPqm RmvFailure: print byte cr,lf byte "Could not remove TSR from memory.", cr, lf "Try removing other TSRs in the reverse order " byte byte "you installed them.", cr, lf, 0 ExitPgm ; Okay, see if the TSR is already in memory. If so, abort the ; installation process. TstPresent: call. SeeIfPresent jne GetTSRID print "TSR is already present in memory.", cr, lf byte byte "Aborting installation process", cr, lf, 0 ExitPgm ; Get an ID for our TSR and save it away. GetTSRID: call FindID GetFileName ie print byte "Too many resident TSRs, cannot install", cr, lf, 0 ExitPgm

; Things look cool so far, so install the interrupts

GetFileName:	mov print	MyTSRID, cl
	byte	"Installing interrupts",0

; Patch into the INT 2Fh interrupt chain.

cli	;Turn off interrupts!
mov	ax, 0
mov	es, ax
mov	ax, es:[2Fh*4]
mov	word ptr OldInt2F, ax
mov	ax, es:[2Fh*4 + 2]
mov	word ptr OldInt2F+2, ax
mov	es:[2Fh*4], offset MyInt2F
mov	es:[2Fh*4+2], seg ResidentSeg
sti	;Okay, ints back on.

; We're hooked up, the only thing that remains is to zero out the shared ; memory segment and then terminate and stay resident.

	printf byte dword	"Installed, TSR II MyTSRID	D #%d.",cr,lf,0
rep	mov mov mov xor mov stosw	ax, SharedMemory es, ax cx, 32768 ax, ax di, ax	<pre>;Zero out the shared ; memory segment. ;32K words = 64K bytes. ;Store all zeros, ; starting at offset zero.</pre>
Main cseg	mov sub mov int endp ends	dx, EndResident dx, PSP ax, 3100h 21h	;Compute size of program. ;DOS TSR command.
sseg stk sseg	segment db ends	para stack `stack 256 dup (?)	,
zzzzzzseg LastBytes zzzzzseg	segment db ends end	para public `zzzz: 16 dup (?) Main	zz′

This program simply carves out a chunk of memory (the 64K in the SharedMemory segment) and returns a pointer to it in es whenever a program executes the appropriate int 2Fh call (ah=TSR ID and al=10h). The only catch is how do we declared shared variables in the applications that use shared memory? Well, that's fairly easy if we play a sneaky trick on MASM, the Linker, DOS, and the 80x86.

When DOS loads your program into memory, it generally loads the segments in the same order they first appear in your source files. The UCR Standard Library, for example, takes advantage of this by insisting that you include a segment named zzzzzseg at the end of all your assembly language source files. The UCR Standard Library memory management routines build the heap starting at zzzzzseg, it must be the last segment (containing valid data) because the memory management routines may overwrite anything following zzzzzseg.

For our shared memory segment, we would like to create a segment something like the following:

SharedMemory segment para public 'Shared' « define all shared variables here» SharedMemory ends

Applications that share data would define all shared variables in this shared segment. There are, however, five problems. First, how do we tell the assembler/linker/DOS/80x86 that this is a *shared* segment, rather than having a separate segment for each program? Well, this problem is easy to solve; we don't bother telling MASM, the linker, or DOS anything. The way we make the different applications all share the same segment in memory is to invoke the shared memory TSR in the code above with function code 10h. This returns the address of the TSR's SharedMemory segment in the es register. In our assembly language programs we fool MASM into thinking es points at its local shared memory segment when, in fact, es points at the global segment.

The second problem is minor, but annoying nonetheless. When you create a segment, MASM, the linker, and DOS set aside storage for that segment. If you declare a large number of variables in a shared segment, this can waste memory since the program will actually use the memory space in the global shared segment. One easy way to reclaim the storage that MASM reserves for this segment is to define the shared segment *after* zzzzzseg in your shared memory applications. By doing so, the Standard Library will absorb any memory reserved for the (dummy) shared memory segment into the heap, since all memory after zzzzzseg belongs to the heap (when you use the standard meminit call).

The third problem is slightly more difficult to deal with. Since you will not be use the local segment, you cannot initialize any variables in the shared memory segment by placing values in the operand field of byte, word, dword, etc., directives. Doing so will only initialize the local memory in the heap, the system will not copy this data to the global shared segment. Generally, this isn't a problem because processes won't normally initialize shared memory as they load. Instead, there will probably be a single application you run first that initializes the shared memory area for the rest of the processes that using the global shared segment.

The fourth problem is that you cannot initialize any variables with the address of an object in shared memory. For example, if the variable shared_K is in the shared memory segment, you could not use a statement like the following:

```
printf
byte "Value of shared_K is %d\n",0
dword shared_K
```

The problem with this code is that MASM initializes the double word after the string above with the address of the shared_K variable *in the local copy of the shared data segment*. This will not print out the copy in the global shared data segment.

The last problem is anything but minor. All programs that use the global shared memory segment *must* define their variables at identical offsets within the shared segment. Given the way MASM assigns offsets to variables within a segment, if you are one byte off in the declaration of *any* of your variables, your program will be accessing its variables at different addresses than other processes sharing the global shared segment. This will scramble memory and produce a disaster. The only reasonable way to declare variables for shared memory programs is to create an include file with all the shared variable declarations for all concerned programs. Then include this single file into all the programs that share the variables. Now you can add, remove, or modify variables without having to worry about maintaining the shared variable declarations in the other files.

The following two sample programs demonstrate the use of shared memory. The first application reads a string from the user and stuffs it into shared memory. The second application reads that string from shared memory and displays it on the screen.

First, here is the include file containing the single shared variable declaration used by both applications:

```
; shmvars.asm
;
; This file contains the shared memory variable declarations used by
; all applications that refer to shared memory.
InputLine byte 128 dup (?)
```

Here is the first application that reads an input string from the user and shoves it into shared memory:

```
; SHMAPP1.ASM
; This is a shared memory application that uses the static shared memory
; TSR (SHARDMEM.ASM). This program inputs a string from the user and
; passes that string to SHMAPP2.ASM through the shared memory area.
;
               xlist
               include
                          stdlib.a
               includelib stdlib.lib
               .list
dseq
              segment
                          para public 'data'
ShmID
              byte
                          \overline{\mathbf{n}}
               ends
dseq
cseq
               segment
                          para public `code'
                          cs:cseq, ds:dseq, es:SharedMemory
               assume
; SeeIfPresent-Checks to see if the shared memory TSR is present in memory.
               Sets the zero flag if it is, clears the zero flag if
;
;
               it is not. This routine also returns the TSR ID in CL.
SeeIfPresent
              proc
                          near
              push
                          es
                          ds
              push
                          di
              push
                          cx, Offh
                                            ;Start with ID OFFh.
              mov
IDLoop:
              mov
                          ah, cl
              push
                          CX
               mov
                          al, 0
                                             ;Verify presence call.
                          2₽h
               int
              qoq
                          сх
                          al, 0
                                             ; Present in memory?
               cmp
                          TryNext
               je
               strcmpl
                          "Static Shared Memory TSR",0
              byte
               ie
                          Success
TryNext:
               dec
                          cl
                                            ;Test USER IDs of 80h..FFh
                          IDLoop
               js
                                            ;Clear zero flag.
                          cx, 0
               cmp
Success:
                          di
               pop
               pop
                          ds
                          es
               pop
               ret
SeeIfPresent
              endp
; The main program for application #1 links with the shared memory
; TSR and then reads a string from the user (storing the string into
; shared memory) and then terminates.
Main
               proc
                          cs:cseg, ds:dseg, es:SharedMemory
               assume
              mov
                          ax, dseg
              mov
                          ds, ax
              meminit
               print
                          "Shared memory application #1", cr, lf, 0
               byte
; See if the shared memory TSR is around:
               call
                          SeeIfPresent
               je
                          ItsThere
               print
               byte
                          "Shared Memory TSR (SHARDMEM) is not loaded.", cr, lf
                          "This program cannot continue execution.", cr, lf, 0
               byte
```

Chapter 19

ExitPam ; If the shared memory TSR is present, get the address of the shared segment ; into the ES register: ItsThere: mov ah, cl ; ID of our TSR. al. 10h mov ;Get shared segment address. int. 2Fh ; Get the input line from the user: print byte "Enter a string: ",0 lea di. InputLine ;ES already points at proper seq. gets print "Entered \",0 byte puts print byte "' into shared memory.", cr, lf, 0 Ouit: ExitPam ;DOS macro to guit program. Main endp cseq ends segment para stack 'stack' sseq stk db 1024 dup ("stack ") ends ssea para public 'zzzzz' zzzzzseg segment LastBytes db 16 dup (?) zzzzzseq ends

; The shared memory segment must appear after "zzzzzseg". ; Note that this isn't the physical storage for the data in the ; shared segment. It's really just a place holder so we can declare ; variables and generate their offsets appropriately. The UCR Standard ; Library will reuse the memory associated with this segment for the ; heap. To access data in the shared segment, this application calls ; the shared memory TSR to obtain the true segment address of the ; shared memory segment. It can then access variables in the shared ; memory segment (where ever it happens to be) off the ES register. ; ; Note that all the variable declarations go into an include file.

; Note that all the variable declarations go into an include file. ; All applications that refer to the shared memory segment include ; this file in the SharedMemory segment. This ensures that all ; shared segments have the exact same variable layout.

SharedMemory segment para public 'Shared'

include shmvars.asm

Main

SharedMemory ends end

The second application is very similar, here it is

; SHMAPP2.ASM ; ; This is a shared memory application that uses the static shared memory ; TSR (SHARDMEM.ASM). This program assumes the user has already run the ; SHMAPP1 program to insert a string into shared memory. This program ; simply prints that string from shared memory.

	.xlist include includelib .list	stdlib.a stdlib.lib	
dseg ShmID dseg	segment byte ends	para public `data 0	,
cseg	segment assume	para public `code cs:cseg, ds:dseg,	, es:SharedMemory
; SeeIfPresent ; ;	Checks to Sets the s it is not	see if the shared zero flag if it is . This routine also	memory TSR is present in memory. , clears the zero flag if p returns the TSR ID in CL.
SeeIfPresent	proc push push push mov	near es ds di cx Offb	Start with ID OFF
IDLoop:	mov push mov	ah, cl cx al, 0	;Verify presence call.
	int pop cmp je	2Fh cx al, 0 TryNext	;Present in memory?
	strcmpl byte je	"Static Shared Mer Success	nory TSR",0
TryNext:	dec is	cl IDLoop	;Test USER IDs of 80hFFh
Success:	bob curb Curb	cx, 0 di ds es	;Clear zero flag.
SeeIfPresent	ret endp		
; The main pro ; TSR and then ; shared memory	ogram for an n reads a st cy) and then	pplication #1 links tring from the usen n terminates.	s with the shared memory c (storing the string into
Main	proc		
	assume mov mov meminit	cs:cseg, ds:dseg, ax, dseg ds, ax	es:SharedMemory
	print byte	"Shared memory app	plication #2",cr,lf,0
; See if the s	shared memor	ry TSR is around:	
	call je print byte byte ExitPgm	SeeIfPresent ItsThere "Shared Memory TSI "This program can	R (SHARDMEM) is not loaded.",cr,lf not continue execution.",cr,lf,0
; If the share ; into the ES	ed memory T: register:	SR is present, get	the address of the shared segment
ItsThere:	mov mov int	ah, cl al, 10h 2Fh	;ID of our TSR. ;Get shared segment address.

; Print the string input in SHMAPP1:

	print byte	"String from SHMAPP1 is `",0
	lea puts	di, InputLine ;ES already points at proper seg.
	print byte	"` from shared memory.",cr,lf,0
Quit: Main	ExitPgm endp	;DOS macro to quit program.
cseg	ends	
sseg stk sseg	segment db ends	para stack `stack' 1024 dup ("stack ")
zzzzzzseg LastBytes zzzzzseg	segment db ends	para public `zzzzz' 16 dup (?)
; The shared r ; Note that th ; shared segme ; variables ar ; Library will ; heap. To acc ; the shared r ; shared memor ; memory segme ; ; Note that al ; All applicat ; this file in ; shared segme	nemory segm his isn't t ent. It's r nd generate l reuse the cess data i nemory TSR ry segment. ent (where ll the vari- tions that h the Share ents have t	ent must appear after "zzzzzseg". he physical storage for the data in the eally just a place holder so we can declare their offsets appropriately. The UCR Standard memory associated with this segment for the n the shared segment, this application calls to obtain the true segment address of the It can then access variables in the shared ever it happens to be) off the ES register. able declarations go into an include file. refer to the shared memory segment include dMemory segment. This ensures that all he exact same variable layout.
SharedMemory	segment	para public `Shared'
	include	shmvars.asm
SharedMemory	ends end	Main

19.2.2 Dynamic Shared Memory

Although the static shared memory the previous section describes is very useful, it does suffer from a few limitations. First of all, any program that uses the global shared segment must be aware of the location of every other program that uses the shared segment. This effectively means that the use of the shared segment is limited to a single set of cooperating processes at any one given time. You cannot have two independent sets of programs using the shared memory at the same time. Another limitation with the static system is that you must know the size of all variables when you write your program, you cannot create dynamic data structures whose size varies at run time. It would be nice, for example, to have calls like shmalloc and shmfree that let you dynamically allocate and free memory in a shared region. Fortunately, it is very easy to overcome these limitations by creating a *dynamic shared memory manager*.

A reasonable shared memory manager will have four functions: initialize, shmalloc, shmattach, and shmfree. The initialization call reclaims all shared memory in use. The shmalloc call lets a process allocate a new block of shared memory. Only one process in a group of cooperating processes makes this call. Once shmalloc allocates a block of memory, the other processes use the shmattach call to obtain the address of the shared memory block. The following code implements a dynamic shared memory manager. The code is similar to that appearing in the Standard Library except this code allows a maximum of 64K storage on the heap.

```
; SHMALLOC.ASM
 This TSR sets up a dynamic shared memory system.
:
:
; This TSR checks to make sure there isn't a copy already active in
; memory. When removing itself from memory, it makes sure there are
; no other interrupts chained into INT 2Fh before doing the remove.
; The following segments must appear in this order and before the
; Standard Library includes.
ResidentSeq
               segment
                          para public 'Resident'
ResidentSeq
               ends
                         para public 'Shared'
SharedMemory
              seament
SharedMemory
              ends
EndResident
              seament.
                         para public 'EndRes'
EndResident
              ends
               .xlist
               .286
               include
                          stdlib.a
               includelib stdlib.lib
               list
; Resident segment that holds the TSR code:
                          para public 'Resident'
ResidentSeq
               segment
                          cs:ResidentSeq, ds:nothing
               assume
NULL
                          0
               equ
; Data structure for an allocated data region.
         user supplied ID to associate this region with a particular set
; Key-
        of processes.
;
; Next- Points at the next allocated block.
; Prev- Points at the previous allocated block.
; Size- Size (in bytes) of allocated block, not including header structure.
Region
              struct
                          ?
key
              word
                          ?
next
              word
prev
              word
                          2
blksize
              word
                          ?
Region
              ends
Startmem
                          Region ptr [0]
              equ
AllocatedList word
                          0
                                         ;Points at chain of alloc'd blocks.
FreeList
              word
                          0
                                        ;Points at chain of free blocks.
; Int 2Fh ID number for this TSR:
MyTSRID
              byte
                          0
              byte
                          0
                                        ;Padding so we can print it.
; PSP is the psp address for this program.
                          0
PSP
              word
OldInt2F
              dword
                          ?
              Provides int 2Fh (multiplex interrupt) support for this
; MyInt2F-
;
              TSR. The multiplex interrupt recognizes the following
;
               subfunctions (passed in AL):
```

;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	00h- Verif	y presence.	Returns OFFh in AL and a pointer to an ID string in es:di if the TSR ID (in AH) matches this particular TSR.
; ; ;	01h- Remove	e.	Removes the TSR from memory. Returns 0 in AL if successful, 1 in AL if failure.
, ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	11h- shmal	loc	CX contains the size of the block to allocate. DX contains the key for this block. Returns a pointer to block in ES:DI and size of allocated block in CX. Returns an error code in AX. Zero is no error, one is "key already exists," two is "insufficient memory for request."
;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	12h- shmfro	ee	DX contains the key for this block. This call frees the specified block from memory.
; ; ;	13h- shmin	it	Initializes the shared memory system freeing all blocks currently in use.
, ; ; ; ;	14h- shmat	tach	DX contains the key for a block. Search for that block and return its address in ES:DI. AX contains zero if successful, three if it cannot locate a block with the specified key.
MyInt2F	proc assume	far ds:nothing	
	cmp je jmp	ah, MyTSRID;Ma YepItsOurs OldInt2F	tch our TSR identifier?
; Okay, we kno ; return segme	ow this is c ent call.	our ID, now che	ck for a verify, remove, or
YepItsOurs:	cmp jne mov lesi iret	al, 0 TryRmv al, 0ffh;Retur IDString	;Verify Call n success. :Return back to caller
IDString byte	"Dynamic S	hared Memory TS	Ref 0
TryRmv:	cmp	al, 1	;Remove call.
; See if we ca	n remove th	nis TSR:	
	pusn mov	es ax, 0	
	mov	es, ax	
	cmp jne	word ptr es:[2 TRDone	Fn*4], offset MyInt2F
	cmp	word ptr es:[2	Fh*4 + 2], seg MyInt2F
TRDone:	je mov	CanRemove	Branch if we can.
	pop iret	es	Accum furfule for now.
; Okay, they w ; Take care of	ant to remo all that h	ove this guy *a mere.	nd* we can remove it from memory.

CanRemove: push pusha cli	ds	;Turn off the interrupts while
mov	ax, 0	; we mess with the interrupt
mov	es, ax	; vectors.
mov	ax, cs	
mov	ds, ax	
mov	ax, word pt	r OldInt2F
mov	es:[2Fh*4],	ax
mov	ax, word pt	r OldInt2F+2
mov	es:[2Fh*4 +	2], ax

; Okay, one last thing before we quit- Let's give the memory allocated ; to this TSR back to DOS.

mov	ds, PSP	
mov	es, ds:[2Ch]	;Ptr to environment block.
mov	ah, 49h	;DOS release memory call.
int	21h	
mov	ax, ds	;Release program code space.
mov	es, ax	
mov	ah, 49h	
int	21h	
popa		
pop	ds	
pop	es	
mov	ax, 0	Return Success.
iret		

; Stick BadKey here so that it is close to its associated branch (from below). ;

; If come here, we've discovered an allocated block with the

; specified key. Return an error code (AX=1) and the size of that

; allocated block (in CX).

push mov

mov

```
BadKey: mov cx, [bx].Region.BlkSize
mov ax, 1 ;Already allocated error.
pop bx
pop ds
iret
```

; See if this is a shmalloc call. ; If so, on entry -; DX contains the key. ; CX contains the number of bytes to allocate. ; On exit: ; ES:DI points at the allocated block (if successful). ; CX contains the actual size of the allocated block (>=CX on entry). ; AX contains error code, 0 if no error. Tryshmalloc: cmp al, 11h ;shmalloc function code. jne Tryshmfree ; First, search through the allocated list to see if a block with the ; current key number already exists. DX contains the requested key. ds:SharedMemory assume bx:ptr Region assume assume di:ptr Region push ds bx

bx, SharedMemory

ds, bx

	110 0	DA, ICDIUCICDCG.	AIIOCACCALIBC
	test je	bx, bx SrchFreeList	;Anything on this list?
SearchLoop:	cmp je	dx, [bx].Key BadKey	;Key exist already?
	mov	bx, [bx].Next	;Get next region.
	test	bx, bx	;NULL?, if not, try another
	jne	SearchLoop	; entry in the list.

by Pagidont Sog: Allogated Ligt

; If an allocated block with the specified key does not already exist, ; then try to allocate one from the free memory list.

SrchFreeList:	mov test je	bx, ResidentSeg:Fr bx, bx OutaMemory	reeList ;Empty free list?
FirstFitLp:	cmp jbe mov test jne	cx, [bx].BlkSize GotBlock bx, [bx].Next bx, bx FirstFitLp	;Is this block big enough? ;If not, on to the next one. ;Anything on this list?

; If we drop down here, we were unable to find a block that was large ; enough to satisfy the request. Return an appropriate error

OutaMemory:	mov	cx, 0	;Nothing available.
	mov	ax, 2	;Insufficient memory error.
	pop	bx	
	pop	ds	
	iret		

; If we find a large enough block, we've got to carve the new block ; out of it and return the rest of the storage to the free list. If the ; free block is at least 32 bytes larger than the requested size, we will ; do this. If the free block is less than 32 bytes larger, we will simply ; give this free block to the requesting process. The reason for the ; 32 bytes is simple: We need eight bytes for the new block's header ; (the free block already has one) and it doesn't make sense to fragment ; blocks to sizes below 24 bytes. That would only increase processing time ; when processes free up blocks by requiring more work coalescing blocks.

GotBlock:	mov	ax, [bx].BlkSize	;Compute difference in size.
	sub	ax, cx	
	cmp	ax, 32	;At least 32 bytes left?
	jbe	GrabWholeBlk	;If not, take this block.

; Okay, the free block is larger than the requested size by more than 32; bytes. Carve the new block from the end of the free block (that way; we do not have to change the free block's pointers, only the size.

mov di, bx di, [bx].BlkSize ;Scoot to end, minus 8 add sub di, cx ;Point at new block. sub [bx].BlkSize, cx ;Remove alloc'd block and sub [bx].BlkSize, 8 ; room for header. [di].BlkSize, cx ;Save size of block. mov [di].Key, dx ;Save key. mov

; Link the new block into the list of allocated blocks.

	mov	bx, ResidentSeg:A	AllocatedList
	mov	[di].Next, bx	
	mov	[di].Prev, NULL	;NULL previous pointer.
	test	bx, bx	;See if it was an empty list.
	je	NoPrev	
	mov	[bx].Prev, di	;Set prev ptr for old guy.
NoPrev:	mov	ResidentSeg:Allo	catedList, di
RmvDone:	add	di, 8	;Point at actual data area.
	mov	ax, ds	;Return ptr in es:di.
	mov	es, ax	

mov	ax, O	;Return success.
pop	bx	
pop	ds	
iret		

; If the current free block is larger than the request, but not by more ; that 32 bytes, just give the whole block to the user.

GrabWholeBlk:	mov	di, bx	
	mov	cx, [bx].BlkSize	;Return actual size.
	cmp	[bx].Prev, NULL	;First guy in list?
	je	Rmvlst	
	cmp	[bx].Next, NULL	;Last guy in list?
	ie	RmvLast	

; Okay, this record is sandwiched between two other in the free list. ; Cut it out from among the two.

mov	ax, [bx].Next	;Save the ptr to the next
mov	bx, [bx].Prev	; item in the prev item's
mov	[bx].Next, ax	; next field.
	-	
mov	ax, bx	;Save the ptr to the prev
mov mov	ax, bx bx, [di].Next	;Save the ptr to the prev ; item in the next item's
mov mov mov	ax, bx bx, [di].Next [bx].Prev, bx	<pre>;Save the ptr to the prev ; item in the next item's ; prev field.</pre>

; The block we want to remove is at the beginning of the free list. ; It could also be the only item on the free list!

Rmv1st:	mov	ax, [bx].Next	
	mov	FreeList, ax	;Remove from free list.
	jmp	RmvDone	

; If the block we want to remove is at the end of the list, handle that ; down here.

RmvLast:	mov mov jmp	bx, [bx].Prev [bx].Next, NULL RmvDone
	assume	ds:nothing, bx:nothing, di:nothing

; This code handles the SHMFREE function.

; On entry, DX contains the key for the block to free. We need to ; search through the allocated block list and find the block with that ; key. If we do not find such a block, this code returns without doing ; anything. If we find the block, we need to add its memory to the ; free pool. However, we cannot simply insert this block on the front ; of the free list (as we did for the allocated blocks). It might ; turn out that this block we're freeing is adjacent to one or two ; other free blocks. This code has to coalesce such blocks into ; a single free block.

Tryshmfree:	cmp	al, 12h
	jne	Tryshminit

; First, search the allocated block list to see if we can find the ; block to remove. If we don't find it in the list anywhere, just return.

assume	ds:SharedMemory
assume	bx:ptr Region
assume	di:ptr Region
push	ds
push	di
push	bx

	mov mov mov	<pre>bx, SharedMemory ds, bx bx, ResidentSeg:Al</pre>	llocatedList
SrchList:	test je cmp je	bx, bx FreeDone dx, [bx].Key FoundIt.	;Empty allocated list? ;Search for key in DX.
FreeDone	mov test jne	bx, [bx].Next bx, bx SrchList	;At end of list?
FTEEDONE.	pop pop iret	di ds	;Nothing allocated, just ; return to caller.
; Okay, we fou ; the allocate ; (1) it is at ; the allocate	and the bloo ed list. The the front ed list, and	ck the user wants t ere are three cases of the allocated 1 d (3) it is in the	to delete. Remove it from s to consider: List, (2) it is at the end of middle of the allocated list.
FoundIt:	cmp je	[bx].Prev, NULL Free1st	;1st item in list?
	cmp je	[bx].Next, NULL FreeLast	;Last item in list?
; Okay, we're ; list.	removing an	n allocated item fr	com the middle of the allocated
	mov mov mov xchq	di, [bx].Next ax, [bx].Prev [di].Prev, ax ax, di	<pre>;[next].prev := [cur].prev</pre>
	mov jmp	[di].Next, ax AddFree	;[prev].next := [cur].next
; Handle the c ; list. It is ; is the first ; problems.	case where w possible th and last :	we are removing the nat this is the onl item), but this coo	e first item from the allocation by item on the list (i.e., it de handles that case without any
Freelst:	mov mov jmp	ax, [bx].Next ResidentSeg:Alloca AddFree	atedList, ax
; If we're ren ; of the previ	noving the in lous node in	last guy in the cha n the list to NULL.	ain, simply set the next field
FreeLast:	mov mov	di, [bx].Prev [di].Next, NULL	
; Okay, now we've got to put the freed block onto the free block list. ; The free block list is sorted according to address. We have to search ; for the first free block whose address is greater than the block we've ; just freed and insert the new free block before that one. If the two ; blocks are adjacent, then we've got to merge them into a single free ; block. Also, if the block before is adjacent, we must merge it as ; well. This will coalesce all free blocks on the free list so there ; are as few free blocks as possible and those blocks are as large as ; possible.			
AddFree:	mov test jne	ax, ResidentSeg:Fr ax, ax SrchPosn	reeList ;Empty list?
; If the list	is empty, s	stick this guy on a	as the only entry.

mov	ResidentSeg:FreeList,	bx
mov	[bx].Next, NULL	
mov	[bx].Prev, NULL	
jmp	FreeDone	

; If the free list is not empty, search for the position of this block ; in the free list:

SrchPosn:	mov cmp jb mov test	di, ax bx, di FoundPosn ax, [di].Next ax, ax	;At end of list?
; If we fall d	jne lown here, t ed to merge	SrchPosn the free block belc the new block wit	ngs at the end of the list. h the old one.
, 500 11	ica co merge		
	mov add add cmp je	ax, di ax, [di].BlkSize ax, 8 ax, bx MergeLast	;Compute address of 1st byte ; after this block.
; Okay, just a	dd the free	e block to the end	of the list.
	mov mov mov jmp	[di].Next, bx [bx].Prev, di [bx].Next, NULL FreeDone	
; Merge the fr	eed block w	with the block DI p	points at.
MergeLast:	mov add add mov jmp	ax, [di].BlkSize ax, [bx].BlkSize ax, 8 [di].BlkSize, ax FreeDone	
; If we found ; the current	a free bloc free block,	ck before which we , drop down here an	are supposed to insert d handle it.
FoundPosn:	mov add add cmp	ax, bx ax, [bx].BlkSize ax, 8 ax, di DontMerge	;Compute the address of the ; next block in memory. ;Equal to this block?
; The next fre ; merge the tw	e block is	adjacent to the on	e we're freeing, so just
	motr	av [di] Blkgiza	:Marga the sizes together
	add	ax, 8	merge the billeb together.
	add	[bx].BlkSize, ax	marsh the line
	mov	[bx].Next, ax	, Iweak the Illiks.
	mov	ax, [di].Prev	
	mov jmp	[bx].Prev, ax TryMergeB4	
; If the block	s are not a	adjacent, just link	them together here.
DevelMana			
Donumerge	mov	[di].Prev. bx	
	mov	[bx].Prev, ax	
	mov	[bx].Next, di	
; Now, see if	we can merg	ge the current free	block with the previous free blk.
TryMergeB4:	mov	di, [bx].Prev ax, di	
	add	ax, [dl].BlkSlze	
	cmp	ax, bx	
	je	CanMerge	
	pop	bx	Nothing allogated inst
	pop pop iret	ds	; return to caller.

; If we can merge the previous and current free blocks, do that here:

CanMerge:	mov mov add add pop pop pop iret	<pre>ax, [bx].Next [di].Next, ax ax, [bx].BlkSize ax, 8 [di].BlkSize, ax bx di ds</pre>
	assume assume assume	ds:nothing bx:nothing di:nothing

; Here's where we handle the shared memory initializatin (SHMINIT) function. ; All we got to do is create a single block on the free list (which is all ; available memory), empty out the allocated list, and then zero out all ; shared memory.

Tryshminit:	cmp	al, 13h
	jne	TryShmAttach

; Reset the memory allocation area to contain a single, free, block of ; memory whose size is 0FFF8h (need to reserve eight bytes for the block's

; data structure).

;;;

	push push push	es di cx		
	mov	ax,	SharedMemory	;Zero out the shared
	mov	es,	ax	; memory segment.
	mov	CX,	32768	
	xor	ax,	ax	
	mov	di,	ax	
rep	stosw			

; Note: the commented out lines below are unnecessary since the code above ; has already zeroed out the entire shared memory segment. ; Note: we cannot put the first record at offset zero because offset zero

; is the special value for the NULL pointer. We'll use 4 instead.

mov	di, 4	
mov	es:[di].Re	egion.Key, 0 ;Key is arbitrary.
mov	es:[di].Re	egion.Next, 0 ;No other entries.
mov	es:[di].Re	egion.Prev, 0 ; Ditto.
mov	es:[di].Re	egion.BlkSize, OFFF8h ;Rest of segment.
mov	ResidentSe	eg:FreeList, di
pop	CX	
pop	di	
pop	es	
mov	ax, O	;Return no error.
iret		
pop pop mov iret	di es ax, 0	;Return no error.

; Handle the SHMATTACH function here. On entry, DX contains a key number. ; Search for an allocated block with that key number and return a pointer ; to that block (if found) in ES:DI. Return an error code (AX=3) if we ; cannot find the block.

TryShmAttach:	Cmp	al, 14h	;Attach opcode.
	jne	IllegalOp	
	mov	ax, SharedMemory	
	mov	es, ax	
	mov	di, ResidentSeg:Al	llocatedList
FindOurs:	cmp	dx, es:[di].Region	n.Key
	je	FoundOurs	
	mov	di, es:[di].Region	1.Next

	test jne mov iret	di, di FoundOurs ax, 3	;Can't find the key.
FoundOurs:	add mov iret	di, 8 ax, 0	;Point at actual data. ;No error.
; They called ; damage as po	us with an ossible.	illegal subfunctio	on value. Try to do as little
Illegal0p:	mov iret	ax, 0 ;Wh	o knows what they were thinking?
MyInt2F ResidentSeg	endp assume ends	ds:nothing	
; Here's the s	segment that	will actually hol	ld the shared data.
SharedMemory SharedMemory	segment db ends	para public 'Share OFFFFh dup (?)	ed'

cseg	segment assume	para public `code' cs:cseg, ds:ResidentSeg		
; SeeIfPresen ; ;	t-	Checks to see if of Sets the zero flag it is not.	our TSR is already present in memory. g if it is, clears the zero flag if	
SeeIfPresent	proc push push push	near es ds di		
IDLoop:	mov mov push mov int	cx, UTTA ah, cl cx al, 0 2Fh	;Verify presence call.	
	pop cmp je strcmpl	cx al, 0 TryNext	;Present in memory?	
	byte ⁻ je	"Dynamic Shared Me Success	emory TSR",0	
TryNext:	dec js	cl IDLoop	;Test USER IDs of 80hFFh	
Success:	cmp pop pop pop ret	cx, 0 di ds es	;Clear zero flag.	
SeeIfPresent	endp			
; FindID- ; ; ;	Determines in the mul the CL reg Returns th	the first (well, tiplex interrupt c dister. ne zero flag set if	last actually) TSR ID available hain. Returns this value in it locates an empty slot.	
;	Returns th	ne zero flag clear	if failure.	
FindID	proc push	near es		

Chapter 19

	push push	ds di	
IDLoop:	mov mov push	cx, Offh ah, cl cx	;Start with ID OFFh.
	mov int	al, 0 2Fh CY	Verify presence call.
	cmp	al, 0	;Present in memory?
	je dec js	cl IDLoop	;Test USER IDs of 80hFFh
	xor cmp	cx, cx cx, 1	;Clear zero flag
Success:	pop pop pop	di ds es	
FindID	endp		
Main	proc meminit		
	mov mov	ax, ResidentSeg ds, ax	
	mov	ah, 62h	;Get this program's PSP
	int mov	21h PSP, bx	; value.
; Before we do ; parameters. ; the resident	anything e If there is copy from	else, we need to ch s one, and it is th memory using the m	neck the command line ne word "REMOVE", then remove nultiplex (2Fh) interrupt.
	argc cmp jb	cx, 1 TstPresent	;Must have 0 or 1 parms.
Usage:	je print byte byte	<pre>"Usage:",cr,lf " shmalloc",cr,lf</pre>	
	byte ExitPgm	"or shmalloc REMOV	7E″, cr, lf, 0
; Check for th	le REMOVE co	ommand.	
DoRemove:	mov argv stricmpl	ax, 1	
	byte jne	"REMOVE",0 Usage	
	call je print	SeeIfPresent RemoveIt	
	byte byte ExitPgm	"TSR is not preser cr,lf,0	nt in memory, cannot remove"
RemoveIt:	mov printf	MyTSRID, cl	
	byte dword	"Removing TSR (ID MyTSRID	#%d) from memory",0
	mov mov int	ah, cl al, 1 2Fh	;Remove cmd, ah contains ID
	cmp je print	al, 1 RmvFailure	;Succeed?

```
bvte
                          "removed.", cr, lf, 0
               ExitPam
RmvFailure:
               print
               byte
                          cr,lf
               byte
                          "Could not remove TSR from memory.", cr, lf
                          "Try removing other TSRs in the reverse order "
               byte
               byte
                          "you installed them.", cr, lf, 0
               ExitPgm
; Okay, see if the TSR is already in memory. If so, abort the
; installation process.
TstPresent:
               call
                          SeeIfPresent
                          GetTSRID
               ine
               print
               byte
                          "TSR is already present in memory.", cr, lf
                          "Aborting installation process", cr, lf, 0
               bvt.e
               ExitPqm
; Get an ID for our TSR and save it away.
GetTSRID:
               call
                          FindID
               ie
                          GetFileName
               print
                          "Too many resident TSRs, cannot install", cr, lf, 0
               byte
               ExitPqm
; Things look cool so far, so install the interrupts
GetFileName:
               mov
                          MyTSRID, cl
               print
               byte
                          "Installing interrupts...",0
; Patch into the INT 2Fh interrupt chain.
               cli
                                             ;Turn off interrupts!
               mov
                          ax, 0
               mov
                          es, ax
                          ax, es:[2Fh*4]
               mov
                          word ptr OldInt2F, ax
               mov
                          ax, es: [2Fh*4 + 2]
               mov
               mov
                          word ptr OldInt2F+2, ax
                          es:[2Fh*4], offset MyInt2F
               mov
                          es:[2Fh*4+2], seg ResidentSeg
               mov
               sti
                                             ;Okay, ints back on.
; We're hooked up, the only thing that remains is to initialize the shared
; memory segment and then terminate and stay resident.
               printf
               byte
                          "Installed, TSR ID #%d.",cr,lf,0
               dword
                          MyTSRID.
               mov
                          ah, MyTSRID
                                             ;Initialization call.
                          al, 13h
               mov
                          2Fh
               int
                          dx, EndResident
                                             ;Compute size of program.
               mov
               sub
                          dx, PSP
                          ax, 3100h
                                             ;DOS TSR command.
               mov
                          21h
               int
Main
               endp
               ends
cseq
                          para stack 'stack'
               segment
sseq
                          256 dup (?)
stk
               db
sseg
               ends
```

zzzzzseg	segment	para public	`zzzzzz'
LastBytes	db	16 dup (?)	
zzzzzseg	ends		
	end	Main	

We can modify the two applications from the previous section to try out this code:

```
; SHMAPP3.ASM
; This is a shared memory application that uses the dynamic shared memory
;
 TSR (SHMALLOC.ASM). This program inputs a string from the user and
 passes that string to SHMAPP4.ASM through the shared memory area.
;
:
;
               .xlist
              include
                         stdlib.a
              includelib stdlib.lib
               ligt
                          para public 'data'
dseg
              segment
ShmID
              bvt.e
                          0
dseq
              ends
cseg
               segment
                          para public 'code'
                          cs:cseq, ds:dseq, es:SharedMemory
              assume
; SeeIfPresent-Checks to see if the shared memory TSR is present in memory.
;
              Sets the zero flag if it is, clears the zero flag if
;
              it is not. This routine also returns the TSR ID in CL.
SeeIfPresent
                          near
              proc
              push
                          es
                          ds
              push
              push
                          di
                          cx, Offh
                                            ;Start with ID OFFh.
              mov
IDLoop:
                          ah, cl
              mov
              push
                          сх
                          al, 0
                                            ;Verify presence call.
              mov
              int
                          2Fh
              pop
                          CX
              cmp
                          al, 0
                                            ;Present in memory?
                          TryNext
               je
              strcmpl
              byte
                          "Dynamic Shared Memory TSR",0
               je
                          Success
TryNext:
                          cl
                                            ;Test USER IDs of 80h..FFh
              dec
                          IDLoop
               js
               cmp
                          cx, 0
                                            ;Clear zero flag.
Success:
                          di
              pop
                          ds
              pop
              pop
                          es
              ret.
SeeIfPresent
              endp
; The main program for application #1 links with the shared memory
; TSR and then reads a string from the user (storing the string into
; shared memory) and then terminates.
Main
              proc
```

IGLII	Proc				
	assume	cs:c	cseg,	ds:dseg,	es:SharedMemory
	mov	ax,	dseg		
	mov	ds,	ax		
	meminit				
print bvte "Shared memory application #3", cr, lf, 0 ; See if the shared memory TSR is around: call SeeIfPresent ItsThere ie print bvte "Shared Memory TSR (SHMALLOC) is not loaded.", cr, lf "This program cannot continue execution.", cr, lf, 0 byte ExitPqm ; Get the input line from the user: ItsThere: ShmID, cl mov print "Enter a string: ",0 byte lea di, InputLine ;ES already points at proper seg. getsm ; The string is in our heap space. Let's move it over to the shared ; memory segment. strlen inc сx ;Add one for zero byte. push es di push ;Our "key" value. dx, 1234h mov mov ah, ShmID al, 11h ;Shmalloc call. mov int 2Fh si, di ;Save as dest ptr. mov mov dx, es pop di ;Retrive source address. pop es ;Copy from local to shared. strcpy print byte "Entered `",0 puts print byte "' into shared memory.", cr, lf, 0 Ouit: ExitPgm ;DOS macro to quit program. Main endp ends cseq para stack `stack' segment sseg stk db 1024 dup ("stack ") sseg ends zzzzzseg segment para public 'zzzzz' LastBytes db 16 dup (?) zzzzzseg ends end Main

; SHMAPP4.ASM

; This is a shared memory application that uses the dynamic shared memory

- ; TSR (SHMALLOC.ASM). This program assumes the user has already run the
- ; SHMAPP3 program to insert a string into shared memory. This program

; simply prints that string from shared memory. .xlist include stdlib.a includelib stdlib.lib list dseq segment para public 'data' ShmID byte Λ dseq ends para public 'code' cseq segment assume cs:cseq, ds:dseq, es:SharedMemory ; SeeIfPresent-Checks to see if the shared memory TSR is present in memory. Sets the zero flag if it is, clears the zero flag if ; it is not. This routine also returns the TSR ID in CL. : SeeIfPresent proc near push es push ds push di cx, Offh mov ;Start with ID OFFh. : gooldI ah, cl mov push сx mov al, 0 ;Verify presence call. 2Fh int qoq сx cmp al, 0 ;Present in memory? TryNext ie strcmpl bvte "Dynamic Shared Memory TSR",0 je Success TryNext: dec cl ;Test USER IDs of 80h..FFh IDLoop js cx, 0 ;Clear zero flag. cmp Success: pop di ds pop рор es ret SeeIfPresent endp ; The main program for application #1 links with the shared memory ; TSR and then reads a string from the user (storing the string into ; shared memory) and then terminates. Main proc cs:cseg, ds:dseg, es:SharedMemory assume mov ax, dseg mov ds, ax meminit print byte "Shared memory application #4", cr, lf, 0 ; See if the shared memory TSR is around: call SeeIfPresent je ItsThere print byte "Shared Memory TSR (SHMALLOC) is not loaded.", cr, lf byte "This program cannot continue execution.", cr, lf, 0 ExitPgm ; If the shared memory TSR is present, get the address of the shared segment ; into the ES register: ItsThere: ;ID of our TSR. ah, cl mov ;Attach call mov al, 14h dx, 1234h;Our "key" value mov

int

2Fh

; Print the string input in SHMAPP3: print. byte "String from SHMAPP3 is '".0 puts print "' from shared memory.", cr, lf, 0 bvte Ouit: ExitPqm ;DOS macro to guit program. Main endp cseq ends segment para stack 'stack' sseq stk dh 1024 dup ("stack ") ends ssea seqment zzzzzseq para public 'zzzzz' LastBytes db 16 dup (?) ends zzzzzseg end Main

19.3 Coroutines

DOS processes, even when using shared memory, suffer from one primary drawback – each program executes to completion before returning control back to the parent process. While this paradigm is suitable for many applications, it certainly does not suffice for all. A common paradigm is for two programs to swap control of the CPU back and forth while executing. This mechanism, slightly different from the subroutine call and return mechanism, is a *coroutine*.

Before discussing coroutines, it is probably a good idea to provide a solid definition for the term *process*. In a nutshell, a process is a program that is executing. A program can exist on the disk; processes exist in memory and have a program stack (with return addresses, etc.) associated with them. If there are multiple processes in memory at one time, each process must have its own program stack.

A *cocall* operation transfers control between two processes. A cocall is effectively a call and a return instruction all rolled into one operation. From the point of view of the process executing the cocall, the cocall operation is equivalent to a procedure call; from the point of view of the processing being called, the cocall operation is equivalent to a return operation. When the second process cocalls the first, control resumes *not at the beginning of the first process*, but immediately after the cocall operation. If two processes execute a sequence of mutual cocalls, control will transfer between the two processes in the following fashion:





Cocalls are quite useful for games where the "players" take turns, following different strategies. The first player executes some code to make its first move, then cocalls the second player and allows it to make a move. After the second player makes its move, it cocalls the first process and gives the first player its second move, picking up immediately after its cocall. This transfer of control bounces back and forth until one player wins.

The 80x86 CPUs do not provide a cocall instruction. However, it is easy to implement cocalls with existing instructions. Even so, there is little need for you to supply your own cocall mechanism, the UCR Standard Library provides a cocall package for 8086, 80186, and 80286 processors². This package includes the pcb (process control block) data structure and three functions you can call: coinit, cocall, and cocalll.

The pcb structure maintains the current state of a process. The pcb maintains all the register values and other accounting information for a process. When a process makes a cocall, it stores the return address for the cocall in the pcb. Later, when some other process cocalls this process, the cocall operation simply reloads the registers, include cs:ip, from the pcb and that returns control to the next instruction after the first process' cocall. The pcb structure takes the following form:

pcb struct

^{2.} The cocall package works fine with the other processors as long as you don't use the 32-bit register set. Later, we will discuss how to extend the Standard Library routines to handle the 32-bit capabilities of the 80386 and late processors.

Nort Drog	durand	2	•T imle	+ ~ .		DOD	(for	multitection)
NextProc	awora	2	1 LTUK	LO .	next	PCB	(LOL	multitasking).
regsp	word	?						
regss	word	?						
regip	word	?						
regcs	word	?						
regax	word	?						
regbx	word	?						
regcx	word	?						
regdx	word	?						
regsi	word	?						
regdi	word	?						
regbp	word	?						
regds	word	?						
reges	word	?						
regflags	word	?						
PrcsID	word	?						
StartingTime	dword	?	;Used	for	mult	titas	sking	accounting.
StartingDate	dword	?	;Used	for	mult	titas	sking	accounting.
CPUTime	dword	?	;Used	for	mult	titas	sking	accounting.

Four of these fields (as labelled) exist for preemptive multitasking and have no meaning for coroutines. We will discuss preemptive multitasking in the next section.

There are two important things that should be evident from this structure. First, the main reason the existing Standard Library coroutine support is limited to 16 bit register is because there is only room for the 16 bit versions of each of the registers in the pcb. If you want to support the 80386 and later 32 bit register sets, you would need to modify the pcb structure and the code that saves and restores registers in the pcb.

The second thing that should be evident is that the coroutine code preserves all registers across a cocall. This means you cannot pass information from one process to another in the registers when using a cocall. You will need to pass data between processes in global memory locations. Since coroutines generally exist in the same program, you will not even need to resort to the shared memory techniques. Any variables you declare in your data segment will be visible to all coroutines.

Note, by the way, that a program may contain more than two coroutines. If coroutine one cocalls coroutine two, and coroutine two cocalls coroutine three, and then coroutine three cocalls coroutine one, coroutine one picks up immediately after the cocall it made to coroutine two.



Cocalls Between Three Processes

Since a cocall effectively *returns* to the target coroutine, you might wonder what happens on the *first* cocall to any process. After all, if that process has not executed any code, there is no "return address" where you can resume execution. This is an easy problem to solve, we need only initialize the return address of such a process to the address of the first instruction to execute in that process.

A similar problem exists for the stack. When a program begins execution, the main program (coroutine one) takes control and uses the stack associated with the entire program. Since each process must have its own stack, where do the other coroutines get their stacks?

The easiest way to initialize the stack and initial address for a coroutine is to do this when declaring a pcb for a process. Consider the following pcb variable declaration:

ProcessTwo pcb {0, offset EndStack2, seg EndStack2, offset StartLoc2, seg StartLoc2}

This definition initializes the NextProc field with NULL (the Standard Library coroutine functions do not use this field) and initialize the ss:sp and cs:ip fields with the last address of a stack area (EndStack2) and the first instruction of the process (StartLoc2). Now all you need to do is reserve a reasonable amount of stack storage for the process. You can create multiple stacks in the SHELLASM sseg as follows:

```
sseq
              segment
                        para stack 'stack'
; Stack for process #2:
stk2
             byte
                        1024 dup (?)
EndStack2
             word
                        2
; Stack for process #3:
stk3
             byte
                        1024 \, dup (?)
EndStack3
             word
                        2
; The primary stack for the main program (process #1) must appear at
; the end of sseg.
stk
             byte
                   1024 dup (?)
ssea
             ends
```

There is the question of "how much space should one reserve for each stack?" This, of course, varies with the application. If you have a simple application that doesn't use recursion or allocate any local variables on the stack, you could get by with as little as 256 bytes of stack space for a process. On the other hand, if you have recursive routines or allocate storage on the stack, you will need considerably more space. For simple programs, 1-8K stack storage should be sufficient. Keep in mind that you can allocate a maximum of 64K in the SHELLASM sseg. If you need additional stack space, you will need to up the other stacks in a different segment (they do not need to be in sseg, it's just a convenient place for them) or you will need to allocate the stack space differently.

Note that you do not have to allocate the stack space as an array within your program. You can also allocate stack space dynamically using the Standard Library malloc call. The following code demonstrates how to set up an 8K dynamically allocated stack for the pcb variable Process2:

mov	cx, 8192
malloc	
jc	InsufficientRoom
mov	Process2.ss, es
mov	Process2.sp, di

Setting up the coroutines the main program will call is pretty easy. However, there is the issue of setting up the pcb for the main program. You cannot initialize the pcb for the main program the same way you initialize the pcb for the other processes; it is already running and has valid cs:ip and ss:sp values. Were you to initialize the main program's pcb the same way we did for the other processes, the system would simply restart the main program when you make a cocall back to it. To initialize the pcb for the main program, you must use the coinit function. The coinit function expects you to pass it the address of the main program's pcb in the es:di register pair. It initializes some variables internal to the Standard Library so the first cocall operation will save the 80x86 machine state in the pcb you specify by es:di. After the coinit call, you can begin making cocalls to other processes in your program. To cocall a coroutine, you use the Standard Library cocall function. The cocall function call takes two forms. Without any parameters this function transfers control to the coroutine whose pcb address appears in the es:di register pair. If the address of a pcb appears in the operand field of this instruction, cocall transfers control to the specified coroutine (don't forget, the name of the pcb, *not* the process, must appear in the operand field).

The best way to learn how to use coroutines is via example. The following program is an interesting piece of code that generates mazes on the PC's display. The maze generation algorithm has one major constraint – there must be no more than one correct solution to the maze (it is possible for there to be no solution). The main program creates a set of background processes called "demons" (actually, daemon is the correct term, but demon sounds more appropriate here). Each demon begins carving out a portion of the maze subject to the main constraint. Each demon gets to dig one cell from the maze and then it passes control to another demon. As it turns out, demons can "dig themselves into a corner" and die (demons live only to dig). When this happens, the demon removes itself from the list of active demons. When all demons die off, the maze is (in theory) complete. Since the demons die off fairly regularly, there must be some mechanism to create new demons. Therefore, this program randomly spawns new demons who start digging their own tunnels perpendicular to their parents. This helps ensure that there is a sufficient supply of demons to dig out the entire maze; the demons all die off only when there are no, or few, cells remaining to dig in the maze.

; AMAZE.ASM

; A maze generation/solution program.

; This program generates an 80x25 maze and directly draws the maze on the

; video display. It demonstrates the use of coroutines within a program.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			
	.xlist include includelib .list	stdlib.a stdlib.lib		
рур	textequ	<byte ptr=""></byte>		
dseg	segment	para public 'data'		
; Constants: ; ; Define the " ; want to disp	ToScreen" s blay it on t	symbol (to any valu the video screen.	e) if the	maze is 80x25 and you
ToScreen	equ	0		
; Maximum X ar	nd Y coordin	nates for the maze	(matching	the display).
MaxXCoord MaxYCoord	equ equ	80 25		
; Useful X,Y c	constants:			
WordsPerRow BytesPerRow	= =	MaxXCoord+2 WordsPerRow*2		
StartX StartY EndX EndY	equ equ equ	1 3 MaxXCoord MaxYCoord-1	;Starting ;Starting ;Ending X ;Ending Y	X coordinate for maze Y coordinate for maze coordinate for maze coordinate for maze
EndLoc StartLoc	= =	((EndY-1)*MaxXCoc ((StartY-1)*MaxXC	ord + EndX- Coord + Sta	-1)*2 artX-1)*2
; Special 16-k	oit PC chara	acter codes for the	screen fo	or symbols drawn during

; Special 16-bit PC character codes for the screen for symbols drawn during ; maze generation. See the chapter on the video display for details.

	ifdef	mono	;Mono display adapter.	
WallChar	eau	7dbh	;Solid block character	

Chapter 19

NoWallChar VisitChar	equ	720h 72eh	;space
PathChar	equ	72ah	;Asterisk
	else		;Color display adapter.
WallChar	eau	1dbh	;Solid block character
NoWallChar	equ	0edbh	;space
VisitChar	equ	0bdbh	;Period
PathChar	equ	4e2ah	;Asterisk
	endif		
; The followin	ng are the d	constants that may	appear in the Maze array:
Wall	=	0	
NoWall	=	1	
Visited	=	2	
; The followin	ng are the c	directions the demo	ons can go in the maze
North	=	0	
South	=		
East	=	2	
West	=	3	
; Some importa	nt variable	es:	
; The Maze arr ; outside edge	ay must cor s for our a	ntain an extra row algorithm to work p	and column around the properly.
Maze	word	(MaxYCoord+2) dup	((MaxXCoord+2) dup (Wall))
; The follow m ; a demon's X ; Returns inde	acro comput and Y coorc x in the AX	tes an index into t dinates are in the K register	the above array assuming dl and dh registers, respectively.
MazeAdrs	macro		
	mov	al, dh	
	mov	ah, WordsPerRow	;Index into array is computed
	mul	an	; by $(Y*words/row + X)*2$.
	add	al, di ah 0	
	shl	an, 0 ax, 1	;Convert to byte index
	endm	,	
; The followin ; same assumpt ; the maze mat ; 125 rather ; for that.	ng macro com tions as abo trix is 82x2 than 079	mputes an index int ove. Note that the 27; The X/Y coordir 9 and 024 (like w	to the screen array, using the screen matrix is 80x25 whereas mates in DL/DH are 180 and we need). This macro adjusts
ScrnAdrs	macro		
	mov	al, dh	
	dec	al	
	mov	an, MaxXCoord	
	mul	an al di	
	adc	ar, ur ah. 0	
	dec	ax	
	shl	ax, 1	
	endm		
; PCB for the ; it dies.	main progra	am. The last live o	demon will call this guy when

; List of up to 32 demons.

MaxDemons	=	32	;Must be a power of two.
ModDemons		MaxDemons-1	;Mask for MOD computation.
DemonList	pcb	MaxDemons dup ({})	
DemonIndex	byte	0	;Index into demon list.
DemonCnt	byte	0	;Number of demons in list.

; Random number generator seed (we'll use our random number generator ; rather than the standard library's because we want to be able to specify ; an initial seed value).

Seed	word		
dseg	ends		

; The following is the segment address of the video display, change this ; from OB800h to OB000h if you have a monochrome display rather than a ; color display.

ScreenSeg	segment	at 0b800h					
Screen ScreenSeg	equ ends	this word	;Don't	generate	in	date	here!

cseg	segment	para public `code'
	assume	cs:cseg, ds:dseg

proc

; Totally bogus random number generator, but we don't need a really ; great one for this program. This code uses its own random number

; generator rather than the one in the Standard Library so we can

; allow the user to use a fixed seed to produce the same maze (with

; the same seed) or different mazes (by choosing different seeds).

near

RandNum

RandNum	push mov and add mov xor rol xor inc mov pop ret endp	cx cl, byte ptr Seed cl, 7 cl, 4 ax, Seed ax, 55aah ax, cl ax, Seed ax Seed, ax cx
; Init- ; ;	Handles all the In particular, is random number se	initialization chores for the main program. It initializes the coroutine package, gets a eed from the user, and initializes the video display.
Init	proc print byte getsm atoi	<pre>near "Enter a small integer for a random number seed:",0</pre>

; Fill the interior of the maze with wall characters, fill the outside

Seed, ax

; two rows and columns with nowall values. This will prevent the demons

; from wandering outside the maze.

free

mov

; Fill the first row with Visited values.

cx, WordsPerRow mov lesi Maze ax, Visited mov rep stosw ; Fill the last row with NoWall values. cx, WordsPerRow mov lea di, Maze+(MaxYCoord+1)*BytesPerRow rep stosw ; Write a NoWall value to the starting position: Maze+(StartY*WordsPerRow+StartX)*2, NoWall mov

; Write NoWall values along the two vertical edges of the maze.

lesi	Maze	
mov	cx, MaxYCoord+1	
mov	es:[di], ax	;Plug the left edge.
mov	es:[di+BytesPerRow-2], ax	;Plug the right edge.
add	di, BytesPerRow	
loop	EdgesLoop	
	lesi mov mov add loop	lesi Maze mov cx, MaxYCoord+1 mov es:[di], ax mov es:[di+BytesPerRow-2], ax add di, BytesPerRow loop EdgesLoop

ifdef ToScreen

; Okay, fill the screen with WallChar values:

lesi	Screen			
mov	ax,	WallChar		
mov	cx,	2000		
stosw				

; Write appropriate characters to the starting and ending locations:

mov	word	ptr	es:Screen+EndLoc,	PathChar
mov	word	ptr	es:Screen+StartLoc	, NoWallChar

endif

cld

;ToScreen

; Zero out the DemonList:

rep

Init

rep

mov	CX,	(size pcb)*MaxDemons
lea	di,	DemonList
mov	ax,	dseg
mov	es,	ax
xor stosb	ax,	ax
ret endp		

; CanStart- This function checks around the current position ; to see if the maze generator can start digging a new tunnel ; in a direction perpendicular to the current tunnel. You can ; only start a new tunnel if there are wall characters for at ; least two positions in the desired direction:

;
 ##
; ##
; *##
; ##
;
 If "*" is current position and "#" represent wall characters
; and the current direction is north or south, then it is okay
; for the maze generator to start a new path in the east dir; ection. Assuming "." represents a tunnel, you cannot start
; a new tunnel in the east direction if any of the following
; patterns occur:

; ; .# ; *## ; ##	#. *## ##	## *_# ##	## *#. ##	## *## .#	## *## #.
; CanStart ret ; path being d	urns true lug by the o	(carry set) if current demon.	we can start a new	tunnel	off the
; On entry, ; ;	dl is demo dh is demo cl is demo	n's X-Coordina n's Y-Coordina n's direction	te te		
CanStart	proc push push	near ax bx			
	MazeAdrs mov	bx, ax	;Compute index to	demon(x	(,y) in maze.
; CL contains ; Note that we	the current can test b	t direction, 0= bit #1 for nort	north, 1=south, 2= h/south (0) or eas	east, 3 t/west	=west. (1).
	test jz	cl, 10b NorthSouth	;See if north/sout	th or ea	ast/west
<pre>; If the demon ; tunnel if th ; Note: We are ; This code de ; of these six</pre>	n is going : here are size checking : epends on the blocks with	in an east or w k wall blocks if all values i ne fact that Wa ll be zero if a	vest direction, we just above or below in these six blocks all characters are a move is possible.	can sta the cu are Wa zero an	rt a new rrent demon. ll values. d the sum
	mov add add je	al, byp Maze[] al, byp Maze[] al, byp Maze[] ReturnTrue	ox+BytesPerRow*2] ; ox+BytesPerRow*2+2] ox+BytesPerRow*2-2]	Maze[x, ;Maze[;Maze[y+2] x+1,y+2] x-1,y+2]
	mov add add je	al, byp Maze[] al, byp Maze[] al, byp Maze[] ReturnTrue	ox-BytesPerRow*2] ; ox-BytesPerRow*2+2] ox-BytesPerRow*2-2]	Maze[x, ;Maze[;Maze[y-2] x+1,y-2] x-1,y-2]
ReturnFalse:	clc pop pop ret	bx ax	;Clear carry =	false.	
; If the demon is going in a north or south direction, we can start a ; new tunnel if there are six wall blocks just to the left or right ; of the current demon.					
NorthSouth:	mov add add je	al, byp Maze[] al, byp Maze[] al, byp Maze[] ReturnTrue	ox+4];Maze[x+2,y] ox+BytesPerRow+4];M ox-BytesPerRow+4];M	laze[x+2 laze[x+2	,y+1] ,y-1]
	mov add add jne	al, byp Maze[] al, byp Maze[] al, byp Maze[] ReturnFalse	ox-4];Maze[x-2,y] ox+BytesPerRow-4];M ox-BytesPerRow-4];M	laze[x-2 laze[x-2	,y+1] ,y-1]
ReturnTrue:	stc pop pop ret	bx ax	;Set carry = t	rue.	
CanStart	endp				

; CanMove-	Tests to see if the current demon (dir=cl, x=dl, y=dh) can
;	move in the specified direction. Movement is possible if
;	the demon will not come within one square of another tunnel.
;	This function returns true (carry set) if a move is possible.
;	On entry, CH contains the direction this code should test.

CanMove	proc push push	ax bx
	MazeAdrs mov	;Put @Maze[x,y] into ax. bx, ax
	cmp jb je cmp je	ch, South IsNorth IsSouth ch, East IsEast
; If the demor ; by Maze[x-2,	n is moving ,y-1] to Ma	west, check the blocks in the rectangle formed $ze[x-1,y+1]$ to make sure they are all wall values.
ReturnFalse:	mov add add add je clc pop pop ret	<pre>al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow-4];Maze[x-2, y-1] al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow-2];Maze[x-1, y-1] al, byp Maze[bx-4];Maze[x-2, y] al, byp Maze[bx-2];Maze[x-1, y] al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow-4];Maze[x-2, y+1] al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow-2];Maze[x-1, y+1] ReturnTrue bx ax</pre>
; If the demor ; by Maze[x+1,	n is going (,y-1] to Ma	east, check the blocks in the rectangle formed $ze[x+2,y+1]$ to make sure they are all wall values.
IsEast:	mov add add add add add jne	<pre>al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow+4];Maze[x+2, y-1] al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow+2];Maze[x+1, y-1] al, byp Maze[bx+4];Maze[x+2, y] al, byp Maze[bx+2];Maze[x+1, y] al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow+4];Maze[x+2, y+1] al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow+2];Maze[x+1, y+1] ReturnFalse</pre>
ReturnTrue:	stc pop pop	bx ax

; If the demon is going north, check the blocks in the rectangle formed ; by Maze[x-1,y-2] to Maze[x+1,y-1] to make sure they are all wall values.

ret

IsNorth: mov add add add add add jne stc pop pop ret	<pre>al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow-2];Maze[x-1, y-1] al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow*2-2];Maze[x-1, y-2] al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow];Maze[x, y-1] al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow*2];Maze[x, y-2] al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow+2];Maze[x+1, y-1] al, byp Maze[bx-BytesPerRow*2+2];Maze[x+1, y-2] ReturnFalse bx ax</pre>
--	--

; If the demon is going south, check the blocks in the rectangle formed ; by Maze[x-1,y+2] to Maze[x+1,y+1] to make sure they are all wall values.

IsSouth:	mov	al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow-2];Maze[x-1, y+1]
	add	al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow*2-2];Maze[x-1, y+2]
	add	al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow];Maze[x, y+1]
	add	al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow*2];Maze[x, y+2]
	add	al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow+2];Maze[x+1, y+1]
	add	al, byp Maze[bx+BytesPerRow*2+2];Maze[x+1, y+2]
	jne	ReturnFalse
	stc	

pop	bx
pop	ax
ret	
endp	

CanMove

; SetDir- Changes the current direction. The maze digging algorithm has ; decided to change the direction of the tunnel begin dug by one ; of the demons. This code checks to see if we CAN change the direction, ; and picks a new direction if possible. ; If the demon is going north or south, a direction change causes the demon ; to go east or west. Likewise, if the demon is going east or west, a ; direction change forces it to go north or south. If the demon cannot ; change directions (because it cannot move in the new direction for one ; reason or another), SetDir returns without doing anything. If a direction ; change is possible, then SetDir selects a new direction. If there is only ; one possible new direction, the demon is sent off in that direction. ; If the demon could move off in one of two different directions, SetDir ; "flips a coin" to choose one of the two new directions. ; This function returns the new direction in al. SetDir near proc

test	cl, 10b	;See if north/south
je	IsNS	; or east/west direction.

; We're going east or west. If we can move EITHER north or south from ; this point, randomly choose one of the directions. If we can only ; move one way or the other, choose that direction. If we can't go either ; way, return without changing the direction.

	mov call inc	ch, North CanMove NotNorth	;See if we can move north
	mov call inc	ch, South CanMove DoNorth	;See if we can move south
	call and ret	RandNum ax, 1	;Get a random direction ;Make it north or south.
DoNorth:	mov ret	ax, North	
NotNorth:	mov call	ch, South CanMove TryReverse	
DoSouth:	mov	ax, South	

; If the demon is moving north or south, choose a new direction of east ; or west, if possible.

IsNS:	mov call jnc	ch, East CanMove NotEast	;See if we can move East
	mov call jnc	ch, West CanMove DoEast	;See if we can move West
	call and or ret	RandNum ax, 1b al, 10b	;Get a random direction ;Make it East or West
DoEast:	mov ret	ax, East	

Chapter 19

DoWest: mov ax, West ret NotEast: ch, West mov call CanMove DoWest. jc ; Gee, we can't switch to a perpendicular direction, see if we can ; turn around. TryReverse: ch, cl mov ch, 1 xor call CanMove jc ReverseDir ; If we can't turn around (likely), then keep going in the same direction. mov ah, 0 ;Stay in same direction. mov al, cl ret ; Otherwise reverse direction down here. ReverseDir: ah, 0 mov al, cl al, 1 mov xor ret SetDir endp ; Stuck-This function checks to see if a demon is stuck and cannot ; move in any direction. It returns true if the demon is ; stuck and needs to be killed. Stuck proc near ch, North mov call CanMove jc NotStuck mov ch, South CanMove call jc NotStuck mov ch, East call CanMove NotStuck jc ch, West mov call CanMove NotStuck: ret Stuck endp Searches through the demon list to find the next available ; NextDemonactive demon. Return a pointer to this guy in es:di. ; NextDemon proc near push ax NDLoop: DemonIndex ;Move on to next demon, inc and DemonIndex, ModDemons ; MOD MaxDemons. ;Compute index into mov al, size pcb DemonIndex ; DemonList. mul mov di, ax ;See if the demon at this add di, offset DemonList ; offset is active. cmp byp [di].pcb.NextProc, 0 NDLoop je ax, ds mov es, ax mov pop ax ret NextDemon endp

; Dig-This is the demon process. It moves the demon one position (if possible) in its current : ; direction. After moving one position forward, there is ; a 25% chance that this guy will change its direction; there is a 25% chance this demon will spawn a child process to ; dig off in a perpendicular direction. ; Dig proc near ; See if the current demon is stuck. If the demon is stuck, then we've ; go to remove it from the demon list. If it is not stuck, then have it ; continue digging. If it is stuck and this is the last active demon, ; then return control to the main program. call Stuck NotStuck jc ; Okay, kill the current demon. ; Note: this will never kill the last demon because we have the timer ; process running. The timer process is the one that always stops ; the program. dec DemonCnt ; Since the count is not zero, there must be more demons in the demon ; list. Free the stack space associated with the current demon and ; then search out the next active demon and have at it. al, size pcb MoreDemons: mov mul DemonIndex mov bx, ax ; Free the stack space associated with this process. Note this code is ; naughty. It assumes the stack is allocated with the Standard Library ; malloc routine that always produces a base address of 8. mov es, DemonList[bx].regss mov di, 8 ;Cheating! free ; Mark the demon entry for this guy as unused. byp DemonList[bx].NextProc, 0 ;Mark as unused. mov ; Okay, locate the next active demon in the list. FndNxtDmn: call NextDemon cocall ;Never returns ; If the demon is not stuck, then continue digging away. NotStuck: ch, cl mov call CanMove Dont.Move jnc ; If we can move, then adjust the demon's coordinates appropriately: cmp cl, South ίb MoveNorth je MoveSouth cl, East cmp MoveWest jne

; Moving East:

inc	dl
jmp	MoveDone

MoveWest: dec dl

Chapter 19

ami MoveDone MoveNorth: dec dh jmp MoveDone MoveSouth:inc dh ; Okay, store a NoWall value at this entry in the maze and output a NoWall ; character to the screen (if writing data to the screen). MoveDone: MazeAdrs bx, ax mov mov Maze[bx], NoWall ifdef ToScreen ScrnAdrs bx, ax mov push es ax, ScreenSeg mov es, ax mov mov word ptr es:[bx], NoWallChar pop es endif ; Before leaving, see if this demon shouldn't change direction. call RandNum DontMove: and al, 11b ;25% chance result is zero. NoChangeDir jne call SetDir cl, al mov NoChangeDir: ; Also, see if this demon should spawn a child process RandNum call al, 11b ;Give it a 25% chance. and jne NoSpawn ; Okay, see if it's possible to spawn a new process at this point: call CanStart jnc NoSpawn ; See if we've already got MaxDemons active: DemonCnt, MaxDemons cmp NoSpawn jae inc DemonCnt ;Add another demon. ; Okay, create a new demon and add him to the list. push dx ;Save cur demon info. push cx ; Locate a free slot for this demon si, DemonList- size pcb lea FindSlot: add si, size pcb cmp byp [si].pcb.NextProc, 0 jne FindSlot ; Allocate some stack space for the new demon. mov cx, 256 ;256 byte stack. malloc

; Set up the stack pointer for this guy:

di, 248 add ;Point stack at end. [si].pcb.regss, es mov [si].pcb.reqsp, di mov ; Set up the execution address for this quy: mov [si].pcb.regcs, cs [si].pcb.regip, offset Dig mov ; Initial coordinates and direction for this guy: [si].pcb.reqdx, dx mov ; Select a direction for this quy. ;Retrieve direction. pop cx push CY SetDir call mov ah, 0 mov [si].pcb.regcx, ax ; Set up other misc junk: mov [si].pcb.regds, seg dseg sti pushf qoq [si].pcb.reqflaqs ;Mark active. byp [si].pcb.NextProc, 1 mov ; Restore current process' parameters ;Restore current demon. qoq CY pop dx NoSpawn: ; Okay, with all of the above done, it's time to pass control on to a new ; digger. The following cocall passes control to the next digger in the ; DemonList. GetNextDmn: call NextDemon ; Okay, we've got a pointer to the next demon in the list (might be the ; same demon if there's only one), pass control to that demon. cocall Dig ami Diq endp ; TimerDemon- This demon introduces a delay between each cycle in the demon list. This slows down the ; maze generation so you can see the maze being built ; (which makes the program more interesting to watch). ; TimerDemon proc near push es push ax ;BIOS variable area mov ax, 40h mov es, ax ax, es:[6Ch] ;BIOS timer location mov Wait4Change: cmp ax, es:[6Ch] ;BIOS changes this every Wait4Change ; 1/18th second. je DemonCnt, 1 cmp QuitProgram je pop es pop ax call NextDemon cocall TimerDemon jmp

QuitProgram: TimerDemon	cocall endp	MainPCB	;Quit the program
; What good is ; creates? Sol ; the solution ; ; function sol	a maze gen veMaze find path and t vemaze(x,y	herator program if ds the solution (if the paths it tried, :integer):boolean	it cannot solve the mazes it any) for this maze. It marks but failed on.
sm_X sm_Y	textequ textequ	<[bp+6]> <[bp+4]>	
SolveMaze	proc push mov	near bp bp, sp	
; See if we've	e just solve	ed the maze:	
	cmp jne cmp jne mov pop ret	byte ptr sm_X, End NotSolved byte ptr sm_Y, End NotSolved ax, 1 bp 4	lX lY ;Return true.
; See if movir ; a NoWall val	ng to this s ue at this	spot was an illegal cell in the maze i	move. There will be f the move is legal.
NotSolved:	mov MazeAdrs mov cmp je mov pop ret	dl, sm_X dh, sm_Y bx, ax Maze[bx], NoWall MoveOK ax, 0 bp 4	;Return failure
; Well, it is ; value on the	possible to screen and	o move to this poin l keep searching fo	nt, so place an appropriate or the solution.
MoveOK:	mov	Maze[bx], Visited	

ifdef	ToScreen	
push	es	;Write a "VisitChar"
ScrnAdrs		; character to the
mov	bx, ax	; screen at this X,Y
mov	ax, ScreenSeg	; position.
mov	es, ax	
mov	word ptr es:[bx],	VisitChar
pop	es	
endif		

; Recusively call SolveMaze until we get a solution. Just call SolveMaze ; for the four possible directions (up, down, left, right) we could go. ; Since we've left "Visited" values in the Maze, we will not accidentally ; search back through the path we've already travelled. Furthermore, if ; we cannot go in one of the four directions, SolveMaze will catch this ; immediately upon entry (see the code at the start of this routine).

mov	ax, sm_X	;Try the path at location (X_1, Y_2)
dec.	dX	, (X-1, 1)
push	ax	
push	sm_Y	
call	SolveMaze	
test	ax, ax	;Solution?
jne	Solved	
push	sm_X	;Try the path at location

	mov dec push	ax, sm_Y ax	; (X, Y-1)
	pusn	dx SolvoMaro	
	Call	SOIVEMaze	·Colution2
	ino	ax, ax Solved	, SOLUCION?
		Borvea	
	mov	ax, sm X	;Try the path at location
	inc	ax	; (X+1, Y)
	push	ax	
	push	sm Y	
	call	SolveMaze	
	test	ax, ax	;Solution?
	jne	Solved	
	push	sm_X	;Try the path at location
	mov	ax, sm_Y	; (X, Y+1)
	inc	ax	
	push	ax	
	call	SolveMaze	
	test	ax, ax	;Solution?
	ine	Solved	
	qoq	pp	
	ret	4	
		-	
Solved:	ifdof	ToCaroon	Draw roturn nath
	nuer	105016611	, Draw recurn pach.
	pusn		
	mov	dl, Stil_X	
		dn, sm_r	
	ScrnAdrs	,	
	mov	bx, ax	
	mov	ax, ScreenSeg	
	mov	es, ax	
	mov	word ptr es:[bx],	PathChar
	pop	es	
	mov	ax, 1	;Return true
	endif		
	pop	bp	
	ret	4	
SolveMaze	endp		
; Here's the	main progra	m that drives the	whole thing:
Main	proc		
	mov	ax, dseg	
	mov	ds ax	
	mov	es ax	
	meminit.		
	a 211	Tnit	·Triticling mana stuff
	logi	MainDCR	Initialize maze Stuff.
	rest	MATHPCB	, initialize coroutine
	COINIT		; package.
; Create the	first demon		
; Set up the	stack point	er for this guy:	
	mov	cx, 256	
	malloc		
	add	di, 248	
	mov	DemonList.regsp,	di
	mov	DemonList.reqss.	es
		<i>S i</i>	
; Set up the	execution a	ddress for this gu	y:
	mov	DemonList.regcs,	cs
	mov	DemonList.regip,	offset Dig

; Initial coordinates and direction for this guy:

mov	cx, East	;Start	off	going	east.
mov	dh, StartY				
mov	dl, StartX				
mov	DemonList.regcx,	CX			
mov	DemonList.regdx,	dx			

; Set up other misc junk:

mov sti pushf	DemonList.regds, seg dseg		
pop mov inc mov	DemonList.regflags byp DemonList.NextProc, 1 DemonCnt DemonIndex, 0	;Demon is	"active".

; Set up the Timer demon:

mov	DemonList.regsp+(size	pcb),	offset	EndTimerStk
mov	DemonList.regss+(size	pcb),	SS	

; Set up the execution address for this quy:

mov	DemonList.regcs+(size	pcb),	CS	
mov	DemonList.regip+(size	pcb),	offset	TimerDemon

; Set up other misc junk:

mov sti	DemonList.regds+(size pcb), seg dseg
pushf	
pop	DemonList.regflags+(size pcb)
mov	<pre>byp DemonList.NextProc+(size pcb), 1</pre>
inc	DemonCnt

; Start the ball rolling.

mov	ax,	ds
mov	es,	ax
lea	di,	DemonList
cocall		

; Wait for the user to press a key before solving the maze:

getc

mov	ax,	StartX
push	ax	
mov	ax,	StartY
push	ax	
call	Solv	<i>v</i> eMaze

; Wait for another keystroke before quitting:

```
getc
```

mov	ax,	3	;Clear	screen	and	reset	video	mode
int	10h							

ExitPgm ;DOS macro to quit program. endp

cseg ends

Quit:

Main

sseg segment para stack 'stack'

; Stack for the timer demon we create (we'll allocate the other ; stacks dynamically).

TimerStk byte 256 dup (?) EndTimerStk word ? ; Main program's stack:

stk sseg	byte ends	512 dup (?)	
zzzzzzseg LastBytes	segment db ordg	para public 16 dup (?)	`zzzzz
2222222809	end	Main	

The existing Standard Library coroutine package is not suitable for programs that use the 80386 and later 32 bit register sets. As mentioned earlier, the problem lies in the fact that the Standard Library only preserves the 16-bit registers when switching between processes. However, it is a relatively trivial extension to modify the Standard Library so that it saves 32 bit registers. To do so, just change the definition of the pcb (to make room for the 32 bit registers) and the s1_cocall routine:

	.386 option	segment:use16		
dseg	segment	para public `data'		
wp	equ	<word ptr=""></word>		
; 32-bit PCB. ; operating in	Note we on n real mode	ly keep the L.O. 16 bits of SP since we are		
pcb32	struc			
regsp	word	?		
regss	word	?		
regip	word	?		
regcs	word	?		
regeax	dword	?		
regebx	dword	?		
regecx	dword	?		
regedx	dword	?		
regesi	dword	?		
regedi	dword	?		
regebp	dword	?		
reqds	word	?		
reges	word	?		
reqflaqs	dword	?		
pcb32	ends			
DefaultPCB	pcb32	<>		
DefaultCortn	pcb32	<>		
CurCoroutine	dword	DefaultCortn ;Points at the currently executing ; coroutine.		
dseg	ends			
cseg	segment	para public `slcode'		
;================			==	
;				
; 32-Bit Corou	utine suppor	ct.		
; COINIT32- ES	S:DI contain	ns the address of the current (default) process' PC	з.	
CoInit32	proc	far		
	assume	ds:dseg		
	push	ax		

Chapter 19

	push	ds
	mov	ax, dseg
	mov	ds, ax
	mov	wp dseg:CurCoroutine, di
	mov	wp dseg:CurCoroutine+2, es
	pop	ds
	pop	ax
	ret	
CoInit32	endp	

; COCALL32- transfers control to a coroutine. ES:DI contains the address ; of the PCB. This routine transfers control to that coroutine and then ; returns a pointer to the caller's PCB in ES:DI.

cocall32 proc far ds:dseq assume pushfd push ds ;Save these for later push es push edi push eax mov ax, dseg ds, ax mov cli ;Critical region ahead.

; Save the current process' state:

les	<pre>di, dseg:CurCoroutine</pre>
pop	es:[di].pcb32.regeax
mov	es:[di].pcb32.regebx, ebx
mov	es:[di].pcb32.regecx, ecx
mov	es:[di].pcb32.regedx, edx
mov	es:[di].pcb32.regesi, esi
pop	es:[di].pcb32.regedi
mov	es:[di].pcb32.regebp, ebp
mov bob bob bob bob bob	<pre>es:[di].pcb32.reges es:[di].pcb32.regds es:[di].pcb32.regflags es:[di].pcb32.regfp es:[di].pcb32.regcs es:[di].pcb32.regsp, sp es:[di].pcb32.regss, ss</pre>
mov	<pre>bx, es ;Save so we can return in</pre>
mov	ecx, edi ; ES:DI later.
mov	edx, es:[di].pcb32.regedi
mov	es, es:[di].pcb32.reges
mov	di, dx ;Point es:di at new PCB
mov	wp dseg:CurCoroutine, di
mov	wp dseg:CurCoroutine+2, es
mov	es:[di].pcb32.regedi, ecx ;The ES:DI return values.
mov	es:[di].pcb32.reges, bx

; Okay, switch to the new process:

ss, es:[di].pcb32.regss mov sp, es:[di].pcb32.regsp mov mov eax, es:[di].pcb32.regeax ebx, es:[di].pcb32.regebx mov mov ecx, es:[di].pcb32.regecx edx, es:[di].pcb32.regedx mov mov esi, es:[di].pcb32.regesi ebp, es:[di].pcb32.regebp mov ds, es:[di].pcb32.regds mov es:[di].pcb32.regflags push es:[di].pcb32.regcs push es:[di].pcb32.regip push es:[di].pcb32.regedi push

```
mov
                          es, es:[di].pcb32.reges
               pop
                          edi
               iret
coca1132
               endp
; CoCall321 works just like cocall above, except the address of the pcb
; follows the call in the code stream rather than being passed in ES:DI.
; Note: this code does *not* return the caller's PCB address in ES:DI.
;
cocal1321
              proc
                          far
               assume
                          ds:dseq
              push
                          ebp
              mov
                          bp, sp
              pushfd
              push
                          da
              push
                          es
                          edi
              push
              push
                          eax
              mov
                          ax, dseg
              mov
                          ds, ax
               cli
                                             ;Critical region ahead.
; Save the current process' state:
              les
                          di, dseq:CurCoroutine
              qoq
                          es:[di].pcb32.regeax
                          es:[di].pcb32.regebx, ebx
              mov
                          es:[di].pcb32.regecx, ecx
              mov
              mov
                          es:[di].pcb32.regedx, edx
                          es:[di].pcb32.regesi, esi
              mov
                          es:[di].pcb32.regedi
              pop
                          es:[di].pcb32.reges
              pop
              pop
                          es:[di].pcb32.regds
                          es:[di].pcb32.regflags
              pop
                          es:[di].pcb32.regebp
              pop
                          es:[di].pcb32.regip
              pop
                          es:[di].pcb32.regcs
              pop
              mov
                          es:[di].pcb32.reqsp, sp
                          es:[di].pcb32.regss, ss
              mov
              mov
                          dx, es:[di].pcb32.regip ;Get return address (ptr to
                          cx, es:[di].pcb32.regcs ; PCB address.
              mov
               add
                          es:[di].pcb32.regip, 4 ;Skip ptr on return.
                                                   ;Get the ptr to the new pcb
              mov
                          es, cx
                          di, dx
                                                   ; address, then fetch the
              mov
               les
                          di, es:[di]
                                                   ; pcb val.
              mov
                          wp dseq:CurCoroutine, di
                          wp dseg:CurCoroutine+2, es
              mov
; Okay, switch to the new process:
                          ss, es:[di].pcb32.regss
              mov
                          sp, es:[di].pcb32.regsp
              mov
                          eax, es:[di].pcb32.regeax
              mov
                          ebx, es:[di].pcb32.regebx
              mov
              mov
                          ecx, es:[di].pcb32.regecx
                          edx, es:[di].pcb32.regedx
              mov
                          esi, es:[di].pcb32.regesi
              mov
              mov
                          ebp, es:[di].pcb32.regebp
                          ds, es:[di].pcb32.regds
              mov
              push
                          es:[di].pcb32.regflags
              push
                          es:[di].pcb32.regcs
                          es:[di].pcb32.regip
              push
                          es:[di].pcb32.regedi
              push
              mov
                          es, es:[di].pcb32.reges
                          edi
              pop
               iret
cocall321
               endp
```

cseq

ends

19.4 Multitasking

Coroutines provide a reasonable mechanism for switching between processes that must take turns. For example, the maze generation program in the previous section would generate poor mazes if the daemon processes didn't take turns removing one cell at a time from the maze. However, the coroutine paradigm isn't always suitable; not all processes need to take turns. For example, suppose you are writing an action game where the user plays against the computer. In addition, the computer player operates independently of the user in real time. This could be, for example, a space war game or a flight simulator game (where you are dog fighting other pilots). Ideally, we would like to have *two* computers. One to handle the user interaction and one for the computer player. Both systems would communicate their moves to one another during the game. If the (human) player simply sits and watches the screen, the computer player would win since it is active and the human player is not. Of course, it would considerably limit the marketability of your game were it to require two computers to play. However, you can use *multitasking* to simulate two separate computer systems on a single CPU.

The basic idea behind multitasking is that one process runs for a period of time (the *time quantum* or *time slice*) and then a timer interrupts the process. The timer ISR saves the state of the process and then switches control to another process. That process runs for its time slice and then the timer interrupt switches to another process. In this manner, each process gets some amount of computer time. Note that multitasking is very easy to implement if you have a coroutine package. All you need to do is write a timer ISR that cocalls the various processes, one per timer interrupt A timer interrupt that switches between processes is a *dispatcher*.

One decision you will need to make when designing a dispatcher is a policy for the process selection algorithm. A simple policy is to place all processes in a queue and then rotate among them. This is known as the *round-robin policy*. Since this is the policy the UCR Standard Library process package uses, we will adopt it as well. However, there are other process selection criteria, generally involving the priority of a process, available as well. See a good text on operating systems for details.

The choice of the time quantum can have a big impact on performance. Generally, you would like the time quantum to be small. The time sharing (switching between processes based on the clock) will be much smoother if you use small time quanta. For example, suppose you choose five second time quanta and you were running four processes concurrently. Each process would get five seconds; it would run very fast during those five seconds. However, at the end of its time slice it would have to wait for the other three process' turns, 15 seconds, before it ran again. The users of such programs would get very frustrated with them, users like programs whose performance is relatively consistent from one moment to the next.

If we make the time slice one millisecond, instead of five seconds, each process would run for one millisecond and then switch to the next processes. This means that each processes gets one millisecond out of five. This is too small a time quantum for the user to notice the pause between processes.

Since smaller time quanta seem to be better, you might wonder "why not make them as small as possible?" For example, the PC supports a one millisecond timer interrupt. Why not use that to switch between processes? The problem is that there is a fair amount of overhead required to switch from one processes to another. The smaller you make the time quantum, the larger will be the overhead of using time slicing. Therefore, you want to pick a time quantum that is a good balance between smooth process switching and too much overhead. As it turns out, the $1/_{18}$ th second clock is probably fine for most multitasking requirements.

19.4.1 Lightweight and HeavyWeight Processes

There are two major types of processes in the world of multitasking: *lightweight processes*, also known as *threads*, and *heavyweight processes*. These two types of processes differ mainly in the details of memory management. A heavyweight process swaps memory management tables and moves lots of data

around. Threads only swap the stack and CPU registers. Threads have much less overhead cost than heavyweight processes.

We will not consider heavyweight processes in this text. Heavyweight processes appear in protected mode operating systems like UNIX, Linux, OS/2, or Windows NT. Since there is rarely any memory management (at the hardware level) going on under DOS, the issue of changing memory management tables around is moot. Switching from one heavyweight application to another generally corresponds to switching from one application to another.

Using lightweight processes (threads) is perfectly reasonable under DOS. Threads (short for "execution thread" or "thread of execution") correspond to two or more concurrent execution paths within the same program. For example, we could think of each of the demons in the maze generation program as being a separate thread of execution.

Although threads have different stacks and machine states, they share code and data memory. There is no need to use a "shared memory TSR" to provide global shared memory (see "Shared Memory" on page 1078). Instead, maintaining *local* variables is the difficult task. You must either allocate local variables on the process' stack (which is separate for each process) or you've got to make sure that no other process uses the variables you declare in the data segment specifically for one thread.

We could easily write our own threads package, but we don't have to; the UCR Standard Library provides this capability in the *processes package*. To see how to incorporate threads into your programs, keep reading...

19.4.2 The UCR Standard Library Processes Package

The UCR Standard Library provides six routines to let you manage threads. These routines include prcsinit, prcsquit, fork, die, kill, and yield. These functions let you initialize and shut down the threads system, start new processes, terminate processes, and voluntarily pass the CPU off to another processe.

The prcsinit and prcsquit functions let you initialize and shutdown the system. The prcsinit call prepares the threads package. You must call this routine before executing any of the other five process routines. The prcsquit function shuts down the threads system in preparation for program termination. Prcsinit patches into the timer interrupt (interrupt 8). Prcsquit restores the interrupt 8 vector. It is very important that you call prcsquit before your program returns to DOS. Failure to do so will leave the int 8 vector pointing off into memory which may cause the system to crash when DOS loads the next program. Your program must patch the break and critical error exception vectors to ensure that you call prcsquit in the event of abnormal program termination. Failure to do so may crash the system if the user terminates the program with ctrl-break or an abort on an I/O error. Prcsinit and prcsquit do not require any parameters, nor do they return any values.

The fork call spawns a new process. On entry, es:di must point at a pcb for the new process. The regss and regsp fields of the pcb must contain the address of the *top* of the stack area for this new process. The fork call fills in the other fields of the pcb (including cs:ip)/

For each call you make to fork, the fork routine returns *twice*, once for each thread of execution. The parent process *typically* returns first, but this is not certain; the child process is usually the second return from the fork call. To differentiate the two calls, fork returns two process identifiers (PIDs) in the ax and bx registers. For the parent process, fork returns with ax containing zero and bx containing the PID of the child process. For the child process, fork returns with ax containing the child's PID and bx containing zero. Note that both threads return and continuing executing the same code after the call to fork. If you want the child and parent processes to take separate paths, you would execute code like the following:

lesi fork	NewPCB	;Assume regss/regsp are initialized.
test	ax, ax	;Parent PID is zero at this point.
je	ParentProcess	;Go elsewhere if parent process.

; Child process continues execution here

The parent process should save the child's PID. You can use the PID to terminate a process at some later time

It is important to repeat that you must initialize the regss and regsp fields in the pcb before calling fork. You must allocate storage for a stack (dynamically or statically) and point ss:sp at the last word of this stack area. Once you call fork, the process package uses whatever value that happens to be in the regss and regsp fields. If you have not initialized these values, they will probably contain zero and when the process starts it will wipe out the data at address 0:FFFE. This may crash the system at one point or another.

The die call kills the current process. If there are multiple processes running, this call transfers control to some other processes waiting to run. If the current process is the only process on the system's *run queue*, then this call will crash the system.

The kill call lets one process terminate another. Typically, a parent process will use this call to terminate a child process. To kill a process, simply load the ax register with the PID of the process you want to terminate and then call kill. If a process supplies its own PID to the kill function, the process terminates itself (that is, this is equivalent to a die call). If there is only one process in the run queue and that process kills itself, the system will crash.

The last multitasking management routine in the process package is the yield call. Yield voluntarily gives up the CPU. This is a direct call to the dispatcher, that will switch to another task in the run queue. Control returns after the vield call when the next time slice is given to this process. If the current process is the only one in the queue, yield immediately returns. You would normally use the yield call to free up the CPU between long I/O operations (like waiting for a keypress). This would allow other tasks to get maximum use of the CPU while your process is just spinning in a loop waiting for some I/O operation to complete.

The Standard Library multitasking routines only work with the 16 bit register set of the 80x86 family. Like the coroutine package, you will need to modify the pcb and the dispatcher code if you want to support the 32 bit register set of the 80386 and later processors. This task is relatively simple and the code is quite similar to that appearing in the section on coroutines; so there is no need to present the solution here.

19.4.3 Problems with Multitasking

When threads share code and data certain problems can develop. First of all, reentrancy becomes a problem. You cannot call a non-reentrant routine (like DOS) from two separate threads if there is ever the possibility that the non-reentrant code could be interrupted and control transferred to a second thread that reenters the same routine. Reentrancy is not the only problem, however. It is quite possible to design two routines that access shared variables and those routines misbehave depending on where the interrupts occur in the code sequence. We will explore these problems in the section on synchronization (see "Synchronization" on page 1129), just be aware, for now, that these problems exist.

Note that simply turning off the interrupts (with c1i) may not solve the reentrancy problem. Consider the following code:

ancy.	
•	
3 back	on.
· 0	ancy. • s back

This code will not prevent DOS from being reentered because DOS (and BIOS) turn the interrupts back on! There is a solution to this problem, but it's not by using cli and sti.

19.4.4 A Sample Program with Threads

The following program provides a simple demonstration of the Standard Library processes package. This short program creates two threads – the main program and a timer process. On each timer tick the background (timer) process kicks in and increments a memory variable. It then yields the CPU back to the main program. On the next timer tick control returns to the background process and this cycle repeats. The main program reads a string from the user while the background process is counting off timer ticks. When the user finishes the line by pressing the enter key, the main program kills the background process and then prints the amount of time necessary to enter the line of text.

Of course, this isn't the most efficient way to time how long it takes someone to enter a line of text, but it does provide an example of the multitasking features of the Standard Library. This short program segment demonstrates all the process routines except die. Note that it also demonstrates the fact that you must supply int 23h and int 24h handlers when using the process package.

```
; MULTI.ASM
; Simple program to demonstrate the use of multitasking.
               .xlist
               include
                         stdlib.a
              includelib stdlib.lib
               .list
dseq
              segment
                         para public 'data'
ChildPID
              word
                          0
                                         ;Child's PID so we can kill it.
BackGndCnt
                          Λ
                                        ;Counts off clock ticks in backgnd.
              word
; PCB for our background process. Note we initialize ss:sp here.
BkqndPCB
              pcb
                          {0,offset EndStk2, seg EndStk2}
; Data buffer to hold an input string.
                          128 dup (0)
InputLine
              byte
dseg
               ends
                         para public `code'
cseq
               segment
              assume
                         cs:cseq, ds:dseq
; A replacement critical error handler. This routine calls prosquit
; if the user decides to abort the program.
CritErrMsg
              byte
                          cr,lf
                          "DOS Critical Error!", cr, lf
              byte
                          "A)bort, R)etry, I)qnore, F)ail? $"
              byte
MyInt24
              proc
                         far
                          dx
              push
                          ds
              push
              push
                          ax
                         cs
              push
              pop
                          ds
Int24Lp:
                          dx, CritErrMsq
               lea
                                            ;DOS print string call.
              mov
                          ah, 9
               int
                          21h
              mov
                          ah, 1
                                             ;DOS read character call.
                          21h
               int
```

	and	al, 5Fh	;Convert l.c> u.c.
	cmp jne pop mov jmp	al, `I' NotIgnore ax al, 0 Quit24	;Ignore?
NotIgnore:	cmp jne pop mov jmp	al, `r' NotRetry ax al, 1 Quit24	;Retry?
NotRetry:	cmp jne prcsquit pop mov jmp	al, `A' NotAbort ax al, 2 Quit24	;Abort? ;If quitting, fix INT 8
NotAbort: Quit24:	cmp jne pop mov pop	al, `F' BadChar ax al, 3 ds	
BadChar:	pop iret mov	dx ah, 2	
MyInt24	mov jmp endp	dl, 7 Int24Lp	;Bell character

; We will simply disable INT 23h (the break exception).

MyInt23	proc	far
	iret	
MyInt23	endp	

; Okay, this is a pretty weak background process, but it does demonstrate ; how to use the Standard Library calls.

BackGround	proc sti mov mov inc yield imp	ax, dseg ds, ax BackGndCnt ;Bump call Counter by one. ;Give CPU back to foregnd. BackGround
BackGround	endp	
Main	proc mov mov mov meminit	ax, dseg ds, ax es, ax
; Initialize	the INT 23h mov mov mov mov mov mov	<pre>and INT 24h exception handler vectors. ax, 0 es, ax word ptr es:[24h*4], offset MyInt24 es:[24h*4 + 2], cs word ptr es:[23h*4], offset MyInt23 es:[23h*4 + 2], cs</pre>

prcsinit

;Start multitasking system.

.

	lesi fork	BkgndPCB	;Fire up a new process	
	test	ax, ax	;Parent's return?	
	je jmp	ParentPrcs BackGround	;Go do backgroun stuff.	
ParentPrcs:	mov	ChildPID, bx	;Save child process ID.	
	print byte byte byte	"I am timing you cr,lf "quickly: ",0	while you enter a string. So type"	
	lesi gets	InputLine		
	mov kill	ax, ChildPID	;Stop the child from running.	
	printf byte byte dword	"While entering ' cr,lf,0 InputLine, BackGn	%s' you took %d clock ticks" dCnt	
	prcsquit			
Quit: Main	ExitPgm endp		;DOS macro to quit program.	
cseg	ends			
sseg	segment	para stack `stack		
; Here is the	stack for	the background pro	cess we start	
stk2 EndStk2	byte word	256 dup (?) ?		
;Here's the stack for the main program/foreground process.				
stk sseg	byte ends	1024 dup (?)		
zzzzzseg LastBytes	segment db orda	para public `zzzz 16 dup (?)	:zz′	
zzzzzseg	ends	Main		

19.5 Synchronization

Many problems occur in cooperative concurrently executing processes due to *synchronization* (or the lack thereof). For example, one process can *produce* data that other processes *consume*. However, it might take much longer for the producer to create than data than it takes for the consumer to use it. Some mechanism must be in place to ensure that the consumer does not attempt to use the data before the producer creates it. Likewise, we need to ensure that the consumer uses the data created by the producer before the producer creates more data.

The *producer-consumer problem* is one of several very famous *synchronization* problems from operating systems theory. In the producer-consumer problem there are one or more processes that produce data and write this data to a shared buffer. Likewise, there are one or more consumers that read data from this buffer. There are two synchronization issues we must deal with – the first is to ensure that the producers do not produce more data than the buffer can hold (conversely, we must prevent the consumers from removing data from an empty buffer); the second is to ensure the integrity of the buffer data structure by allowing access to only one process at a time.

Consider what can happen in a simple producer-consumer problem. Suppose the producer and consumer processes share a single data buffer structure organized as follows:

buffer	struct			
Count	word	0		
InPtr	word	0		
OutPtr	word	0		
Data	byte	MaxBufSize	dup	(?)
buffer	ends			

The Count field specifies the number of data bytes currently in the buffer. InPtr points at the next available location to place data in the buffer. OutPtr is the address of the next byte to remove from the buffer. Data is the actual buffer array. Adding and removing data is very easy. The following code segments *almost* handle this job:

; Producer- ;	This proce Assume tha	dure adds the value t the buffer varial	e in al to the buffer. ble MyBuffer is in the data segment.
Producer	proc pushf	near	
	sti push	bx	;Must have interrupts on!
; The followin ; another byte	ng loop wait 2.	ts until there is r	room in the buffer to insert
WaitForRoom:	cmp jae	MyBuffer.Count, Ma WaitForRoom	axBufSize
; Okay, insert	the byte :	into the buffer.	
	mov	bx, MyBuffer.InPt MyBuffer.Data[bx]	c al
	inc inc	MyBuffer.Count MyBuffer.InPtr	;We just added a byte to the buffer. ;Move on to next item in buffer.
; If we are at	the physic	cal end of the buff	fer, wrap around to the beginning.
	cmp jb	MyBuffer.InPtr, Ma NoWrap	axBufSize
	mov	MyBuffer.InPtr, 0	
NoWrap:		br	
	popf	DX	
Producer	endp		
; Consumer-	This proce	dure waits for data	a (if necessary) and returns the
;	next avail	able byte from the	buffer.
Consumer	proc	near	
	pushf sti		;Must have interrupts on!
	push	bx	
WaitForData:	cmp je	Count, O WaitForData	;Is the buffer empty? ;If so, wait for data to arrive.
; Okay, fetch	an input ch	naracter	
	mov	bx, MyBuffer.OutPt	er (
	mov	al, MyBuffer.Data	[bx]
	dec	MyBuffer.Count	
	inc	MyBuffer.OutPtr	low Duf Cino
	-in-	MyBuller.OutPtr, N	MAXBUL51ZE
	ىر mov	MvBuffer OutPtr ()
NoWrap:			-
	pop	bx	
	popt		
Consumer	rel endn		
CONBUIICE	Chup		

The only problem with this code is that it won't always work if there are multiple producer or consumer processes. In fact, it is easy to come up with a version of this code that won't work for a single set of producer and consumer processes (although the code above will work fine, in that special case). The problem is that these procedures access global variables and, therefore, are not reentrant. In particular, the problem lies with the way these two procedures manipulate the buffer control variables. Consider, for a moment, the following statements from the Consumer procedure:

	dec	MyBuffer.Count	
«	Suppose	an interrupt occurs he	ere »
	inc cmp jb	MyBuffer.OutPtr MyBuffer.OutPtr NoWrap	, MaxBufSize
	mov	MyBuffer.OutPtr	, 0

NoWrap:

If an interrupt occurs at the specified point above and control transfers to another consumer process that reenters this code, the second consumer would malfunction. The problem is that the first consumer has fetched data from the buffer but has yet to update the output pointer. The second consumer comes along and removes *the same byte as the first consumer*. The second consumer then properly updates the output pointer to point at the next available location in the circular buffer. When control eventually returns to the first consumer process, it finishes the operation by incrementing the output pointer. *This causes the system to skip over the next byte which no process has read.* The end result is that two consumer processes fetch the same byte and then skip a byte in the buffer.

This problem is easily solved by recognizing the fact that the code that manipulates the buffer data is a critical region. By restricting execution in the critical region to one process at a time, we can solve this problem. In the simple example above, we can easily prevent reentrancy by turning the interrupts off while in the critical region. For the consumer procedure, the code would look like this:

; Consumer- ;	This proce next avail	dure waits for data able byte from the	a (if necessary) and returns the buffer.
Consumer	proc pushf sti	near	;Must have interrupts on!
	push	bx	
WaitForData:	cmp je	Count, 0 WaitForData	;Is the buffer empty? ;If so, wait for data to arrive.
; The following is a critical region, so turn the interrupts off.			
	cli		
; Okay, fetch	an input ch	naracter	
	mov mov dec inc cmp jb mov	bx, MyBuffer.OutPt al, MyBuffer.Data[MyBuffer.Count MyBuffer.OutPtr MyBuffer.OutPtr, M NoWrap MyBuffer.OutPtr, O	r bx] MaxBufSize
NoWrap:			
Consumer	pop popf ret endp	bx	;Restore interrupt flag.

Note that we cannot turn the interrupts off during the execution of the whole procedure. Interrupts must be on while this procedure is waiting for data, otherwise the producer process will never be able to put data in the buffer for the consumer.

Simply turning the interrupts off does not always work. Some critical regions may take a considerable amount of time (seconds, minutes, or even hours) and you cannot leave the interrupts off for that amount

of time³. Another problem is that the critical region may call a procedure that turns the interrupts back on and you have no control over this. A good example is a procedure that calls MS-DOS. Since MS-DOS is not reentrant, MS-DOS is, by definition, a critical section; we can only allow one process at a time inside MS-DOS. However, MS-DOS reenables the interrupts, so we cannot simply turn off the interrupts before calling an MS-DOS function an expect this to prevent reentrancy.

Turning off the interrupts doesn't even work for the consumer/producer procedures given earlier. Note that interrupts *must* be on while the consumer is waiting for data to arrive in the buffer (conversely, the producers must have interrupts on while waiting for room in the buffer). It is quite possible for the code to detect the presence of data and just before the execution of the cli instruction, an interrupt transfers control to a second consumer process. While it is not possible for both processes to update the buffer variables concurrently, it is possible for the second consumer process to remove the *only* data value from the input buffer and then switch back to the first consumer that removes a phantom value from the buffer (and causes the Count variable to go negative).

One poorly thought out solution is to use a flag to control access to a critical region. A process, before entering the critical region, tests the flag to see if any other process is currently in the critical region; if not, the process sets the flag to "in use" and then enters the critical region. Upon leaving the critical region, the process sets the flag to "not in use." If a process wants to enter a critical region and the flag's value is "in use", the process must wait until the process currently in the critical section finishes and writes the "not in use" value to the flag.

The only problem with this solution is that it is nothing more than a special case of the producer/consumer problem. The instructions that update the in-use flag form their own critical section that you must protect. As a general solution, the in-use flag idea fails.

19.5.1 Atomic Operations, Test & Set, and Busy-Waiting

The problem with the in-use flag idea is that it takes several instructions to test and set the flag. A typical piece of code that tests such a flag would read its value and determine if the critical section is in use. If not, it would then write the "in-use" value to the flag to let other processes know that it is in the critical section. The problem is that an interrupt could occur after the code tests the flag but before it sets the flag to "in use." Then some other process can come along, test the flag and find that it is not in use, and enter the critical region. The system could interrupt that second process while it is still in the critical region and transfer control back to the first. Since the first process has already determined that the critical region is not in use, it sets the flag to "in use" and enters the critical region. Now we have two processes in the critical region and the system is in violation of the *mutual exclusion requirement* (only one process in a critical region at a time).

The problem with this approach is that testing and setting the in-use flag is not an uninterruptable (*atomic*) operation. If it were, then there would be no problem. Of course, it is easy to make a sequence of instructions non-interruptible by putting a cli instruction before them. Therefore, we can test and set a flag in an atomic operation as follows (assume in-use is zero, not in-use is one):

TestLoop:	pushf cli		;Turn ints off while testing and
-	cmp	Flag, O	; setting flag.
	je	IsInUse	;Already in use?
	mov	Flag, O	;If not, make it so.
IsInUse:	sti		;Allow ints (if in-use already).
	je	TestLoop	;Wait until not in use.
	popf		
; When we get	down here,	the flag was "not	in-use" and we've just set it
; to "in-us."	We now have	e exclusive access	to the critical section.

^{3.} In general, you should not leave the interrupts off for more than about 30 milliseconds when using the 1/18th second clock for multitasking. A general rule of thumb is that interrupts should not be off for much more than abou;50% of the time quantum.

Another solution is to use a so-called "test and set" instruction – one that both tests a specific condition and sets the flag to a desired value. In our case, we need an instruction that both tests a flag to see if it is not in-use and sets it to in-use at the same time (if the flag was already in-use, it will remain in use afterward). Although the 80x86 does not support a specific test and set instruction, it does provide several others that can achieve the same effect. These instructions include xchg, sh1, shr, sar, rc1, rcr, ro1, ror, btc/btr/bts (available only on the 80386 and later processors), and cmpxchg (available only on the 80486 and later processors). In a limited sense, you can also use the addition and subtraction instructions (add, sub, adc, sbb, inc, and dec) as well.

The exchange instruction provides the most generic form for the test and set operation. If you have a flag (0=in use, 1=not in use) you can test and set this flag without messing with the interrupts using the following code:

```
InUseLoop: mov al, 0 ;0=In Use
xchg al, Flag
cmp al, 0
je InUseLoop
```

The xchg instruction atomically swaps the value in a1 with the value in the flag variable. Although the xchg instruction doesn't actually test the value, it does place the original flag value in a location (a1) that is safe from modification by another process. If the flag originally contained zero (in-use), this exchange sequence swaps a zero for the existing zero and the loop repeats. If the flag originally contained a one (not in-use) then this code swaps a zero (in-use) for the one and falls out of the in use loop.

The shift and rotate instructions also act as test and set instructions, assuming you use the proper values for the in-use flag. With in-use equal to zero and not in-use equal to one, the following code demonstrates how to use the shr instruction for the test and set operation:

InUseLoop:	shr	Flag, 1	;In-use bit to carry, 0->Flag.
	jnc	InUseLoop	;Repeat if already in use.

This code shifts the in-use bit (bit number zero) into the carry flag and clears the in-use flag. At the same time, it zeros the Flag variable, assuming Flag always contains zero or one. The code for the atomic test and set sequences using the other shift and rotates is very similar and appears in the exercises.

Starting with the 80386, Intel provided a set of instructions explicitly intended for test and set operations: btc (bit test and complement), bts (bit test and set), and btr (bit test and reset). These instructions copy a specific bit from the destination operand into the carry flag and then complement, set, or reset (clear) that bit. The following code demonstrates how to use the btr instruction to manipulate our in-use flag:

InUseLoop: btr Flag, 0 ;In-use flag is in bit zero. jnc InUseLoop

The btr instruction is a little more flexible than the shr instruction because you don't have to guarantee that all the other bits in the Flag variable are zero; it tests and clears bit zero without affect any other bits in the Flag variable.

The 80486 (and later) cmpxchg instruction provides a very generic synchronization primitive. A "compare and swap" instruction turns out to be the only atomic instruction you need to implement almost *any* synchronization primitive. However, its generic structure means that it is a little too complex for simple test and set operations. You will get an opportunity to design a test and set sequence using cmpxchg in the exercises. For more details on cmpxchg, see "The CMPXCHG, and CMPXCHG8B Instructions" on page 263.

Returning to the producer/consumer problem, we can easily solve the critical region problem that exists in these routines using the test and set instruction sequence presented above. The following code does this for the Producer procedure, you would modify the Consumer procedure in a similar fashion.

; Producer-; This procedure adds the value in al to the buffer. Assume that the buffer variable MyBuffer is in the data segment.

Producer proc near

pushf ;Must have interrupts on! eti ; Okay, we are about to enter a critical region (this whole procedure), ; so test the in-use flag to see if this critical region is already in use. InligeLoop: shr Flag, 1 InUseLoop inc push bx ; The following loop waits until there is room in the buffer to insert ; another byte. WaitForRoom: amp MyBuffer.Count. MaxBufSize iae WaitForRoom ; Okay, insert the byte into the buffer. bx, MyBuffer.InPtr mov mov MyBuffer.Data[bx], al MyBuffer.Count ;We just added a byte to the buffer. MyBuffer.InPtr ;Move on to next item in buffer. inc inc ; If we are at the physical end of the buffer, wrap around to the beginning. MyBuffer.InPtr, MaxBufSize CMD jb NoWrap MyBuffer.InPtr, 0 mov NoWrap: ;Set flag to not in use. mov Flag, 1 bx qoq popf ret Producer endp

One minor problem with the test and set approach to protecting a critical region is that it uses a *busy-waiting* loop. While the critical region is not available, the process spins in a loop waiting for its turn at the critical region. If the process that is currently in the critical region remains there for a considerable length of time (say, seconds, minutes, or hours), the process(es) waiting to enter the critical region continue to waste CPU time waiting for the flag. This, in turn, wastes CPU time that could be put to better use getting the process in the critical region through it so another process can enter.

Another problem that might exist is that it is possible for one process to enter the critical region, locking other processes out, leave the critical region, do some processing, and then reenter the critical region all during the same time slice. If it turns out that the process is always in the critical region when the timer interrupt occurs, none of the other processes waiting to enter the critical region will ever do so. This is a problem known as *starvation* – processes waiting to enter the critical region never do so because some other process always beats them into it.

One solution to these two problems is to use a synchronization object known as a semaphore. Semaphores provide an efficient and general purpose mechanism for protecting critical regions. To find out about semaphores, keep reading...

19.5.2 Semaphores

A semaphore is an object with two basic methods: wait and signal (or release). To use a semaphore, you create a semaphore variable (an instance) for a particular critical region or other *resource* you want to protect. When a process wants to use a given resource, it *waits* on the semaphore. If no other process is currently using the resource, then the wait call sets the semaphore to in-use and immediately returns to the process. At that time, the process has exclusive access to the resource. If some other process is already using the resource (e.g., is in the critical region), then the semaphore *blocks* the current process by moving it off the run queue and onto the semaphore queue. When the process that currently holds the

resource *releases* it, the release operation removes the first waiting process from the semaphore queue and places it back in the run queue. At the next available time slice, that new process returns from its wait call and can enter its critical region.

Semaphores solve the two important problems with the busy-waiting loop described in the previous section. First, when a process waits and the semaphore blocks the process, that process is no longer on the run queue, so it consumes no more CPU time until the point that a release operation places it back onto the run queue. So unlike busy-waiting, the semaphore mechanism does not waste (as much) CPU time on processes that are waiting for some resource.

Semaphores can also solve the starvation problem. The wait operation, when blocking a process, can place it at the end of a FIFO semaphore queue. The release operation can fetch a new process from the front of the FIFO queue to place back on to the run queue. This policy ensures that each process entering the semaphore queue gets equal priority access to the resource⁴.

Implementing semaphores is an easy task. A semaphore generally consists of an integer variable and a queue. The system initializes the integer variable with the number of processes than may share the resource at one time (this value is usually one for critical regions and other resources requiring exclusive access). The wait operation decrements this variable. If the result is greater than or equal to zero, the wait function simply returns to the caller, if the result is less than zero, the wait function saves the machine state, moves the process' pcb from the run queue to the semaphore's queue, and then switches the CPU to a different process (i.e., a yield call).

The release function is almost the converse. It increments the integer value. If the result is not one, the release function moves a pcb from the front of the semaphore queue to the run queue. If the integer value becomes one, there are no more processes on the semaphore queue, so the release function simply returns to the caller. Note that the release function does *not* activate the process it removes from the semaphore process queue. It simply places that process in the run queue. Control always returns to the process that made the release call (unless, of course, a timer interrupt occurs while executing the release function).

Of course, any time you manipulate the system's run queue you are in a critical region. Therefore, we seem to have a minor problem here – the whole purpose of a semaphore is to protect a critical region, yet the semaphore itself has a critical region we need to protect. This seems to involve circular reasoning. However, this problem is easily solved. Remember, the main reasons we do not turn off interrupts to protect a critical region is because that critical region may take a long time to execute or it may call other routines that turn the interrupts back on. The critical section in a semaphore is very short and does not call any other routines. Therefore, briefly turning off the interrupts while in the semaphore's critical region is perfectly reasonable.

If you are not allowed to turn off interrupts, you can always use a test and set instruction in a loop to protect a critical region. Although this introduces a busy-waiting loop, it turns out that you will never wait more than two time slices before exiting the busy-waiting loop, so you do not waste much CPU time waiting to enter the semaphore's critical region.

Although semaphores solve the two major problems with the busy waiting loop, it is very easy to get into trouble when using semaphores. For example, if a process waits on a semaphore and the semaphore grants exclusive access to the associate resource, then that process never releases the semaphore, any processes waiting on that semaphore will be suspended indefinitely. Likewise, any process that waits on the same semaphore twice without a release in-between will suspend itself, and any other processes that wait on that semaphore, indefinitely. Any process that does not release a resource it no longer needs violates the concept of a semaphore and is a logic error in the program. There are also some problems that may develop if a process waits on multiple semaphores before releasing any. We will return to that problem in the section on *deadlocks* (see "Deadlock" on page 1146).

^{4.} This FIFO policy is but one example of a release policy. You could have some other policy based on a priority scheme. However, the FIFO policy does not promote starvation.

Although we could write our own semaphore package (and there is good reason to), the Standard Library process package provides its own wait and release calls along with a definition for a semaphore variable. The next section describes those calls.

19.5.3 The UCR Standard Library Semaphore Support

The UCR Standard Library process package provides two functions to manipulate semaphore variables: WaitSemaph and RIsSemaph. These functions wait and signal a semaphore, respectively. These routines mesh with the process management facilities, making it easy to implement synchronization using semaphores in your programs.

The process package provides the following definition for a semaphore data type:

semaphore	struct	
SemaCnt	word	1
smaphrLst	dword	3
endsmaphrLst	dword	3
semaphore	ends	

The SemaCnt field determines how many more processes can share a resource (if positive), or how many processes are currently waiting for the resource (if negative). By default, this field is initialized to the value one. This allows one process at a time to use the resource protected by the semaphore. Each time a process waits on a semaphore, it decrements this field. If the decremented result is positive or zero, the wait operation immediately returns. If the decremented result is negative, then the wait operation moves the current process' pcb from the run queue to the semaphore queue defined by the smaphrLst and endsmaphrLst fields in the structure above.

Most of the time you will use the default value of one for the SemaCnt field. There are some occasions, though, when you might want to allow more than one process access to some resource. For example, suppose you've developed a multiplayer game that communicates between different machines using the serial communications port or a network adapter card. You might have an area in the game which has room for only two players at a time. For example, players could be racing to a particular "transporter" room in an alien space ship, but there is room for only two players in the transporter room at a time. By initializing the semaphore variable to two, rather than one, the wait operation would allow two players to continue at one time rather than just one. When the third player attempts to enter the transporter room, the WaitSemaph function would block the player from entering the room until one of the other players left (perhaps by "transporting out" of the room).

To use the WaitSemaph or RIsSemaph function is very easy; just load the es:di register pair with the address of desired semaphore variable and issue the appropriate function call. RIsSemaph always returns immediately (assuming a timer interrupt doesn't occur while in RIsSemaph), the WaitSemaph call returns when the semaphore will allow access to the resource it protects. Examples of these two calls appear in the next section.

Like the Standard Library coroutine and process packages, the semaphore package only preserves the 16 bit register set of the 80x86 CPU. If you want to use the 32 bit register set of the 80386 and later processors, you will need to modify the source code for the WaitSemaph and RIsSemaph functions. The code you need to change is almost identical to the code in the coroutine and process packages, so this is nearly a trivial change. Do keep in mind, though, that you will need to change this code if you use any 32 bit facilities of the 80386 and later processors.

19.5.4 Using Semaphores to Protect Critical Regions

You can use semaphores to provide mutually exclusive access to any resource. For example, if several processes want to use the printer, you can create a semaphore that allows access to the printer by only one process at a time (a good example of a process that will be in the "critical region" for several minutes
at a time). However the most common task for a semaphore is to protect a critical region from reentry. Three common examples of code you need to protect from reentry include DOS calls, BIOS calls, and various Standard Library calls. Semaphores are ideal for controlling access to these functions.

To protect DOS from reentry by several different processes, you need only create a DOSsmaph variable and issue appropriate WaitSemaph and RIsSemaph calls around the call to DOS. The following sample code demonstrates how to do this.

```
; MULTIDOS.ASM
 This program demonstrates how to use semaphores to protect DOS calls.
;
               .xlist
               include
                           stdlib.a
               includelib stdlib.lib
               list
dseq
               segment
                           para public 'data'
DOSsmaph
               semaphore {}
; Macros to wait and release the DOS semaphore:
DOSWait.
               macro
               push
                           es
               push
                           di
                           DOSsmaph
               lesi
               WaitSemaph
                           di
               pop
               qoq
                           es
               endm
DOSR19
               macro
               push
                           es
               push
                           di
                           DOSsmaph
               lesi
               RlsSemaph
                           di
               pop
                           es
               pop
               endm
; PCB for our background process:
BkqndPCB
               pcb
                           {0,offset EndStk2, seg EndStk2}
; Data the foreground and background processes print:
StrPtrs1
               dword
                           strl_a, strl_b, strl_c, strl_d, strl_e, strl_f
               dword
                           strl_g, strl_h, strl_i, strl_j, strl_k, strl_l
               dword
                           "Foreground: string `a'", cr, lf, 0
strl a
               byte
                           "Foreground: string 'b'", cr, lf, 0
strl b
               byte
                           "Foreground: string 'c'", cr, lf, 0
str1_c
               byte
                           "Foreground: string 'd'",cr,lf,0
               byte
str1_d
                           "Foreground: string 'e'",cr,lf,0
"Foreground: string 'f'",cr,lf,0
strl_e
               byte
strl_f
               byte
                           "Foreground: string 'g'", cr, lf, 0
strl_q
               byte
                           "Foreground: string 'h'", cr, lf, 0
strl_h
               byte
                           "Foreground: string `i'",cr,lf,0
strl_i
               byte
                           "Foreground: string 'j'", cr, lf, 0
str1_j
               byte
                           "Foreground: string `k'", cr, lf, 0
strl_k
               byte
                           "Foreground: string `l'", cr, lf, 0
str1_l
               byte
StrPtrs2
               dword
                           str2_a, str2_b, str2_c, str2_d, str2_e, str2_f
               dword
                           str2_g, str2_h, str2_i
               dword
                           Ω
                           "Background: string `a'", cr, lf, 0
str2_a
               byte
str2_b
                           "Background: string `b'", cr, lf, 0
               byte
```

str2_c str2_d str2_e str2_f str2_g str2_h str2_i	byte byte byte byte byte byte byte	"Background: strin "Background: strin "Background: strin "Background: strin "Background: strin "Background: strin	ng `c'",cr,lf,0 ng `d'",cr,lf,0 ng `e'",cr,lf,0 ng `f'",cr,lf,0 ng `f'",cr,lf,0 ng `h'",cr,lf,0 ng `i'",cr,lf,0	
dseg	ends			
cseg	segment assume	para public `code cs:cseg, ds:dseg	,	
; A replacement; if the user	nt critical decides to	error handler. This abort the program.	is routine calls prcsquit	
CritErrMsg	byte byte byte	cr,lf "DOS Critical Error!",cr,lf "A)bort, R)etry, I)gnore, F)ail? \$"		
MyInt24	proc push push push	far dx ds ax		
Int24Lp:	pop lea mov int	ds dx, CritErrMsg ah, 9 21h	;DOS print string call.	
	mov int	ah, 1 21h	;DOS read character call.	
	and	al, 5Fh	;Convert l.c> u.c.	
	cmp jne pop mov jmp	al, `I' NotIgnore ax al, 0 Quit24	;Ignore?	
NotIgnore:	cmp jne pop mov jmp	al, `r' NotRetry ax al, 1 Quit24	;Retry?	
NotRetry:	cmp jne prcsquit pop mov jmp	al, `A' NotAbort ax al, 2 Quit24	;Abort? ;If quitting, fix INT 8.	
NotAbort:	cmp jne pop mov	al, `F' BadChar ax al 3		
Quit24:	pop pop iret	ds dx		
BadChar:	mov mov jmp	ah, 2 dl, 7 Int24Lp	;Bell character	
my⊥IIUZ4	епар			
; We will sim	ply disable	INT 23h (the break	x exception).	
MyInt23	proc	far		
MyInt23	iret endp			

; This background process calls DOS to print several strings to the ; screen. In the meantime, the foreground process is also printing ; strings to the screen. To prevent reentry, or at least a jumble of ; characters on the screen, this code uses semaphores to protect the ; DOS calls. Therefore, each process will print one complete line ; then release the semaphore. If the other process is waiting it will ; print its line.

BackGround	proc mov mov	ax, dseg ds, ax by StrDtro?	Array of strates
PrintLoop:	cmp ie	word ptr [bx+2], BkGndDone	0 ;At end of pointers?
	les DOSWait	di, [bx]	;Get string to print.
	puts		;Calls DOS to print string.
	add jmp	bx, 4 PrintLoop	;Point at next str ptr.
BkGndDone: BackGround	die endp		;Terminate this process
Main	proc mov mov mov meminit	ax, dseg ds, ax es, ax	
; Initialize	the INT 23h	and INT 24h excep	tion handler vectors.
	mov mov mov mov mov	ax, 0 es, ax word ptr es:[24h* es:[24h*4 + 2], c word ptr es:[23h* es:[23h*4 + 2], c	4], offset MyInt24 s 4], offset MyInt23 s
	prcsinit		;Start multitasking system.
	lesi fork	BkgndPCB	;Fire up a new process
	test	ax, ax ParentPrcs	;Parent's return?
	jmp	BackGround	;Go do background stuff.
; The parent p ; the backgroup; to protect	process wil und process the call to	l print a bunch of is doing this. We DOS that PUTS make	strings at the same time 'll use the DOS semaphore es.
ParentPrcs:	DOSWait		;Force the other process
DlyLp0:	loop	CX, U DlyLp0 DlyLr1	; the semaphore queue by
DlyLp2:	loop DOSRls	DlyLp2	; clock tick.
PrintLoop:	lea cmp je les	bx, StrPtrs1 word ptr [bx+2],0 ForeGndDone di. [bx]	<pre>;Array of str ptrs. ;At end of pointers? ;Get string to print.</pre>
	DOSWait		Calls DOS to print string
	DOSRls	bx. 4	Point at next str ptr.
	jmp	PrintLoop	
ForeGndDone:	prcsquit		

Ouit:ExitPam ;DOS macro to guit program. Main endp ends csea para stack 'stack' seament ssea ; Here is the stack for the background process we start stk2 bvte 1024 dup (?) EndStk2 word 2 ;Here's the stack for the main program/foreground process. stk bvte 1024 dup (?) enda sseq zzzzzsea segment para public 'zzzzz' LastBytes db 16 dup (?) zzzzzseq ends Main end

This program doesn't directly call DOS, but it calls the Standard Library puts routine that does. In general, you could use a single semaphore to protect all BIOS, DOS, and Standard Library calls. However, this is not particularly efficient. For example, the Standard Library pattern matching routines make no DOS calls; therefore, waiting on the DOS semaphore to do a pattern match while some other process is making a DOS call unnecessarily delays the pattern match. There is nothing wrong with having one process do a pattern match while another is making a DOS call. Unfortunately, some Standard Library routines *do* make DOS calls (puts is a good example), so you must use the DOS semaphore around such calls.

In theory, we could use separate semaphores to protect DOS, different BIOS calls, and different Standard Library calls. However, keeping track of all those semaphores within a program is a big task. Furthermore, ensuring that a call to DOS does not also invoke an unprotected BIOS routine is a difficult task. So most programmers use a single semaphore to protect all Standard Library, DOS, and BIOS calls.

19.5.5 Using Semaphores for Barrier Synchronization

Although the primary use of a semaphores is to provide exclusive access to some resource, there are other synchronization uses for semaphores as well. In this section we'll look at the use of the Standard Library's semaphores objects to create a *barrier*.

A barrier is a point in a program where a process stops and waits for other processes to synchronize (reach their respective barriers). In many respects, a barrier is the dual to a semaphore. A semaphore prevents more than *n* processes from gaining access to some resource. A barrier does not grant access until at least *n* processes are requesting access.

Given the different nature of these two synchronization methods, you might think that it would be difficult to use the WaitSemaph and RIsSemaph routines to implement barriers. However, it turns out to be quite simple. Suppose we were to initialize the semaphore's SemaCnt field to zero rather than one. When the first process waits on this semaphore, the system will immediately block that process. Likewise, each additional process that waits on this semaphore will block and wait on the semaphore queue. This would normally be a disaster since there is no active process that will signal the semaphore so it will activate the blocked processes. However, if we modify the wait call so that it checks the SemaCnt field before actually doing the wait, the n^{th} process can skip the wait call and reactivate the other processes. Consider the following macro:

```
barrier
               macro
                          Wait4Cnt
                          AllHere, AllDone
               local
                          es:[di].semaphore.SemaCnt, -(Wait4Cnt-1)
               cmp
               ile
                          AllHere
               WaitSemaph
                          es:[di].semaphore.SemaCnt. 0
               CMD
                          AllDone
               ie
AllHere:
               RlsSemaph
AllDone:
               endm
```

This macro expects a single parameter that should be the number of processes (including the current process) that need to be at a barrier before any of the processes can proceed. The SemaCnt field is a negative number whose absolute value determines how many processes are currently waiting on the sema-phore. If a barrier requires four processes, no process can proceed until the fourth process hits the barrier; at that time the SemaCnt field will contain minus three. The macro above computes what the value of SemaCnt should be if all processes are at the barrier. If SemaCnt matches this value, it signals the sema-phore that begins a chain of operations with each blocked process releasing the next. When SemaCnt hits zero, the last blocked process does not release the semaphore since there are no other processes waiting on the queue.

It is very important to remember to initialize the SemaCnt field to zero before using semaphores for barrier synchronization in this manner. If you do not initialize SemaCnt to zero, the WaitSemaph call will probably not block any of the processes.

The following sample program provides a simple example of barrier synchronization using the Standard Library's semaphore package:

```
; BARRIER.ASM
; This sample program demonstrates how to use the Standard Library's
; semaphore objects to synchronize several processes at a barrier.
; This program is similar to the MULTIDOS.ASM program insofar as the
; background processes all print a set of strings. However, rather than
; using an inelegant delay loop to synchronize the foreground and background
; processes, this code uses barrier synchronization to achieve this.
               .xlist
               include
                         stdlib.a
               includelib stdlib.lib
               .list
dseq
               segment
                          para public 'data'
                          {0}
BarrierSemaph semaphore
                                             ;Must init SemaCnt to zero.
DOSsmaph
              semaphore
                          { }
; Macros to wait and release the DOS semaphore:
DOSWait.
              macro
              push
                          es
                          di
              push
               lesi
                          DOSsmaph
              WaitSemaph
              qoq
                          di
              pop
                          es
              endm
DOSRls
              macro
              push
                          es
                          di
              push
              lesi
                          DOSsmaph
              RlsSemaph
                          di
              pop
                          es
              pop
               endm
```

; Macro to synchronize on a barrier:

Chapter 19

Barrier	macro	Wait4Cnt	
	local	AllHere, AllDone	
	cmp	es:[di].semaphore.SemaCnt,	-(Wait4Cnt-1)
	jle	AllHere	
	WaitSemaph		
	cmp	es:[di].semaphore.SemaCnt,	0
	jge	AllDone	
AllHere:	RlsSemaph		
AllDone:			
	endm		

; PCBs for our background processes:

BkgndPCB2	pcb	{0,offset EndStk2, seg EndStk2
BkgndPCB3	pcb	{0,offset EndStk3, seg EndStk3

; Data the foreground and background processes print:

StrPtrs1	dword dword dword	<pre>strl_a, strl_b, strl_c, strl_d, strl_e, strl_f strl_g, strl_h, strl_i, strl_j, strl_k, strl_l 0</pre>
<pre>strl_a strl_b strl_c strl_d strl_e strl_f strl_g strl_h strl_i strl_j strl_k strl_l</pre>	byte byte byte byte byte byte byte byte	<pre>"Foreground: string `a'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `b'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `c'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `d'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `e'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `f'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `h'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `i'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `j'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `j'",cr,lf,0 "Foreground: string `j'",cr,lf,0</pre>
StrPtrs2	dword dword dword	<pre>str2_a, str2_b, str2_c, str2_d, str2_e, str2_f str2_g, str2_h, str2_i 0</pre>
str2_a str2_b str2_c str2_d str2_e str2_f str2_f str2_f str2_h str2_h str2_i	byte byte byte byte byte byte byte byte	<pre>"Background 1: string `a'",cr,lf,0 "Background 1: string `b'",cr,lf,0 "Background 1: string `c'",cr,lf,0 "Background 1: string `d'",cr,lf,0 "Background 1: string `e'",cr,lf,0 "Background 1: string `f'",cr,lf,0 "Background 1: string `h'",cr,lf,0 "Background 1: string `h'",cr,lf,0</pre>
StrPtrs3	dword dword dword	<pre>str3_a, str3_b, str3_c, str3_d, str3_e, str3_f str3_g, str3_h, str3_i 0</pre>
str3_a str3_b str3_c str3_d str3_e str3_f str3_g str3_f str3_g str3_h str3_i dseg	byte byte byte byte byte byte byte byte	<pre>"Background 2: string 'j'",cr,lf,0 "Background 2: string 'k'",cr,lf,0 "Background 2: string 'l'",cr,lf,0 "Background 2: string 'm'",cr,lf,0 "Background 2: string 'n'",cr,lf,0 "Background 2: string 'o'",cr,lf,0 "Background 2: string 'p'",cr,lf,0 "Background 2: string 'r",cr,lf,0</pre>
cseg	segment assume	para public `code' cs:cseg, ds:dseg

; A replacement critical error handler. This routine calls prcsquit ; if the user decides to abort the program.

CritErrMsg	byte byte byte	cr,lf "DOS Critical Err "A)bort, R)etry,	cor!",cr,lf I)gnore, F)ail? \$"
MyInt24	proc push push push	far dx ds ax	
Int24Lp:	push pop lea mov int	cs ds dx, CritErrMsg ah, 9 21h	;DOS print string call.
	mov int and	ah, 1 21h al. 5Fh	;DOS read character call. ;Convert l.c> u.c.
	cmp jne pop mov jmp	al, 'I' NotIgnore ax al, 0 Quit24	;Ignore?
NotIgnore:	cmp jne pop mov jmp	al, `r' NotRetry ax al, 1 Quit24	;Retry?
NotRetry:	cmp jne prcsquit pop mov jmp	al, `A' NotAbort ax al, 2 Quit24	;Abort? ;If quitting, fix INT 8.
NotAbort:	cmp jne pop	al, `F' BadChar ax	
Quit24:	pop pop iret	ds dx	
BadChar: MyInt24	mov mov jmp endp	ah, 2 dl, 7 Int24Lp	;Bell character
- -	- -		

; We will simply disable INT 23h (the break exception).

MyInt23	proc	far
	iret	
MyInt23	endp	

; This background processes call DOS to print several strings to the ; screen. In the meantime, the foreground process is also printing ; strings to the screen. To prevent reentry, or at least a jumble of ; characters on the screen, this code uses semaphores to protect the ; DOS calls. Therefore, each process will print one complete line ; then release the semaphore. If the other process is waiting it will ; print its line.

BackGround1	proc		
Dackeroundi	mov	ax,	dseg
	mov	ds,	ax

; Wait for everyone else to get ready: lesi BarrierSemaph barrier 3 ; Okay, start printing the strings: lea bx, StrPtrs2 ;Array of str ptrs. PrintLoop: cmp word ptr [bx+2],0 ;At end of pointers? je BkGndDone les di, [bx] ;Get string to print. DOSWait puts ;Calls DOS to print string. DOSRls add bx, 4 ;Point at next str ptr. PrintLoop jmp BkGndDone: die BackGround1 endp BackGround2 proc ax, dseg mov ds, ax mov lesi BarrierSemaph barrier 3 bx, StrPtrs3 ;Array of str ptrs. lea PrintLoop: word ptr [bx+2],0 ;At end of pointers? cmp BkGndDone ie les di, [bx] ;Get string to print. DOSWait puts ;Calls DOS to print string. DOSRls add bx. 4 ;Point at next str ptr. jmp PrintLoop BkGndDone: die BackGround2 endp Main proc ax, dseg mov mov ds, ax mov es, ax meminit ; Initialize the INT 23h and INT 24h exception handler vectors. ax, O mov mov es, ax word ptr es:[24h*4], offset MyInt24 mov es:[24h*4 + 2], cs mov word ptr es:[23h*4], offset MyInt23 mov es:[23h*4 + 2], cs mov prcsinit ;Start multitasking system. ; Start the first background process: lesi BkgndPCB2 ;Fire up a new process fork ;Parent's return? test ax, ax StartBG2 je BackGround1 ;Go do backgroun stuff. jmp ; Start the second background process: StartBG2: BkgndPCB3 lesi ;Fire up a new process fork

test	ax, ax	;Parent's return?
je	ParentPrcs	
jmp	BackGround2	;Go do backgroun stuff.

; The parent process will print a bunch of strings at the same time ; the background process is doing this. We'll use the DOS semaphore ; to protect the call to DOS that PUTS makes.

lesi barrier	BarrierSemaph 3	
lea cmp je	bx, StrPtrs1 word ptr [bx+2],0 ForeGndDone	;Array of str ptrs. ;At end of pointers?
les	di, [bx]	;Get string to print.
puts		;Calls DOS to print string.
add jmp	bx, 4 PrintLoop	;Point at next str ptr.
prcsquit		
ExitPgm endp		;DOS macro to quit program.
ends		
segment	para stack `stack'	,
e stacks fo	r the background pr	cocesses we start
byte word	1024 dup (?) ?	
byte word	1024 dup (?) ?	
tack for th	e main program/fore	eground process.
byte ends	1024 dup (?)	
segment db ends end	para public `zzzz 16 dup (?) Main	zz′
	lesi barrier lea cmp je les DOSWait puts DOSRls add jmp prcsquit ExitPgm endp ends segment e stacks fo byte word byte word tack for th byte ends segment db ends ends	<pre>lesi BarrierSemaph barrier 3 lea bx, StrPtrs1 cmp word ptr [bx+2],0 je ForeGndDone les di, [bx] DOSWait puts DOSR1s add bx, 4 jmp PrintLoop prcsquit ExitPgm endp ends segment para stack 'stack' e stacks for the background pr byte 1024 dup (?) word ? byte 1024 dup (?) word ? tack for the main program/fore byte 1024 dup (?) ends segment para public 'zzzzz db 16 dup (?) ends end Main</pre>

Sample Output:

```
Background 2: string 'p'
Foreground: string 'h'
Background 2: string 'q'
Foreground: string 'i'
Background 2: string 'r'
Foreground: string 'j'
Foreground: string 'k'
Foreground: string 'l'
```

Note how background process number one ran for one clock period before the other processes waited on the DOS semaphore. After this initial burst, the processes all took turns calling DOS.

19.6 Deadlock

Although semaphores can solve any synchronization problems, don't get the impression that semaphores don't introduce problems of their own. As you've already seen, the improper use of semaphores can result in the indefinite suspension of processes waiting on the semaphore queue. However, even if you correctly wait and signal individual semaphores, it is quite possible for correct operations on *combinations* of semaphores to produce this same effect. Indefinite suspension of a process because of semaphore problems is a serious issue. This degenerate situation is known as *deadlock* or *deadly embrace*.

Deadlock occurs when one process holds one resource and is waiting for another while a second process is holding that other resource and waiting for the first. To see how deadlock can occur, consider the following code:

; Process one:

Process one grabs the semaphore associated with Semaph1. Then a timer interrupt comes along which causes a *context switch* to process two. Process two grabs the semaphore associated with Semaph2 and then tries to get Semaph1. However, process one is already holding Semaph1, so process two blocks and waits for process one to release this semaphore. This returns control (eventually) to process one. Process one then tries to graph Semaph2. Unfortunately, process two is already holding Semaph2, so process one blocks waiting for Semaph2. Now both processes are blocked waiting for the other. Since neither process can run, neither process can release the semaphore the other needs. Both processes are deadlocked.

One easy way to prevent deadlock from occurring is to never allow a process to hold more than one semaphore at a time. Unfortunately, this is not a practical solution; many processes may need to have exclusive access to several resources at one time. However, we can devise another solution by observing the pattern that resulted in deadlock in the previous example. Deadlock came about because the two processes grabbed different semaphores and then tried to grab the semaphore that the other was holding. In

other words, they grabbed the two semaphores in a different order (process one grabbed Semaph1 first and Semaph2 second, process two grabbed Semaph2 first and Semaph1 second). It turns out that two process will never deadlock if they wait on common semaphores *in the same order*. We could modify the previous example to eliminate the possibility of deadlock thusly:

; Process one:

Now it doesn't matter where the interrupt occurs above, deadlock cannot occur. If the interrupt occurs between the two WaitSemaph calls in process one (as before), when process two attempts to wait on Semaph1, it will block and process one will continue with Semaph2 available.

An easy way to keep out of trouble with deadlock is to number *all* your semaphore variables and make sure that all processes acquire (wait on) semaphores from the smallest numbered semaphore to the highest. This ensures that all processes acquire the semaphores in the same order, and that ensures that deadlock cannot occurs.

Note that this policy of acquiring semaphores only applies to semaphores that a process holds concurrently. If a process needs semaphore six for a while, and then it needs semaphore two after it has released semaphore six, there is no problem acquiring semaphore two after releasing semaphore six. However, if at any point the process needs to hold *both* semaphores, it must acquire semaphore two first.

Processes may release the semaphores in any order. The order that a process releases semaphores does not affect whether deadlock can occur. Of course, processes should always release a semaphore as soon as the process is done with the resource guarded by that semaphore; there may be other processes waiting on that semaphore.

While the above scheme works and is easy to implement, it is by no means the only way to handle deadlock, nor is it always the most efficient. However, it is simple to implement and it always works. For more information on deadlocks, see a good operating systems text.

19.7 Summary

Despite the fact that DOS is not reentrant and doesn't directly support multitasking, that doesn't mean your applications can't multitask; it's just difficult to get different applications to run independently of one another under DOS.

Although DOS doesn't switch among different programs in memory, DOS certainly allows you to load multiple programs into memory at one time. The only catch is that only one such program actually executes. DOS provides several calls to load and execute ".EXE" and ".COM" files from the disk. These processes effectively behave like subroutine calls, with control returning to the program invoking such a program only after that "child" program terminates. For more details, see

- "DOS Processes" on page 1065
- "Child Processes in DOS" on page 1065

- "Load and Execute" on page 1066
- "Load Program" on page 1068
- "Loading Overlays" on page 1069
- "Terminating a Process" on page 1069
- "Obtaining the Child Process Return Code" on page 1070

Certain errors can occur during the execution of a DOS process that transfer control to exception handlers. Besides the 80x86 exceptions, DOS' *break handler* and *critical error handler* are the primary examples. Any program that patches the interrupt vectors should provide its own exception handlers for these conditions so it can restore interrupts on a ctrl-C or I/O error exception. Furthermore, well-written program always provide replacement exception handlers for these two conditions that provide better support that the default DOS handlers. For more information on DOS exceptions, see

- "Exception Handling in DOS: The Break Handler" on page 1070
- "Exception Handling in DOS: The Critical Error Handler" on page 1071
- "Exception Handling in DOS: Traps" on page 1075

When a parent process invokes a child process with the LOAD or LOADEXEC calls, the child process inherits all open files from the parent process. In particular, the child process inherits the *standard input, standard output, standard error, auxiliary I/O*, and *printer* devices. The parent process can easily redirect I/O to/from these devices before passing control to a child process. This, in effect, *redirects* the I/O during the execution of the child process. For more details, see

• "Redirection of I/O for Child Processes" on page 1075

When two DOS programs want to communicate with each other, they typically read and write data to a file. However, creating, opening, reading, and writing files is a lot of work, especially just to share a few variable values. A better alternative is to use *shared memory*. Unfortunately, DOS does not provide support to allow two programs to share a common block of memory. However, it is very easy to write a TSR that manages shared memory for various programs. For details and the complete code to two shared memory managers, see:

- "Shared Memory" on page 1078
- "Static Shared Memory" on page 1078
- "Dynamic Shared Memory" on page 1088

A coroutine call is the basic mechanism for switching control between two processes. A "cocall" operation is the equivalent of a subroutine call and return all rolled into one operation. A cocall transfers control to some other process. When some other process returns control to a coroutine (via cocall), control resumes with the first instruction after the cocall code. The UCR Standard Library provides complete coroutine support so you can easily put coroutines into your assembly language programs. For all the details on coroutines, plus a neat maze generator program that uses coroutines, see

• "Coroutines" on page 1103

Although you can use coroutines to simulate multitasking ("cooperative multitasking"), the major problem with coroutines is that each application must decide when to switch to another process via a cocall. Although this eliminates certain reentrancy and synchronization problems, deciding when and where to make such calls increases the work necessary to write multitasking applications. A better approach is to use *preemptive multitasking* where the timer interrupt performs the context switches. Reentrancy and synchronization problems develop in such a system, but with care those problems are easily overcome. For the details on true preemptive multitasking, and to see how the UCR Standard Library supports multitasking, see

- "Multitasking" on page 1124
- "Lightweight and HeavyWeight Processes" on page 1124
- "The UCR Standard Library Processes Package" on page 1125
- "Problems with Multitasking" on page 1126
- "A Sample Program with Threads" on page 1127

Preemptive multitasking opens up a Pandora's box. Although multitasking makes certain programs easier to implement, the problems of process synchronization and reentrancy rears its ugly head in a multitasking system. Many processes require some sort of synchronized access to global variables. Further, most processes will need to call DOS, BIOS, or some other routine (e.g., the Standard Library) that is not reentrant. Somehow we need to control access to such code so that multiple processes do not adversely affect one another. Synchronization is achievable using several different techniques. In some simple cases we can simply turn off the interrupts, eliminating the reentrancy problems. In other cases we can use test and set or semaphores to protect a *critical region*. For more details on these synchronization operations, see

- "Synchronization" on page 1129
- "Atomic Operations, Test & Set, and Busy-Waiting" on page 1132
- "Semaphores" on page 1134
- "The UCR Standard Library Semaphore Support" on page 1136
- "Using Semaphores to Protect Critical Regions" on page 1136
- "Using Semaphores for Barrier Synchronization" on page 1140

The use of synchronization objects, like semaphores, can introduce new problems into a system. *Deadlock* is a perfect example. Deadlock occurs when one process is holding some resource and wants another and a second process is hold the desired resource and wants the resource held by the first process⁵. You can easily avoid deadlock by controlling the order that the various processes acquire groups of semaphores. For all the details, see

• "Deadlock" on page 1146

^{5.} Or any chain of processes where everyone in the chain is holding something that another process in the chain wants.

Chapter 19